



0000025478

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Arizona Corporation Commission

COMMISSIONERS

DOCKETED

MARC SPITZER, Chairman  
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL  
JEFF HATCH-MILLER  
MIKE GLEASON  
KRISTIN K. MAYES

JAN 20 2004

DOCKETED BY *sd*

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF  
T.N.C., INC. FOR A CERTIFICATE OF  
CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE  
COMPETITIVE RESOLD INTEREXCHANGE  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, EXCEPT  
LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES.

DOCKET NO. T-04213A-03-0751

DECISION NO. 66728

ORDER

Open Meeting  
January 13 and 14, 2004  
Phoenix, Arizona

BY THE COMMISSION:

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On October 10, 2003, T.N.C., Inc ("Applicant" or "T.N.C.") filed with the Commission an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to provide competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services, except local exchange services, within the State of Arizona.

2. Applicant is a switchless reseller that purchases telecommunications services from a variety of carriers for resale to its customers.

3. In Decision No. 58926 (December 22, 1994), the Commission found that resold telecommunications providers ("resellers") are public service corporations subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission.

4. T.N.C. has authority to transact business in the State of Arizona.

5. On November 10, 2003, T.N.C. filed an Affidavit of Publication indicating compliance with the Commission's notice requirements.

1           6.       On December 19, 2003, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a  
2 Staff Report in this matter, which includes Staff's fair value rate base determination in this matter and  
3 recommends approval of the application subject to certain conditions.

4           7.       In the Staff Report, Staff stated that T.N.C. provided unaudited financial statements  
5 for the twelve month period ending December 31, 2002, which list assets of \$959,028, equity of  
6 \$311,949, and a net income of \$42,647.

7           8.       In its Staff Report, Staff stated that based on information obtained from the Applicant,  
8 it has determined that T.N.C.'s fair value rate base ("FVRB") is zero. Staff has determined that  
9 Applicant's FVRB is too small to be useful in a fair value analysis and is not useful in setting rates.  
10 Staff further stated that in general, rates for competitive services are not set according to rate of return  
11 regulation, but are heavily influenced by the market. Staff recommended that the Commission not set  
12 rates for T.N.C. based on the fair value of its rate base.

13           9.       Staff believes that T.N.C. has no market power and that the reasonableness of its rates  
14 will be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors. In light of the competitive market in which  
15 the Applicant will be providing its services, Staff believes that the rates in Applicant's proposed  
16 tariffs for its competitive services will be just and reasonable, and recommends that the Commission  
17 approve them.

18           10.      Staff recommended approval of T.N.C.'s application subject to the following:

19                   (a)      The Applicant should be ordered to comply with all Commission rules, orders,  
20 and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications  
21 service;

22                   (b)      The Applicant should be ordered to maintain its accounts and records as  
23 required by the Commission;

24                   (c)      The Applicant should be ordered to file with the Commission all financial and  
25 other reports that the Commission may require, and in a form and at such times as the  
26 Commission may designate;

27                   (d)      The Applicant should be ordered to maintain on file with the Commission all  
28 current tariffs and rates, and any service standards that the Commission may require;

                  (e)      The Applicant should be ordered to comply with the Commission's rules and  
modify its tariffs to conform to these rules if it is determined that there is a conflict

1 between the Applicant's tariffs and the Commission's rules;

2 (f) The Applicant should be ordered to cooperate with Commission investigations,  
3 including but not limited to, customer complaints;

4 (g) The Applicant should be ordered to participate in and contribute to a universal  
5 service fund, as required by the Commission;

6 (h) The Applicant should be ordered to notify the Commission immediately upon  
7 changes to the Applicant's address or telephone number;

8 (i) If at some future date, the Applicant wants to collect from its customers an  
9 advance, deposit, and/or prepayment, it must file an application with the Commission  
10 for Staff review and Commission approval. Such application must reference the  
11 decision in this docket and must explain the applicant's plan for procuring a  
12 performance bond;

13 (j) The Applicant's intrastate interexchange service offerings should be classified  
14 as competitive pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1108;

15 (k) The Applicant's maximum rates should be the maximum rates proposed by the  
16 Applicant in its proposed tariffs. The minimum rates for the Applicant's competitive  
17 services should be the Applicant's total service long run incremental costs of  
18 providing those services as set forth in A.A.C. R14-2-1109; and

19 (l) In the event that the Applicant states only one rate in its proposed tariff for a  
20 competitive service, the rate stated should be the effective (actual) price to be charged  
21 for the service as well as the service's maximum rate.

22 11. Staff further recommended that T.N.C.'s Certificate should be conditioned upon the  
23 Applicant filing conforming tariffs in accordance with this Decision within 365 days of the effective  
24 date of this Decision, or 30 days prior to providing service, whichever comes first.

25 12. Staff recommended that if the Applicant fails to meet the timeframes outlined in  
26 Findings of Fact No. 11, that T.N.C.'s Certificate should become null and void without further Order  
27 of the Commission, and that no time extensions for compliance should be granted.

28 13. The rates proposed by this filing are for competitive services.

14. Staff's recommendations as set forth herein are reasonable.

15. T.N.C.'s fair value rate base is zero.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1  
2 1. Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the  
3 Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.

4 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the  
5 application.

6 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.

7 4. Applicant's provision of resold interexchange telecommunications services is in the  
8 public interest.

9 5. Applicant is a fit and proper entity to receive a Certificate as conditioned herein for  
10 providing competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services in Arizona.

11 6. Staff's recommendations in Findings of Fact Nos. 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12 should be  
12 adopted.

13 7. T.N.C.'s fair value rate base is not useful in determining just and reasonable rates for  
14 the competitive services it proposes to provide to Arizona customers.

15 8. T.N.C.'s rates, as they appear in its proposed tariffs, are just and reasonable and  
16 should be approved.

17 ORDER

18 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of T.N.C., Inc. for a Certificate of  
19 Convenience and Necessity for authority to provide competitive resold interexchange  
20 telecommunications services, except local exchange services, is hereby granted, conditioned upon its  
21 compliance with the conditions recommended by Staff as set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 10, 11  
22 and 12 above.

23 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Staff's recommendations set forth in Findings of Fact Nos.  
24 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12 above are hereby adopted.

25 ...  
26 ...  
27 ...  
28 ...



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that T.N.C., Inc. shall comply with the adopted Staff recommendations as set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 10 and 11 above.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if T.N.C., Inc. fails to meet the timeframes outlined in Findings of Fact No. 11 above, that the Certificate conditionally granted herein shall become null and void without further Order of the Commission.


IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.

		
CHAIRMAN	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER

	
COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 20<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2004.



BRIAN C. McNEIL  
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

DISSENT \_\_\_\_\_

DISSENT \_\_\_\_\_  
AP:mj

1 SERVICE LIST FOR: T.N.C., INC.  
2 DOCKET NO.: T-04213A-03-0751

3  
4 Monique Byrnes  
5 Technologies Management, Inc.  
6 210 North Park Avenue  
7 Winter Park, Florida 32789

8 Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel  
9 Legal Division  
10 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
11 1200 West Washington Street  
12 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

13 Ernest G. Johnson, Director  
14 Utilities Division  
15 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
16 1200 West Washington Street  
17 Phoenix, Arizona 85007  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28