



0000025205

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAY 24 2001

| | |
|-------------|--|
| DOCKETED BY | |
|-------------|--|

1
2 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
Chairman
3 JIM IRVIN
Commissioner
4 MARC SPITZER
Commissioner
5

6 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF)
CITIZENS COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY -)
7 FILING FOR APPROVAL OF A GAS SUPPLY)
AGREEMENT WITH PP&L ENERGY PLUS)
8 AND CHANGES TO THE NEGOTIATED SALES)
PROGRAM TARIFF)
9

DOCKET NO. G-01032A-01-0372

DECISION NO. 63678

ORDER

10 Open Meeting
May 22 and 23, 2001
11 Phoenix, Arizona

12 BY THE COMMISSION:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 14 1. Citizens Communications Company ("Citizens") is engaged in providing natural gas
15 within portions of Arizona, pursuant to authority granted by the Arizona Corporation Commission.
- 16 2. On May 2, 2001, Citizens filed for Commission approval of a gas supply agreement with
17 PP&L Energy Plus for the Griffith Energy generating facility ("Griffith"). Citizens filing also requests
18 Commission approval of several changes to the Negotiated Sales Program ("NSP") tariff.
- 19 3. The Griffith plant is a 650 megawatt natural gas fired generation facility that is located
20 near Kingman, Arizona. Duke Energy and Griffith Energy LLC, a subsidiary of PP&L Global, Inc.,
21 own the Griffith plant. Griffith anticipates beginning commercial operation in June 2001.
- 22 4. Previously, the Commission approved a special gas transportation agreement between
23 Citizens and Griffith on July 21, 1999 (Decision No. 61835) approving Citizens' construction of
24 natural gas pipeline facilities to interconnect Griffith with the El Paso and Transwestern interstate
25 pipelines.
- 26 5. The proposed changes to the NSP tariff add language indicating that special gas supply
27 contracts such as this contract between Citizens and Griffith are eligible for service under the NSP
28 tariff, but require Commission approval.

1 6. Currently, the wording of the NSP tariff limits service on the tariff to customers who
2 receive service under Citizens' T-1 tariff for transportation of customer-secured natural gas. Because
3 Griffith is a new customer, it does not currently receive any type of gas service from Citizens and,
4 therefore, does not qualify for service under the current NSP tariff. Any customer served under a
5 special gas supply contract would have to meet the minimum requirements of the T-1 tariff.

6 7. Citizens has provided the proposed contract to Staff under a confidentiality agreement.
7 The term of the proposed agreement would be July 1, 2001, through September 30, 2003.

8 8. The agreement contains a clause indicating that the parties will make their best efforts
9 to implement the terms of the agreement prior to July 1, 2001, if Commission approval is granted
10 before July 1, 2001.

11 9. Citizens currently holds interstate pipeline capacity rights on the El Paso Natural Gas
12 Company and Transwestern interstate pipelines. Citizens will use existing pipeline capacity rights to
13 serve Griffith.

14 10. The proposed agreement would be used to supply Griffith with a portion of its natural
15 gas needs.

16 11. Staff has reviewed the proposed agreement and believes that the revenues Citizens
17 receives should cover its cost of serving the Griffith. However, it is theoretically possible that Citizens
18 could experience a negative margin on this agreement.

19 12. Under existing NSP tariff provisions, the margin from sales to NSP customers is split
20 50/50 between Citizens and the ratepayers. The ratepayers' share of the margin is credited to Citizens
21 purchased gas adjustor bank balance, providing a direct benefit to ratepayers.

22 13. The proposed agreement appears to benefit both Citizens and its ratepayers. The
23 proposed changes to the NSP tariff clarify how customers such as Griffith should be served under
24 Citizens' tariffs.

25 14. Staff has recommended approval of the filing.

26 15. Further, Staff has recommended that any negative margin resulting from this agreement
27 not be recovered from ratepayers in any future proceeding.

28 ...

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1
2 1. Citizens is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV,
3 Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.

4 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Citizens and over the subject matter of the
5 application.

6 3. The Commission, having reviewed the application and Staff's Memorandum dated May
7 8, 2001, concludes that it is in the public interest to approve the filing.




ORDER

9 THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the revised filing be and hereby is approved.

10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any negative margin resulting from this agreement not be
11 recovered from ratepayers in any future proceeding.

12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

13
14
15 
16 CHAIRMAN  COMMISSIONER  COMMISSIONER

17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive
18 Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have
19 hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this
20 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of
Phoenix, this 24th day of May, 2001.

21
22 
23 BRIAN C. McNEIL
Executive Secretary

24
25 DISSENT: _____

26 DRS:BGG:lhm

1 SERVICE LIST FOR: Citizens Communications Company
DOCKET NO. G-01032A-01-0372

2 Mr. Carl W. Dabelstein
3 Vice President, Regulatory Affairs
Citizens Utilities Company
4 2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 1660
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2736

5 Mr. Christopher C. Kempley
6 Chief Counsel
Arizona Corporation Commission
7 1200 West Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

8 Ms. Deborah R. Scott
9 Director, Utilities Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
10 1200 West Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28