



0000035352

**THIS AMENDMENT:**

\_\_\_\_\_ Passed \_\_\_\_\_ Passed as amended by \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Failed \_\_\_\_\_ Not Offered \_\_\_\_\_

Withdrawn  
**RECEIVED**

2002 AUG 27 A 9:19

**HEARING DIVISION PROPOSED AMENDMENT # 1**

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCUMENT CONTROL

TIME/DATE PREPARED: 8:15 A.M./August 27, 2002

COMPANY: Electric Restructuring/Track A Issues

AGENDA ITEM NO. \_\_\_\_\_

DOC#

OPEN MEETING DATE: August 27, 2002

- E-00000A-02-0051
- E-01345A-01-0822
- E-00000A-01-0630
- E-01933A-02-0069
- ~~E-01933A-98-0474~~

Page 24, Line 27 ½:

- DELETE: "4.1(3)"
- INSERT: "4.1(1)"

Arizona Corporation Commission  
**DOCKETED**  
AUG 27 2002

DOCKETED BY	<i>[Signature]</i>
-------------	--------------------

Page 30, Line 20 ½: INSERT new Findings of Fact No. 46:

"46. The parties agree that the Commission's jurisdiction over public service corporations is unaffected whether an RTO approved by FERC is for-profit or not-for-profit."

Page 31, Line 19: INSERT new Conclusions of Law No. 11:

"11. The Commission's jurisdiction over public service corporations is unaffected by whether such public service corporations participate in a for-profit or not-for profit RTO."

Page 21, Line 19: DELETE sentence beginning with "We disagree"

Line 21 ½: INSERT before "We believe":

"Moreover, we note that there is no RTO currently in existence in Arizona and believe that it is desirable to establish a process that builds upon, but goes beyond, the Arizona ISA "must-run generation" protocol to evaluate the long-term infrastructure needs of service to load pockets. We disagree that market power in the load pockets is best

addressed through sole reliance on the “must-run generation” protocol of the Arizona ISA.”

Page 23, Line 27 ½: After “APS” INSERT “and TEP’s”

Page 31, Line 26: INSERT new Ordering paragraph:

“ IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that A.A.C. R14-2-1611(A)’s applicability to APS and TEP’s captive customers is stayed.”

Page 32, Line 9 ½: After “R14-2-1606(B)” INSERT “and A.A.C. R14-2-1611(A)”