



DSS

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

JEFF HATCH-MILLER  
Chairman

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL  
Commissioner

MARC SPITZER  
Commissioner

MIKE GLEASON  
Commissioner

KRISTIN K. MAYES  
Commissioner

RECEIVED  
2005 DEC - 21 P 4: 38  
AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCUMENT CONTROL

IN THE MATTER OF QWEST  
CORPORATION'S FILING AMENDED  
RENEWED PRICE REGULATION PLAN

Docket No: T-01051B-03-0454

IN THE MATTER OF THE INVESTIGATION  
OF THE COST OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
ACCESS

Docket No. T-00000D-00-0672

TIME WARNER TELECOM OF ARIZONA LLC'S POST-HEARING BRIEF

I. Introduction

Time Warner Telecom of Arizona LLC ("TWTA") files this post-hearing brief in support of the Settlement Agreement filed in the above-referenced docket. As noted by all of the signatories to the Settlement Agreement, the Agreement represents a compromise by all parties to the Agreement with each party believing that the Agreement constitutes a fair compromise and that its adoption is in the public interest. The overwhelming evidence provided at the hearing supports this conclusion.

Although the Agreement as a whole provides numerous benefits, throughout this proceeding, special access has been the issue of most concern for TWTA. As

1 indicated by TWTA and other parties to the Agreement, the resolution of special access  
2 will provide benefits to competition and is a vital component of the Agreement. TWTA  
3 firmly supports the adoption of the Agreement and asks that the Commission adopt the  
4 Agreement without revision.

5 **II. RUCO's Opposition Is Not Warranted**

6 At the hearing, RUCO provided the only opposition to the adoption of the  
7 Settlement Agreement. The issues raised by RUCO in opposition, however, do not  
8 warrant rejection of the Agreement as RUCO propounds. See Exhibits (Ex.) S-38; S-39;  
9 Q-35; Q36; Q37. RUCO's testimony fails to understand the specifics of the Settlement  
10 Agreement and the plan embodied in the Settlement Agreement. Indeed, when the  
11 evidence presented at the hearing is examined in detail, it becomes clear that the  
12 Commission should adopt the Agreement without revision. For these reasons and the  
13 reasons set forth in Staff's and Qwest's Post-Hearing Briefs, RUCO's opposition is  
14 without merit.

15 **III. The Agreement for Private Line Services Offered By Qwest Is In The Public**  
16 **Interest**

17 No party to this proceeding provided any opposition in relation to the issue  
18 of special access. Rather, at the hearing, many of the parties cited to special access as a  
19 pro-competitive aspect of the Settlement Agreement. See Ex. TWTA-3 at 4-5; Ex. XO-1  
20 at 3; Testimony of Mr. Richard Lee, Transcript (Tr.) (11/1/05) at 224; Testimony of Mr.  
21 M. Rowell, Tr. (11/2/05) at 355. A private line circuit is a dedicated circuit between two  
22 points. See Ex. TWTA-2 at 2. The specific rate element being reduced under the  
23 Settlement Agreement is the rate for a DS1 channel termination, which is a "facility from a  
24 Qwest local switching office or LSO out to a customer's premises." Testimony of Mr.  
25 Thomas, Tr. (11/2/05), at 291. In most cases, providers such as TWTA use special access  
26 when they are unable to obtain any access to a commercial building or cannot obtain

1 access on reasonable terms and conditions. *See id.* Although TWTA would prefer to  
2 use its own facilities, when such access is not available, special access on more reasonable  
3 terms will bring competition to the relevant commercial buildings. *See id.* at 290-92.

4 As described by Mr. Thomas, the contract being offered under the  
5 Settlement Agreement to TWTA, XO and other carriers provides for reductions in rates for  
6 DS1 channel terminations subject to certain volume commitments. *See Ex. TWTA-3* at 4.  
7 These reductions will apply in the Phoenix and Tucson MSAs. *Id.* Although TWTA  
8 believed that a reduction in all special access rates was warranted (*see Ex. TWTA-1,*  
9 *TWTA-2*), TWTA firmly believes that a reduction in this rate element is a reasonable  
10 compromise and will provide benefits to competition. *See Ex. TWTA-3.*

11 The reduction in rates for channel terminations is appropriate as it will  
12 make it more cost effective for TWTA and other competitors to access customers in  
13 commercial office buildings, thus providing more choices for these customers. *See*  
14 *Thomas Testimony, Tr. (11/2/05) at 291; 295.* In addition, the availability of these rates  
15 will provide stability to competitors at a time when rates for special access are uncertain.  
16 *See Knowles Testimony, Tr. (11/2/05) at 299.* For these reasons, TWTA submits that the  
17 resolution of special access is a critical component of this Agreement, and, as with the  
18 remainder of the Agreement, should be adopted without revision.


19 **IV. The Commission Should Adopt the Settlement Agreement**

20 The overwhelming evidence at the hearing in this matter supports adoption  
21 of the Settlement Agreement. The settlement process allowed participation by all parties  
22 to the proceeding. *See Ex. TWTA-3* at 3. Ultimately, after many days of negotiation,  
23 each party to the proceeding, except for RUCO, found the Agreement to be a reasonable  
24 compromise. TWTA supports the Settlement Agreement, and, for the reasons set forth  
25 above, requests that the Commission adopt the Agreement in full.

26

1 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 2nd day of December, 2005.

2 LEWIS AND ROCA

3 

4 Thomas H. Campbell  
5 Michael T. Hallam  
6 40 N. Central Avenue  
7 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

8 Attorneys for Time Warner Telecom of Arizona  
9 LLC

10 ORIGINAL and fifteen (15) copies  
11 of the foregoing filed this 2nd day  
12 of December, 2005, with:

13 Arizona Corporation Commission  
14 Docket Control – Utilities Division  
15 1200 W. Washington Street  
16 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

17 COPY of the foregoing hand-delivered  
18 this 2nd day of December, 2005, to:

19 Jane L. Rodda  
20 Administrative Law Judge  
21 Arizona Corporation Commission  
22 1200 W. Washington Street  
23 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

24 Maureen Scott, Legal Division  
25 Arizona Corporation Commission  
26 1200 W. Washington Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Christopher Kempley  
Legal Division  
Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 West Washington  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Ernest Johnson, Director  
Utilities Division  
Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 W. Washington Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

1  
2 **COPY** of the foregoing mailed this  
3 2nd day of December, 2005, to:

4 Timothy Berg, Esq.  
5 Theresa Dwyer, Esq.  
6 Fennemore Craig  
7 3003 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2600  
8 Phoenix, Arizona 85012

9 Todd Lundy, Esq.  
10 Qwest Law Department  
11 1801 California Street  
12 Denver, Colorado 80202

13 Thomas F. Dixon, Senior Attorney  
14 MCI, Inc.  
15 7007 N. 17th Street, Suite 4200  
16 Denver, Colorado 80202

17 Joan S. Burke  
18 Osborn Maledon, PA  
19 2929 N. Central Avenue, Ste. 2100  
20 P.O. Box 36379  
21 Phoenix, Arizona 85067-6379

22 Michael W. Patten  
23 Roshka, Heyman & DeWulf, PLC  
24 400 E. Van Buren Street, Suite 800  
25 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

26 Mark A. DiNunzio  
Cox Arizona Telecom, LLC  
20401 N. 29<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
Phoenix, Arizona 85027

Daniel Pozefsky, Esq.  
Residential Utility Consumer Office  
1110 W. Washington Street, Suite 220  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Richard Lee  
Snavelly King Majorors O'Connor & Lee, Inc.  
1220 L Street N.W., Suite 410  
Washington, DC 20005

Patrick A. Clisham  
AT&T Arizona State Director  
320 E. Broadmoor Court  
Phoenix, AZ 85022

LEWIS  
AND  
ROCA  
LLP

LAWYERS

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

Peter Q. Nyce, Jr.  
Regulatory Law Office  
U.S. Army Litigation Center  
901 N. Stuart St., Suite 713  
Arlington, VA 22203-1644

Jon Poston  
ACTS  
6733 East Dale Lane  
Cave Creek, AZ 85331

Martin A. Aronson, Esq.  
Morrill & Aronson PLC  
One E. Camelback  
Suite 340  
Phoenix, AZ 85012-1648

Walter W. Meek, President  
Arizona Utility Investors Association  
2100 N. Central Avenue  
Suite 210  
Phoenix, AZ 85004

Albert Sterman, Vice President  
Arizona Consumers Council  
2849 E. 8th Street  
Tucson, AZ 85716

  
\_\_\_\_\_