

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL  
CHAIRMAN  
JIM IRVIN  
COMMISSIONER  
MARC SPITZER  
COMMISSIONER

HM



ORIGINAL



0000033914

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

RECEIVED

2001 JUN 21 P 3:46

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCUMENT CONTROL

June 20, 2001

Mr. & Mrs. John and Ellen Wildey  
14014 W. Scorpio Avenue  
Eloy, Arizona 85231

*In Re: Midvale Telephone Exchange, Application for Authority to Increase Rates and for Disbursements from the Arizona Universal Service Fund, Docket No. T-02532A-00-0512*

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Wildey:

Thank you for your letter regarding *Midvale's* application to provide telephone service. As you know, this is a pending case before the Arizona Corporation Commission.

The Commission is different from the Legislature; as a Legislator I was allowed to discuss pending bills with my constituents; as a Commissioner, I am *legally prohibited* from discussing pending cases. The reason is that the Commission is a 'quasi-judicial entity', which means that it is legally required to operate much like a court, and Commissioners are legally required to behave much like judges. That means we cannot discuss pending cases, nor share our opinions of the issues in those cases.

As a case is working through the process moving towards the Commissioners for a final decision, Commissioners read the legal briefs and the engineering and economic reports. After the Hearing Division makes a recommendation on the case, the Commissioners hear the case in an Open Meeting at which all the parties and interested members of the public are present. At that Open Meeting I will cast my vote on the outcome of the case. Until that time I cannot discuss the case nor can I state my opinions on the case or the potential outcome.

I can assure you that in this case, as in all cases, I will review the evidence and make my decision based on the facts and the law.

Very Truly Yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Marc Spitzer".

Marc Spitzer  
Commissioner

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JUN 21 2001

DOCKETED BY	Handwritten initials in a box.
-------------	--------------------------------

CC: Docket Control

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL  
CHAIRMAN  
JIM IRVIN  
COMMISSIONER  
MARC SPITZER  
COMMISSIONER



BRIAN C. McNEIL  
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

June 20, 2001

Ms. Jennie Carlson  
14674 W. Capricorn Drive  
Eloy, Arizona 85231

*In Re: Midvale Telephone Exchange, Application for Authority to Increase Rates and for Disbursements from the Arizona Universal Service Fund, Docket No. T-02532A-00-0512*

Dear Ms. Carlson:

Thank you for your letter regarding *Midvale's* application to provide telephone service. As you know, this is a pending case before the Arizona Corporation Commission.

The Commission is different from the Legislature; as a Legislator I was allowed to discuss pending bills with my constituents; as a Commissioner, I am *legally prohibited* from discussing pending cases. The reason is that the Commission is a 'quasi-judicial entity', which means that it is legally required to operate much like a court, and Commissioners are legally required to behave much like judges. That means we cannot discuss pending cases, nor share our opinions of the issues in those cases.

As a case is working through the process moving towards the Commissioners for a final decision, Commissioners read the legal briefs and the engineering and economic reports. After the Hearing Division makes a recommendation on the case, the Commissioners hear the case in an Open Meeting at which all the parties and interested members of the public are present. At that Open Meeting I will cast my vote on the outcome of the case. Until that time I cannot discuss the case nor can I state my opinions on the case or the potential outcome.

I can assure you that in this case, as in all cases, I will review the evidence and make my decision based on the facts and the law.

Very Truly Yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "M. Spitzer", is written over a light blue horizontal line.

Marc Spitzer  
Commissioner

CC: Docket Control

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL  
CHAIRMAN  
JIM IRVIN  
COMMISSIONER  
MARC SPITZER  
COMMISSIONER



BRIAN C. McNEIL  
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

June 20, 2001

Ms. Mary Berube  
13336 West Zodiac Drive  
Eloy, Arizona 85231

*In Re: Midvale Telephone Exchange, Application for Authority to Increase Rates and for Disbursements from the Arizona Universal Service Fund, Docket No. T-02532A-00-0512*

Dear Ms. Berube:

Thank you for your letter regarding *Midvale's* application to provide telephone service. As you know, this is a pending case before the Arizona Corporation Commission.

The Commission is different from the Legislature; as a Legislator I was allowed to discuss pending bills with my constituents; as a Commissioner, I am *legally prohibited* from discussing pending cases. The reason is that the Commission is a 'quasi-judicial entity', which means that it is legally required to operate much like a court, and Commissioners are legally required to behave much like judges. That means we cannot discuss pending cases, nor share our opinions of the issues in those cases.

As a case is working through the process moving towards the Commissioners for a final decision, Commissioners read the legal briefs and the engineering and economic reports. After the Hearing Division makes a recommendation on the case, the Commissioners hear the case in an Open Meeting at which all the parties and interested members of the public are present. At that Open Meeting I will cast my vote on the outcome of the case. Until that time I cannot discuss the case nor can I state my opinions on the case or the potential outcome.

I can assure you that in this case, as in all cases, I will review the evidence and make my decision based on the facts and the law.

Very Truly Yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Marc Spitzer".

Marc Spitzer  
Commissioner

CC: Docket Control

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL  
CHAIRMAN  
JIM IRVIN  
COMMISSIONER  
MARC SPITZER  
COMMISSIONER



BRIAN C. McNEIL  
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

June 20, 2001

Ms. Nancy Blasco  
P.O. Box 1181  
Arizona City, Arizona 85223

*In Re: Midvale Telephone Exchange, Application for Authority to Increase Rates and for Disbursements from the Arizona Universal Service Fund, Docket No. T-02532A-00-0512*

Dear Ms. Blasco:

Thank you for your letter regarding *Midvale's* application to provide telephone service. As you know, this is a pending case before the Arizona Corporation Commission.

The Commission is different from the Legislature; as a Legislator I was allowed to discuss pending bills with my constituents; as a Commissioner, I am *legally prohibited* from discussing pending cases. The reason is that the Commission is a 'quasi-judicial entity', which means that it is legally required to operate much like a court, and Commissioners are legally required to behave much like judges. That means we cannot discuss pending cases, nor share our opinions of the issues in those cases.

As a case is working through the process moving towards the Commissioners for a final decision, Commissioners read the legal briefs and the engineering and economic reports. After the Hearing Division makes a recommendation on the case, the Commissioners hear the case in an Open Meeting at which all the parties and interested members of the public are present. At that Open Meeting I will cast my vote on the outcome of the case. Until that time I cannot discuss the case nor can I state my opinions on the case or the potential outcome.

I can assure you that in this case, as in all cases, I will review the evidence and make my decision based on the facts and the law.

Very Truly Yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Marc Spitzer", written over a white background.

Marc Spitzer  
Commissioner

CC: Docket Control

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL  
CHAIRMAN  
JIM IRVIN  
COMMISSIONER  
MARC SPITZER  
COMMISSIONER



BRIAN C. McNEIL  
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

June 20, 2001

Mr. & Mrs. Gilbert and Margaret Thomas  
15230 W. Hydrus Avenue  
Eloy, Arizona 85231

*In Re: Midvale Telephone Exchange, Application for Authority to Increase Rates and for Disbursements from the Arizona Universal Service Fund, Docket No. T-02532A-00-0512*

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Thomas:

Thank you for your letter regarding *Midvale's* application to provide telephone service. As you know, this is a pending case before the Arizona Corporation Commission.

The Commission is different from the Legislature; as a Legislator I was allowed to discuss pending bills with my constituents; as a Commissioner, I am *legally prohibited* from discussing pending cases. The reason is that the Commission is a 'quasi-judicial entity', which means that it is legally required to operate much like a court, and Commissioners are legally required to behave much like judges. That means we cannot discuss pending cases, nor share our opinions of the issues in those cases.

As a case is working through the process moving towards the Commissioners for a final decision, Commissioners read the legal briefs and the engineering and economic reports. After the Hearing Division makes a recommendation on the case, the Commissioners hear the case in an Open Meeting at which all the parties and interested members of the public are present. At that Open Meeting I will cast my vote on the outcome of the case. Until that time I cannot discuss the case nor can I state my opinions on the case or the potential outcome.

I can assure you that in this case, as in all cases, I will review the evidence and make my decision based on the facts and the law.

Very Truly Yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Marc Spitzer".

Marc Spitzer  
Commissioner

CC: Docket Control