



0000026881

Docket

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
CHAIRMAN
JIM IRVIN
COMMISSIONER
MARC SPITZER
COMMISSIONER

JUN 26 2002

DOCKETED BY 

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
LEGENT COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION
FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND
NECESSITY TO PROVIDE COMPETITIVE
RESOLD INTEREXCHANGE
TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, EXCEPT
LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES

DOCKET NO. T-04084A-02-0109

DECISION NO. 64979

ORDER

Open Meeting
June 25 and 26, 2002
Phoenix, Arizona

BY THE COMMISSION:

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On February 8, 2002, Legent Communication Corporation ("Applicant" or "Legent") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to provide competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services, except local exchange services, within the State of Arizona.
2. Applicant is a switchless reseller that purchases telecommunications services from a variety of carriers for resale to its customers.
3. In Decision No. 58926 (December 22, 1994), the Commission found that resold telecommunications providers ("resellers") are public service corporations subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission.
4. Legent has authority to transact business in the State of Arizona.
5. On March 7, 2002, Legent filed an Affidavit of Publication indicating compliance with the Commission's notice requirements.

1 6. On March 1, 2002, Staff filed in this docket a letter to the Applicant requesting
2 information necessary in order to make a fair value rate base finding. Applicant filed its response on
3 March 22, 2002.

4 7. On April 3, 2002, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Staff
5 Report which includes Staff's fair value rate base determination in this matter and recommends
6 approval of the application subject to certain conditions.

7 8. In the Staff Report, Staff stated that Legent provided financial statements for the year
8 ending August 31, 2001, which list assets of \$293,118, total equity of \$43,118, and a net income of
9 \$38,118.

10 9. In its Staff Report, Staff stated that based on information obtained from the Applicant,
11 it has determined that Legent's fair value rate base is zero, and is too small to be useful in setting
12 rates. Staff further stated that in general, rates for competitive services are not set according to rate of
13 return regulation, but are heavily influenced by the market. Staff recommended that the Commission
14 not set rates for Legent based on the fair value of its rate base.

15 10. Staff believes that Legent has no market power and that the reasonableness of its rates
16 will be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors. In light of the competitive market in which
17 the Applicant will be providing its services, Staff believes that the rates in Applicant's proposed
18 tariffs for its competitive services will be just and reasonable, and recommends that the Commission
19 approve them.

20 11. Staff recommended approval of Legent's application subject to the following:

21 (a) The Applicant should be ordered to comply with all Commission rules, orders,
22 and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications
23 service;

24 (b) The Applicant should be ordered to maintain its accounts and records as
25 required by the Commission;

26 (c) The Applicant should be ordered to file with the Commission all financial and
27 other reports that the Commission may require, and in a form and at such times as the
28 Commission may designate;

 (d) The Applicant should be ordered to maintain on file with the Commission all

1 current tariffs and rates, and any service standards that the Commission may require;

2 (e) The Applicant should be ordered to comply with the Commission's rules and
3 modify its tariffs to conform to these rules if it is determined that there is a conflict
4 between the Applicant's tariffs and the Commission's rules;

5 (f) The Applicant should be ordered to cooperate with Commission investigations
6 of customer complaints;

7 (g) The Applicant should be ordered to participate in and contribute to a universal
8 service fund, as required by the Commission;

9 (h) The Applicant should be ordered to notify the Commission immediately upon
10 changes to the Applicant's address or telephone number;

11 (i) If at some future date, the Applicant wants to collect from its customers an
12 advance, deposit and/or prepayment, it must file information with the Commission for
13 Staff review. Upon receipt of such filing and after Staff review, Staff would forward
14 its recommendation to the Commission;

15 (j) The Applicant's interexchange service offerings should be classified as
16 competitive pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1108;

17 (k) The Applicant's maximum rates should be the maximum rates proposed by the
18 Applicant in its proposed tariffs. The minimum rates for the Applicant's competitive
19 services should be the Applicant's total service long run incremental costs of
20 providing those services as set forth in A.A.C. R14-2-1109; and

21 (l) In the event that the Applicant states only one rate in its proposed tariff for a
22 competitive service, the rate stated should be the effective (actual) price to be charged
23 for the service as well as the service's maximum rate.

24 12. Staff further recommended that Legent's Certificate should be conditioned upon the
25 Applicant filing conforming tariffs in accordance with this Decision within 365 days from the date of
26 an Order in this matter, or 30 days prior to providing service, whichever comes first.

27 13. Staff recommended that if the Applicant fails to meet the timeframes outlined in
28 Findings of Fact No. 12, that Legent's Certificate should become null and void without further Order
of the Commission, and that no time extensions for compliance should be granted.

14. The rates proposed by this filing are for competitive services.

15. Staff's recommendations as set forth herein are reasonable.

16. Legent's fair value rate base is zero.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1
2 1. Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona
3 Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.

4 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the
5 application.

6 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.

7 4. Applicant's provision of resold interexchange telecommunications services is in the
8 public interest.

9 5. Applicant is a fit and proper entity to receive a Certificate as conditioned herein for
10 providing competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services in Arizona.

11 6. Staff's recommendations in Findings of Fact No. 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 should be
12 adopted.

13 7. Legent's fair value rate base is not useful in determining just and reasonable rates for
14 the competitive services it proposes to provide to Arizona customers.

15 8. Legent's rates, as they appear in its proposed tariffs, are just and reasonable and
16 should be approved.

17 ORDER

18 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of Legent Communications Corporation
19 for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for authority to provide competitive resold
20 interexchange telecommunications services, except local exchange services, is hereby granted,
21 conditioned upon its compliance with the conditions recommended by Staff as set forth in Findings of
22 Fact Nos. 11, 12 and 13 above.

23 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Staff's recommendations set forth in Findings of Fact Nos.
24 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 above are hereby adopted.

25 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Legent Communications Corporation shall comply with
26 the adopted Staff recommendations as set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 11 and 12 above.

27 ...

28 ...

1 ...


2 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Legent Communications Corporation fails to meet the
3 timeframes outlined in Findings of Fact. No. 12 above that the Certificate conditionally granted
4 herein shall become null and void without further Order of the Commission.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

6 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.

7
8 
9 CHAIRMAN

8 _____
9 COMMISSIONER

8 
9 COMMISSIONER

10 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive
11 Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have
12 hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the
13 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix,
14 this 26th day of June, 2002.

13 
14 BRIAN C. McNEIL
15 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

16 DISSENT _____
17 MES:dap

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 SERVICE LIST FOR: LEGENT COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION

2 DOCKET NO.: T-04084A-02-0109

3

4 Stacey A. Klinzman
MILLER ISAR, INC.
7901 Skansie Avenue, Suite 240
5 Gig Harbor, Washington, 98335
6 Consultants for Legent Communications Corporation

7 Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
Legal Division
8 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
9 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

10 Ernest G. Johnson, Director
Utilities Division
11 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
12 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28.