

Dadu



0000027027

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

DOCKETED

MAY 21 2002

1
2 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
CHAIRMAN
3 JIM IRVIN
COMMISSIONER
4 MARC SPITZER
COMMISSIONER
5

| | |
|-------------|------------|
| DOCKETED BY | <i>mac</i> |
|-------------|------------|

6 IN THE MATTER OF US WEST
COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S COMPLIANCE
7 WITH SECTION 271 OF THE
TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996.

DOCKET NO. T-00000A-97-0238

DECISION NO. 64835

ORDER

8
9 Open Meeting
May 17, 2002
10 Phoenix, Arizona

BY THE COMMISSION:

11 On February 16, 2001, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") issued
12 Decision No. 63385, conditionally approving Qwest Corporation's ("Qwest") compliance with
13 Section 271 of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("1996 Act") Checklist Item No. 7 -
14 911/E911 Directory Assistance and Operator Services. In Decision No. 63385, the Commission
15 found that all issues raised in the Arizona Workshops were resolved and that Qwest met the
16 requirements of Checklist Item 7, subject to Qwest updating its SGAT to incorporate language agreed
17 upon by the parties in other region Workshops and resolution by the Hearing Division of how to treat
18 issues arising in other jurisdiction after the record in Arizona has closed.

19 On December 28, 2001, the Commission issued Decision No. 64301, which found that all
20 issues concerning Checklist Item No. 7 have been resolved and that Qwest had complied with the
21 requirements of Checklist Item No. 7, subject to Qwest passing relevant performance measures in the
22 OSS test.

23 On February 12, 2002, AT&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc. and TCG
24 Phoenix (collectively "AT&T") filed a Motion to Reopen and Supplement the Record on Qwest
25 Corporation's Compliance with Checklist Item Number 7 ("Motion"). AT&T states in its Motion
26 that since the time the Commission entered its two Orders that concluded Qwest has satisfied the
27 requirements of Checklist Item 7, AT&T has experienced a problem updating the E911 database for
28

1 certain customers who take advantage of the local number portability ("LNP") option. AT&T states
2 that in 2001 it received reject messages for more than 1,700 Arizona numbers when it tried to update
3 the Automatic Locations Identifier Database ("ALI"). AT&T claims 99 percent of the reject
4 messages were due to Qwest failing to unlock the ported numbers. AT&T states as of January 29,
5 2002, at least 222 numbers ported to AT&T have remained locked for more than 30 days. AT&T
6 asserts that if Qwest fails to unlock the number to a new carrier, the new carrier (in this case, AT&T)
7 does not have authorization to update information in the database. AT&T argues that until Qwest
8 implements a process that ensures that it unlocks numbers at the time the number is ported, customers
9 will be endangered, AT&T and other CLECs will be at a competitive disadvantage, and Qwest is in
10 violation of Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

11 On February 20, 2002, Qwest filed a Verified Response to AT&T's Motion. In its Response,
12 Qwest states that AT&T overstates the severity of the problem, as only nine records that have been
13 ported are locked to Qwest, and Qwest has requested Intrado¹ to unlock these records. Qwest's
14 records show that of the total 37 locked AT&T records, 24 are for numbers that are not yet ported and
15 four are locked records of another CLEC and not Qwest. Moreover, Qwest states that the problem of
16 locked records is national in scope and that Qwest is in the process of implementing the December
17 2001 draft recommendations of the National Emergency Number Association ("NENA") to address
18 locked records.

19 This industry recommended process is designed to ensure that no E911 record be removed
20 from the E911 database if a customer changes carriers. Under this industry-approved procedure,
21 Intrado "unlocks" a record in the ALI database when it receives a disconnect form Qwest. The new
22 service provider then sends a corresponding connect order to Intrado that "locks" the record and
23 makes the new service provider responsible for the record. Intrado will also revise the error code
24 process. The first time an error code occurs, Intrado will validate that the port has been activated and
25 upon validation, will immediately unlock the record to process the migrate order. Under this new
26 process, only unsuccessful migrates, such as where Intrado finds that the new service provider has not

27
28 ¹ Qwest contracts with Intrado to manage ALI.

1 activated the service, will be returned to the new service provider for investigation.

2 On March 5, 2002, AT&T filed a Reply. AT&T continues to assert that as of February 25,
3 2002, it has over 250 numbers that Qwest has failed to unlock. AT&T argues that Intrado's solution
4 is manual and delays unlocking CLEC customer data, that the process is untested and that it fails to
5 address the underlying cause of the problem. AT&T claims that the underlying problem is that
6 Qwest is not sending a message to unlock the 911 database when a number is ported. AT&T also
7 notes that Intrado has no legal obligation to perform its function under Qwest's interconnection
8 agreements, the SGAT, FCC provisions or under the Act. AT&T asserts that the Performance
9 Indicator Definitions ("PIDs") designed to measure Qwest's time to update databases and accurate
10 database updates, do not accurately capture Qwest's performance in failing to unlock the 911
11 database in a timely manner.

12 On March 11, 2002, Qwest filed a Verified Surreply. Qwest states that it has determined that
13 many of the errors AT&T receives are due to AT&T's failure to determine the status of its number
14 port activities before it asks for an unlock. Some of the problems are due to the records being locked
15 to another service provider.

16 On April 5, 2002, Staff filed a Response to the Motion. Staff believes that AT&T raises an
17 important issue that must be addressed, but also believes that nothing would be gained by reopening
18 the record and conducting further proceedings on Checklist Item 7. Staff notes that the issue is an
19 industry-wide problem that needs to be resolved on a nationwide basis by national standard setting
20 groups. NENA has reached a draft standard recommendation that Qwest has pro-actively adopted.
21 Staff further noted that most of the disagreement between AT&T and Qwest involves "dueling data"
22 and who is ultimately responsible for the problems AT&T experiences with ported numbers and 911
23 database accuracy. Staff states the problem is not solely of Qwest's doing. Staff asserts that AT&T's
24 concerns about the NENA process would not be productively addressed in a 271 workshop. Staff
25 believes the issue ultimately revolves around whether the new NENA standards and process will be
26 effective. Staff believes that the new process should solve a lot of the current problems and that the
27 industry consensus is the best solution.

28 Staff recommends that Qwest revise its SGAT to incorporate a provision that details the

1 process for Intrado to unlock the Qwest numbers when it is determined by Intrado that the service
2 provider (CLEC) has activated the port. Qwest should be required to propose SGAT language within
3 10 days which details the process to be used by Intrado and which obligates Qwest and the CLECs to
4 follow and implement future NENA standards pertaining to 911. On an on-going basis, Staff
5 recommends that Qwest include such provisions in its interconnection agreements.

6 Staff notes that part of the problem is caused by numbers being locked to carriers other than
7 Qwest. Intrado has agreed to unlock a CLEC customer's records under the NENA process, for no
8 additional charge, if authorized to do so by the CLEC. Staff states that CLEC authorization should
9 not be a problem with future interconnection agreements where these provisions can be included.
10 However, many CLECs are operating under interconnection agreements with no provisions of this
11 nature. Staff believes that a condition contained in all Certificates of Convenience and Necessity
12 which obligates the carrier to follow NENA guidelines on 911, should be sufficient to allow Intrado
13 to unlock CLEC records. However, Staff requests that Qwest notify Staff if Intrado requires actual
14 CLEC authorization and Staff will initiate a separate process to address the issue. Staff believes that
15 a separate process to address the issue outside the 271 proceeding is appropriate since it involves the
16 obligations of CLECs.

17 Regarding AT&T's complaints about the adequacy of existing PIDs, Staff notes that PIDs are
18 addressed in the 271 Test Advisory Group ("TAG"), and that AT&T is free to raise this issue in the
19 TAG and propose the adoption of a new PID to measure Qwest's performance relating to 911
20 database accuracy.

21 Finally, Staff believes that Intrado's "Unsuccessful Migrate Report" that is furnished to all
22 carriers should also be provided to Staff.

23 Being able to maintain an accurate E911 database is of critical importance. Because the
24 problems AT&T has encountered are national in scope and involve carriers other than Qwest, we
25 believe the matter should be addressed in a separate docket. The telecommunications industry has
26 recommended a solution to the problem and Qwest has already implemented it. The parties dispute
27 who is at fault for the large number of error messages and for numbers that remain improperly
28 locked. We agree the workshop process will not resolve the dispute, but that in light of Qwest

1 having implemented the NENA process, a hearing at this time may be premature. The industry
 2 recommended process should be given an opportunity to operate to permit the Commission to
 3 evaluate its effectiveness. Therefore, we direct Staff to continue to monitor the issue and to file a
 4 report with the Commission in six months on the effectiveness of the NENA recommended process.
 5 Such report should include any Staff recommendations for further Commission action. We also
 6 adopt Staff's recommendation that Qwest revise its SGAT to describe the new process for unlocking
 7 numbers in the 911 database.

8 Although we decline to re-open the record with respect to Checklist Item 7, we believe that
 9 CLECs could be competitively disadvantaged if Qwest fails to act expeditiously in unlocking
 10 numbers that have been ported to another carrier. Consequently, we believe that the Performance
 11 Assurance Plan should contain appropriate and meaningful performance measures and associated
 12 financial incentives related to Qwest's obligations relating to the 911 database.

13 * * * * *

14 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the
 15 Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

16 **FINDINGS OF FACT**

17 1. On February 16, 2001, the Commission issued Decision No. 63385, conditionally
 18 approving Qwest's compliance with Section 271 of the 1996 Act Checklist Item No. 7 - 911/E911
 19 Directory Assistance and Operator Services. In Decision No. 63385, the Commission found that all
 20 issues raised in the Arizona Workshops were resolved and that Qwest met the requirements of
 21 Checklist Item 7, subject to Qwest updating its SGAT to incorporate language agreed upon by the
 22 parties in other region Workshops and resolution by the Hearing Division of how to treat issues
 23 arising in other jurisdiction after the record in Arizona has closed.

24 2. On December 28, 2001, the Commission issued Decision No. 64301, which found that
 25 all issues concerning Checklist Item No. 7 have been resolved and that Qwest has complied with the
 26 requirements of Checklist Item No. 7, subject to Qwest passing relevant performance measures in the
 27 OSS test.

28 3. On February 12, 2002, AT&T filed a Motion to Reopen and Supplement the Record

1 on Qwest Corporation's Compliance with Checklist Item Number 7.

2 4. On February 20, 2002, Qwest filed a Verified Response to AT&T's Motion.

3 5. On March 5, 2002, AT&T filed a Reply

4 6. On March 11, 2002, Qwest filed a Verified Surreply.

5 7. On April 5, 2002, Staff filed a Response to the Motion.

6 8. AT&T alleges that since the time the Commission entered its two Orders that
7 concluded Qwest has satisfied the requirements of Checklist Item 7, AT&T has experienced a
8 problem updating the E911 database for certain customers who take advantage of the LNP option. In
9 2001, it received reject messages for more than 1,700 Arizona numbers when it tried to update the
10 ALI database. AT&T claims 99 percent of the reject messages were due to Qwest failing to unlock
11 the ported numbers. AT&T states as of January 29, 2002, at least 222 numbers ported to AT&T have
12 remained locked for more than 30 days.

13 9. Qwest's records showed that of the total 37 locked AT&T records, 24 are for numbers
14 that are not yet ported and four are locked records of another CLEC and not Qwest. Qwest states that
15 only nine records that have been ported are locked to Qwest and that Qwest has requested Intrado
16 unlock these records.

17 10. The problem of locked records is national in scope.

18 11. In December 2001, NENA issued draft recommendations to address locked records.

19 12. In February 2002, Qwest implemented the NENA recommended process for
20 addressing the issue. Pursuant to the industry-recommended procedure, Intrado "unlocks" a record in
21 the ALI database when it receives a disconnect from Qwest. The new service provider then sends a
22 corresponding connect order to Intrado that "locks" the record and makes the new service provider
23 responsible for the record. The first time an error code occurs, Intrado will validate that the port has
24 been activated and upon validation, will immediately unlock the record to process the migrate order.
25 Under this new process, only unsuccessful migrates, such as where Intrado finds that the new service
26 provider has not activated the service, will be returned to the new service provider for investigation.

27 13. AT&T asserts that the NENA recommended process is manual and delays unlocking
28 CLEC customer data, that the process is untested and that it fails to address the underlying cause of

1 the problem. AT&T claims that the underlying problem is that Qwest is not sending a message to
2 unlock the 911 database when a number is ported. Furthermore, AT&T notes that Intrado has no
3 legal obligation to perform its function under Qwest's interconnection agreements, the SGAT, FCC
4 provisions or under the Act.

5 14. AT&T asserts that the PIDs that are designed to measure Qwest's time to update
6 databases and accurate database updates, do not accurately capture Qwest's performance in failing to
7 unlock the 911 database in a timely manner.

8 15. Staff recommends that:

9 (a) Qwest revise its SGAT to incorporate a provision that details the process for
10 Intrado to unlock the Qwest numbers when it is determined by Intrado that the service provider
11 (CLEC) has activated the port;

12 (b) Qwest should be required to propose SGAT language within 10 days which details
13 the process to be used by Intrado and which obligates Qwest and the CLECs to follow and implement
14 future NENA standards pertaining to 911;

15 (c) On an on-going basis, Staff recommends that Qwest include such provisions in its
16 interconnection agreements;

17 (d) Intrado's "Unsuccessful Migrate Report" that is furnished to all carriers should
18 also be provided to Staff.

19 16. Part of the problem is caused by numbers being locked to carriers other than Qwest.
20 Qwest asserts that Intrado has agreed to unlock a CLEC customer's records under the NENA process,
21 for no additional charge, if authorized to do so by the CLEC.

22 17. Staff believes that CLEC authorization should not be a problem with future
23 interconnection agreements where these provisions can be included. However, many CLECs are
24 operating under interconnection agreements with no provisions of this nature. Staff believes that a
25 condition contained in all Certificates of Convenience and Necessity that obligates the carrier to
26 follow NENA guidelines on 911, should be sufficient to allow Intrado to unlock CLEC records.
27 However, Staff requests that Qwest notify Staff if Intrado requires actual CLEC authorization and
28 Staff will initiate a separate process to address the issue. Staff believes that a separate process to

1 address the issue outside the 271 proceeding is appropriate since it involves the obligations of
2 CLECs.

3 18. Staff further recommends that AT&T raise its concerns about the adequacy of existing
4 PIDS to measure Qwest's performance relating to 911 database accuracy in the TAG.

5 19. Because the problems AT&T has encountered are national in scope and involve
6 carriers other than Qwest, we believe the matter should be addressed in a separate docket. Ultimate
7 resolution of the issue depends on whether the new NENA standards and process will be effective.

8 20. The industry recommended process should be given an opportunity to operate to
9 permit the Commission to evaluate its effectiveness. Therefore, we direct Staff to continue to
10 monitor the issue and to file a report with the Commission in six months on the effectiveness of the
11 NENA recommended process. Such report should include any Staff recommendations for further
12 Commission action.

13 21. Staff's recommendation that Qwest revise its SGAT to describe the new process for
14 unlocking numbers in the 911 database is reasonable and should be adopted.

15 22. Although we decline to re-open the record with respect to Checklist Item 7, we believe
16 that CLECs could be competitively disadvantaged if Qwest fails to act expeditiously in unlocking
17 numbers that have been ported to another carrier. Consequently, the Performance Assurance Plan
18 should contain appropriate and meaningful performance measures and associated financial incentives
19 related to Qwest's obligations relating to the 911 database.

20 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

21 1. Qwest is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona
22 Constitution, A.R.S. Sections 40-281 and 40-282 and the Telecommunications Act of 1996 the
23 Commission has jurisdiction over Qwest and the issues raised in AT&T's Motion.

24 2. Staff's recommendations contained in Findings of Fact Nos. 15, 17 and 18 are
25 reasonable.

26 3. The issues raised in AT&T's Motion are national in scope and involve carriers other
27 than Qwest and are appropriately addressed in a docket other than the Section 271 proceedings.
28

ORDER

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that AT&T's Motion to re-open the record on Checklist Item 7 is denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Staff shall cause to be opened a separate docket that will address the Commission's investigation of this issue and establishment of an industry-wide 100 percent standard for locking and unlocking of all 911 database records.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that six months from the Effective Date of this Order, Staff shall file a report with the Commission describing the effectiveness of the NENA-recommended process and containing any recommendations for further Commission action on this issue.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that by May 31, 2002, Qwest shall file revised SGAT language that describes the NENA-recommended process and which obligates Qwest and the CLECs to implement further NENA standards pertaining to 911.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Qwest shall include a provision concerning Intrado's obligation to the CLECs in all future interconnection agreements and amendments.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Qwest shall notify Staff within ten days of the Effective Date of this Order, whether Intrado will rely on generic Certificate of Convenience and Necessity language or will require actual CLEC authorization to unlock numbers ported to service providers other than Qwest.


IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Qwest shall ensure that Staff receives all relevant Intrado Reports.

...
...
...
...
...
...
...

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that issues concerning the adequacy of PIDs to measure Qwest
2 911 database accuracy shall be addressed by the TAG and considered for inclusion in the
3 Performance Assurance Plan during the six month review of that Plan.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

5 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.

6
7 
8 CHAIRMAN 
9 COMMISSIONER 
10 COMMISSIONER

11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive
12 Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have
13 hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the
14 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix,
15 this 21st day of MAY, 2002.

16 
17  BRIAN C. McNEIL
18 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1 SERVICE LIST FOR:

QWEST CORPORATION – CHECKLIST ITEM NO. 7

2 DOCKET NO.:

T-00000A-97-0238

3

4 QWEST Corporation
1801 California Street, #5100
5 Denver, Colorado 80202

Bradley Carroll
Cox Arizona Telcom, L.L.C.
20401 N. 29th Avenue, Suite 100
Phoenix, Arizona 85027

6 Maureen Arnold
U S WEST Communications, Inc.
7 3033 N. Third Street, Room 1010
Phoenix, Arizona 85012

Richard M. Rindler
Morton J. Posner
SWIDER & BERLIN
3000 K Street, N.W. Suite 300
Washington, DC 20007

8 Michael M. Grant
9 GALLAGHER AND KENNEDY
2575 East Camelback Road
10 Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225

Michael W. Patten
ROSHKA HEYMAN & DEWULF
400 E. Van Buren, Suite 800
Phoenix, Arizona 85004

11 Timothy Berg
FENNEMORE CRAIG
12 3003 N. Central Ave., Suite 2600
Phoenix, Arizona 85016

Charles Kallenbach
AMERICAN COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES INC
131 National Business Parkway
Annapolis Junction, Maryland 20701

13 Mark Dioguardi
14 TIFFANY AND BOSCO PA
500 Dial Tower
15 1850 N. Central Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Karen L. Clauson
Thomas F. Dixon
MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP
707 17th Street, #3900
Denver, Colorado 80202

16 Nigel Bates
17 ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE, INC.
4400 NE 77th Avenue
Vancouver, Washington 98662

Richard S. Wolters
AT&T & TCG
1875 Lawrence Street, Room 1575
Denver, Colorado 80202

18 Thomas L. Mumaw
19 Jeffrey W. Crockett
SNELL & WILMER
20 One Arizona Center
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-0001

Joyce Hundley
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Antitrust Division
1401 H Street NW, Suite 8000
Washington, DC 20530

21 Darren S. Weingard
22 Stephen H. Kukta
SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS CO L.P.
23 1850 Gateway Drive, 7th Floor
San Mateo, California 94404-2467

Joan Burke
OSBORN MALEDON
2929 N. Central Avenue, 21st Floor
P.O. Box 36379
Phoenix, Arizona 85067-6379

24 Thomas H. Campbell
25 LEWIS & ROCA
40 N. Central Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Scott S. Wakefield, Chief Counsel
RUCO
2828 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200
Phoenix, Arizona 85004

26 Andrew O. Isar
27 TRI
4312 92nd Avenue, N.W.
28 Gig Harbor, Washington 98335

- | | | |
|----|---|---|
| 1 | Gregory Hoffman 795 Folsom Street, Room 2159 San Francisco, CA 94107-1243 | M. Andrew Andrade 5261 S. Quebec Street, Suite 150 Greenwood Village, CO 80111 Attorney for TESS Communications, Inc. |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Daniel Waggoner DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE 2600 Century Square | Todd C. Wiley GALLAGHER & KENNEDY 2575 E. Camelback Road Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225 |
| 4 | 1501 Fourth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101-1688 | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | Douglas Hsiao Jim Scheltema Blumenfeld & Cohen | Laura Izon COVAD COMMUNICATIONS CO 4250 Burton Street Santa Clara, California 95054 |
| 7 | 1625 Massachusetts Ave. N.W., Suite 300 Washington, DC 20036 | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | Raymond S. Heyman Randall H. Warner ROSHKA HEYMAN & DeWULF | Al Sterman ARIZONA CONSUMERS COUNCIL 2849 E 8th Street Tucson Arizona 85716 |
| 10 | 400 E. Van Buren, Suite 800 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 | |
| 11 | | Brian Thomas TIME WARNER TELECOM, INC. 520 S.W. 6 th Avenue, Suite 300 Portland, Oregon 97204 |
| 12 | Diane Bacon, Legislative Director COMMUNICATIONS WORKERS OF AMERICA 5818 North 7 th Street, Suite 206 Phoenix, Arizona 85014-5811 | |
| 13 | | Jon Poston ACTS 6733 E. Dale Lane Cave Creek, Arizona 85331-6561 |
| 14 | Mark N. Rogers Excell Agent Services, L.L.C. 2175 W. 14 th Street Tempe, Arizona 85281 | |
| 15 | | Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 |
| 16 | Robert S. Tanner DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 17203 n. 42 ND Street Phoenix, Arizona 85032 | |
| 17 | | Ernest G. Johnson, Director Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 |
| 18 | Mark P. Trincherro DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 1300 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 2300 Portland, Oregon 97201 | |
| 19 | | ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 2627 N. Third Street, Suite Three Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1003 |
| 20 | Jon Loehman Managing Director-Regulatory SBC Telecom, Inc. 5800 Northwest Parkway Suite 135, Room 1.S.40 San Antonio, Texas 78249 | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | Lyndall Nipps Director, Regulatory Allegiance Telecom, Inc. 845 Camino Sure Palm Springs, California 92262 | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |