

ORIGINAL
COVER SHEET



0000023385

RECEIVED

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL CENTER

CASE/COMPANY NAME:

DOCKET NO. T-00000A-97-0288 NOV 26 P 3: 30

QWEST CORPORATION'S COMPLIANCE WITH
SECTION 271 OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF
1996

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

D/B/A: _____

NATURE OF ACTION OR DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT Please mark the item that describes the nature of the case/filing:

01 NEW APPLICATIONS

- ___ NEW CC&N
- ___ RATES
- ___ INTERIM RATES
- ___ CANCELANON OF CC&N
- ___ DELETION OF CC&N TERRITORY
- ___ EXTENSION OF CC&N (TERRITORY)
- ___ TARIFF-NEW (NEXT OPEN MEETING)
- ___ REQUEST FOR ARBITRATION
(Telecommunication Act)
- ___ FULLY OR PARTIALLY ARBITRATED
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT
(Telecom. Act)
- ___ VOLUNTARY INTERCONNECTION
AGREEMENT (Telecom. Act)

- ___ MAIN EXTENSION
- ___ CONTRACT/AGREEMENTS
- ___ COMPLAINT (Formal)
- ___ RULE VARIANCE/WAIVER REQUEST
- ___ SITING COMMITTEE CASE
- ___ SMALL WATER COMPANY-SURCHARGE (Senate Bill 1252)
- ___ NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY
- ___ SALE OF ASSETS & TRANSFER OF OWNERSHP
- ___ SALE OF ASSETS & CANCELLATION OF CC&N
- ___ FUEL ADJUSTER/PGA
- ___ MERGER
- ___ FINANCING
- ___ MISCELLANEOUS
Specify _____

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

NOV 26 2001

02 REVISIONS/AMENDMENTS TO
PENDING OR APPROVED MATTERS

___ APPLICATION
COMPANY _____
DOCKET NO. _____

___ TARIFF
___ PROMOTIONAL
DECISION NO. _____
DOCKET NO. _____
___ COMPLIANCE
DECISION NO. _____
DOCKET NO. _____

DOCKETED BY

MISCELLANEOUS FILINGS

- ___ 04 AFFIDAVIT
- ___ 12 EXCEPTION
- ___ 18 REQUEST FOR INTERVENTION
- ___ 48 REQUEST FOR HEARING
- ___ 24 OPPOSITION
- ___ 50 COMPLIANCE ITEM FOR APPROVAL

- ___ 29 STIPULATION
- ___ 38 NOTICE OF INTENT
(Only notification of future action/no action necessary)
- ___ 43 PETITION
- ___ 46 NOTICE OF LIMITED APPEARANCE
- XX 39 **OTHER**
Specify: Qwest's Notice of Filing

Dated: November 26, 2001

Tim Berg/QWEST Corporation.
Print Name of Applicant/Company/Contact Person

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

RECEIVED

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
COMMISSIONER
JIM IRVIN
COMMISSIONER
MARC SPITZER
COMMISSIONER

2001 NOV 26 P 3:30

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

IN THE MATTER OF U S WEST
COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S COMPLIANCE
WITH § 271 OF THE
TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996.

DOCKET NO. T-00000A-97-0238

**QWEST CORPORATION'S
NOTICE OF FILING**

Qwest Corporation ("QWEST") hereby submits for filing with this Commission KPMG's Report of the Independent Public Accounts, Attestation Examination with respect to Report of Management on Compliance with Applicable Requirements of Section 272 of the Telecommunication Act of 1996. This report and the accompanying documents were filed with the seven states involved in the multi-state 271 process on November 15, 2001.

In accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Professional Standards, AT § 9100.56; AU § 339.02-.08; AU § 9339.02 (2000), KPMG will make its workpapers available to the Commission for its review, subject to confidentiality restrictions, at a mutually convenient time and location in this state.


///

///

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED


NOV 26 2001

DOCKETED BY 

DATED this 26th day of November, 2001.

Respectfully submitted,

QWEST CORPORATION

By: 

Timothy Berg
Theresa Dwyer
FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.
3003 North Central Ave., Suite 2600
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913
(602) 916-5421
(602) 916-5999 (facsimile)

John L. Munn
QWEST CORPORATION
1801 California Street
Suite 5100
Denver, Colorado 80202
(303) 672-5823
(303) 298-8197 (facsimile)

Attorneys for Qwest Corporation

**ORIGINAL +10 copies filed this 26th day
of November, 2001, with:**

Docket Control
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ

COPY of the foregoing delivered this day to:

Maureen A. Scott
Legal Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Ernest G. Johnson, Director

Utilities Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Lyn Farmer, Chief Administrative Law Judge
Jane Rodda, Administrative Law Judge
Hearing Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Caroline Butler
Legal Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

COPY of the foregoing mailed and/or e-mailed
this day to:

Steven H. Kukta
SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
1850 Gateway Drive, 7th floor
San Mateo, CA 94404-2567

Eric S. Heath
SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS CO.
100 Spear Street, Suite 930
San Francisco, CA 94105

Thomas Campbell
Lewis & Roca
40 N. Central Ave.
Phoenix, AZ 85004

Joan S. Burke
Osborn Maledon, P.A.
2929 N. Central Ave., 21st Floor
PO Box 36379
Phoenix, AZ 85067-6379

Thomas F. Dixon
Worldcom, Inc.
707 17th Street # 3900
Denver, CO 80202

Scott S. Wakefield

Residential Utility Consumer Office
2828 North Central Ave., Suite 1200
Phoenix, AZ 85004

Michael M. Grant
Todd C. Wiley
Gallagher & Kennedy
2575 E. Camelback Rd.
Phoenix, AZ 85016-9225

Michael Patten
Roshka Heyman & DeWulf
400 East Van Buren Street
Suite 900
Phoenix, AZ 85004-3906

Bradley S Carroll
Cox Communications
20401 North 29th Avenue
Phoenix, AZ 85027-3148

Daniel Waggoner
Davis, Wright & Tremaine
2600 Century Square
1501 Fourth Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101-1688

Traci Grundon
Davis Wright & Tremaine
1300 S.W. Fifth Avenue
Portland, OR 97201

Richard S. Wolters
Maria Arias-Chapleau
AT&T Law Department
1875 Lawrence Street # 1575
Denver, CO 80202

David Kaufman
e.Spire Communications, Inc.
343 W. Manhattan Street
Santa Fe, NM 87501

Alaine Miller

XO Communications, Inc.
500 108th Ave. NE, Suite 2200
Bellevue, WA 98004

Diane Bacon, Legislative Director
Communications Workers of America
5818 N. 7th St., Suite 206
Phoenix, Arizona 85014-5811

Philip A. Doherty
545 South Prospect Street, Suite 22
Burlington, VT 05401

W. Hagood Bellinger
5312 Trowbridge Drive
Dunwoody, GA 30338
Joyce Hundley
U.S. Dept. of Justice
Antitrust Division
1401 H Street, NW, # 8000
Washington, DC 20530

Andrew O. Isar
Telecommunications Resellers Association
4312 92nd Ave., NW
Gig Harbor, WA 98335

Raymond S. Heyman
Two Arizona Center
400 North Van Buren Street, Suite 800
Phoenix, AZ 85004-3906

Thomas L. Mumaw
Snell & Wilmer
One Arizona Center
Phoenix, AZ 85004-0001

Charles Kallenbach
American Communications Services, Inc.
131 National Business Parkway
Annapolis Junction, MD 20701

Lyndon J. Godfrey


Vice President – Government Affairs
AT&T
675 E. 500 S.
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Gena Doyscher
Global Crossing Services, Inc.
1221 Nicollet Mall
Minneapolis, MN 55403-2420

Andrea Harris, Senior Manager
Allegiance Telecom, Inc. of Arizona
2101 Webster, Ste. 1580
Oakland, CA 94612

Gary L. Lane, Esq.
6902 East 1st Street, Suite 201
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Steve Strickland
SBC Telecom, Inc.
300 Convent, 18th Floor
San Antonio, Texas 78201



BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

In the Matter of Qwest Corporation's Motion for an
Alternative Procedure to Manage the Section 271 Process)
Case No. USW-T-00-3)
)

**STATE OF IOWA
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
UTILITIES BOARD**

IN RE:)
DOCKET NO. INU-00-2)
QWEST CORPORATION)
)

**DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE REGULATION
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA**

IN THE MATTER OF the Investigation Into Qwest
Corporation's Compliance with Section 271 of the
Telecommunications Act of 1996)
Docket No. D2000.5.70)
)

**STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

Qwest Corporation)
Section 271 Compliance)
Investigation)
Case No. PU-314-97-193)
)

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Application of Qwest Corporation for
Approval of Compliance with 47 U.S.C. § 271(d)(2)(B))
Docket No. 00-049-08)
)

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WYOMING

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF QWEST)
CORPORATION REGARDING 271 OF THE FEDERAL)
TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996, WYOMING'S)
PARTICIPATION IN A MULTI-STATE SECTION 271)
PROCESS, AND APPROVAL OF ITS STATEMENT OF)
GENERALLY AVAILABLE)
DOCKET No. 70000-TA-00-599)
)

BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO REGULATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF Qwest Corporation's Section 271)
Application and Motion for Alternative Procedure to)
Manage the Section 271 Process)
Utility Case No. 3269)
)

Qwest's Submission of Results of Independent Testing

Qwest Corporation (the "BOC") herewith respectfully submits the attached report ("KPMG Report") of KPMG LLP ("KPMG"), conducted in accordance with the recommendation in Part IV (Section 272) of the Multistate Facilitator's Report on Group 5 Issues: General Terms and Conditions, Section 272 and Track A, dated September 21, 2001 ("Report"). Additionally, the affidavits of Judith L. Brunsting and Marie E. Schwartz are attached. These affidavits address the findings of the KPMG Report and the controls instituted in response thereto.

Introduction

Earlier this year, the BOC engaged in what the Facilitator concluded were "substantial efforts" to retool Qwest Communications Corporation ("the 272 Affiliate") to be its future provider of in-region interLATA service. Report at 53-54. In order to validate and reinforce these efforts, the BOC has now taken the further unprecedented and valuable step recommended by the Facilitator of submitting to a *pre-approval* review of its Section 272 accounting controls.

As noted below in greater detail, the KPMG Report concludes that except in 12 instances, both the BOC and the 272 Affiliate complied in all material respects with the applicable FCC accounting rules. KPMG's examination was comprehensive. It did not exclude transactions of a *de minimis* nature (*see id.* at 56): at least half of these 12 instances had a financial impact of less than \$25,000.¹ Nor was it confined to transactions in which the BOC was the "vendor or supplier" of services to the 272 Affiliate (*see id.* at 54): seven of them involved the provision of services *from* the 272 Affiliate *to* the BOC. Nor did KPMG limit its review to transactions in which the error resulted in the kind of "anticompetitive discrimination and cost-shifting" against

which Section 272 was designed to protect:² the net financial impact of all 12 transactions worked to the 272 Affiliate's *detriment*. The overarching goal of Section 272's separation and nondiscrimination provisions is to prevent the BOC from advantaging its 272 affiliate over that affiliate's competitors.

Most of these transactions also involved errors previously identified by the BOC and the 272 Affiliate themselves. Nevertheless, because they take their 272 responsibilities seriously and strive to improve procedures to aid in compliance with those requirements, the BOC and the 272 Affiliate have undertaken a careful review of KPMG's findings, in an effort to identify aspects in which their existing controls can be strengthened in preparation for the 272 Affiliate's future provision of in-region interLATA service. As set forth in the attached affidavits, they have taken the appropriate steps to correct these errors, and are reinforcing and supplementing training programs and other controls to assist them in their ongoing efforts to ensure procedures "reasonably designed to prevent, as well as detect and correct, any noncompliance with section 272."³ As the FCC has recognized, the requirements of further expert review through section 272(d) biennial audits following 271 authorization also "will provide an appropriate mechanism for detecting potential anticompetitive or otherwise improper conduct."⁴ In light of all of these

¹ The Facilitator concluded that "the concept of materiality should remain a part of evaluating compliance with § 272(b)(2)," based on the universe of transactions between the BOC and the 272 Affiliate during the relevant time period. Report at 9, 56.

² Report and Order, *Implementation of the Telecommunications Act of 1996: Accounting Safeguards Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, 11 FCC Rcd 17,539 (1996) ("*Accounting Safeguards Order*"); First Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, *Implementation of the Non-Accounting Safeguards of Sections 271 and 272 of the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended*, 11 FCC Rcd 21,905 ¶ 9 (1996) ("*Non-Accounting Safeguards Order*").

³ Memorandum Opinion and Order, *Application by SBC Communications Inc., Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, and Southwestern Bell Communications Services, Inc. d/b/a Southwestern Bell Long Distance Pursuant to Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 To Provide In-Region, InterLATA Services in Texas*, 15 FCC Rcd 18,354 ¶ 398 (2000) ("*SBC Texas Order*"); Memorandum Opinion and Order, *Application by Bell Atlantic New York for Authorization Under Section 271 of the Communications Act To Provide In-Region, InterLATA Service in the State of New York*, 15 FCC Rcd 3953 ¶ 405 & n.1253 (1999), *aff'd sub nom AT&T Corp. v. FCC*, 220 F.3d 607 (D.C. Cir. 2000) ("*BANY Order*").

⁴ *SBC Texas Order* ¶ 406. See also *BANY Order* ¶ 412.

factors, the record now convincingly demonstrates that, when granted, the BOC's future Section 271 authorizations "will be carried out in accordance with the requirements of section 272." 47 U.S.C. § 271(d)(3)(B).

Background

The Facilitator concluded that "[t]he record demonstrates that Qwest has met . . . each of the separate affiliate requirements established by section 272 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996." Report at 7. In reaching this conclusion, the Facilitator examined the record with respect to both of the BOC's successive Section 272 affiliates: U S WEST Long Distance, Inc. (subsequently renamed Qwest Long Distance, Inc. ("Qwest LD")), and the 272 Affiliate, which became the BOC's designated 272 affiliate effective March 26, 2001.

With respect to the extensive prior record of Qwest LD over many years, the Facilitator found nothing in the record of "sufficient concern to warrant special measures." *Id.* at 54. With respect to the 272 Affiliate, the Facilitator acknowledged the "substantial efforts" that the BOC undertook during the recent transition to its newly designated 272 Affiliate "to bring its transactions, both past and current, into compliance with applicable accounting requirements." *Id.* In order to test the "current and future effectiveness of the[se] recent improvement efforts" following the completion of that transition, the Facilitator recommended that the BOC arrange for independent testing of transactions between the BOC and the 272 Affiliate covering the ensuing period from April through August 2001. He recommended that the BOC provide the results of the independent testing, along with supporting workpapers, to the seven multistate commissions by November 15, 2001. *Id.* at 8, 54.⁵

⁵ In accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Professional Standards, AT § 9100.56; AU § 339.02-.08; AU § 9339.02 (2000)), KPMG will make these workpapers available to the seven state commissions for their review, subject to confidentiality restrictions, at mutually convenient times and locations in each of the seven states.

The Facilitator determined that the third-party evaluation is intended to provide “adequate assurances” that the 272 Affiliate is prepared to comply with certain provisions of Section 272 upon receipt of Section 271 authority. *Id.* Such assurances do not require “perfection,” which is a standard that “could not be met in . . . the operations of any wholesale supplier.” *Id.* at 56. As noted above, the significant question here is whether the BOC and the 272 Affiliate have sufficient controls in place that are “reasonably designed to prevent, as well as detect and correct, any noncompliance with section 272.”⁶

Summary of KPMG Report

KPMG examined transactions that occurred between the BOC and the 272 Affiliate during the period April through August 2001. During the course of its examination, KPMG found items in its testing that confirm the BOC’s earlier testimony that a number of transactions related to the transition of the 272 Affiliate were discovered and corrected to effect Section 272 compliance. With respect to new transactions occurring during the five-month test period, KPMG determined that except for 12 instances identified in the attached KPMG Report, the BOC complied “in all material respects” with Sections 272(b)(2), (b)(5), and (c)(2) and the applicable FCC accounting rules.⁷

The exceptions noted in the KPMG Report do not raise any of the anti-competitive and cross-subsidization concerns underlying the relevant Section 272 requirements. The underlying purpose of the affiliate pricing rules and the accounting requirements of Sections 272(b)(2) and

⁶ *SBC Texas Order* ¶ 398 (2000); *BANY Order* ¶ 405 & n.1253.

⁷ KPMG’s comprehensive examination also identified instances of noncompliance during the testing period that KPMG determined were not material. KPMG’s analysis of discrepancies divided instances of noncompliance into four categories: Type 1 includes items that occurred before the examination period and were corrected during the period; Type 2 includes items that occurred during the examination period and were corrected during the period; Type 3 includes items that KPMG determined were clerical in nature; and Type 4 includes items that occurred during the examination period and were not resolved during the period. The Type 4 items are those identified in the attached KPMG report.

(c)(2) is to ensure that an incumbent LEC does not cross-subsidize its nonregulated activities.⁸

The same policy forms the basis for Section 272(b)(5)'s requirement that a 272 affiliate conduct all transactions with the BOC "on an arm's length basis,"⁹ and Section 272(c)(1)'s provision that a BOC may not discriminate in favor of its 272 affiliate, which are designed to ensure that "potential competitors do not receive *less favorable* prices or terms, or *less advantageous* services from the BOC than its separate affiliate receives."¹⁰ The instances cited in the attached KPMG Report, and discussed below, do not suggest any policy of the BOC of discriminating in favor of its 272 Affiliate. On the contrary, they involve a net *detriment* to the 272 Affiliate of \$2.604 million.

As set forth in the Issue Descriptions included with the KPMG workpapers, in most of these 12 instances, the BOC or the 272 Affiliate themselves detected the need for corrective action. As discussed more fully below, based on its review of the few remaining instances, the BOC is strengthening its internal controls in efforts to prevent any such discrepancies in the future. These instances do not undermine the BOC's showing that it "accepts the separate subsidiary obligation and stands ready to meet it" (Report at 50), particularly after the implementation of these additional controls.

1. Affiliate Pricing Rules. Four of these instances relate not to the question of timely accounting or posting, but rather to the application by the BOC or the 272 Affiliate of the valuation procedures for the FCC's affiliate pricing rules set forth in 47 C.F.R. Part 32. Overall,

⁸ See Report and Order, *Separation of Costs of Regulated Telephone Service from Costs of Nonregulated Activities*, 2 FCC Rcd 1298 ¶¶ 254-56 (1987). See also Report and Order, *Accounting Safeguards Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, 11 FCC Rcd 17,539 ¶¶ 172, 176 (1996) ("*Accounting Safeguards Order*"). In the *Accounting Safeguards Order*, the FCC determined that it would extend the application of these affiliate pricing rules to transactions between a BOC and its 272 affiliate. *Id.* ¶ 176.

⁹ See *Accounting Safeguards Order* ¶ 147 (the valuation rule "guard[s] against cross-subsidization of competitive services by subscribers to regulated telecommunications services").

these instances involve a net detriment to the BOC of only \$21,000, and accordingly do not demonstrate any general policy of cross-subsidization or discrimination.

Nor do these transactions reveal any "systemic flaws"¹¹ in the BOC's compliance with the affiliate pricing rules. As set forth in the Issue Descriptions included with the KPMG workpapers, three of the four instances involved the use of fully distributed cost rather than fair market value.¹² The first of these involved 10 real estate properties made available by the BOC to 272 Affiliate employees; the error had an impact during the examination period of \$3,000. The second involved real estate properties provided by the 272 Affiliate to the BOC and resulted in a net detriment to the BOC of about \$9,000. The third such transaction resulted from an employee's pricing of lab facility services to the 272 Affiliate at fully distributed cost rather than fair market value, even though a chart designed by the BOC to aid in compliance with these rules had provided the fair market value information. The fourth listed transaction involved using the BOC's rather than the 272 Affiliate's inputs in calculating the 272 Affiliate's fully distributed cost.

While the BOC and the 272 Affiliate strive to properly and accurately calculate and record all of their affiliate transactions, some errors will and do occur. As the Facilitator recognized, perfection is not the relevant standard here. However, in order to continue reducing each company's error rate, the BOC and the 272 Affiliate are instituting additional safeguards at the corporate level of each company to ensure all material intercompany transactions are

¹⁰ See First Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, *Implementation of the Non-Accounting Safeguards of Sections 271 and 272 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended*, 11 FCC Rcd 21,905 ¶ 206 (1996) (emphasis added).

¹¹ *BANY Order* ¶ 412.

¹² We note that the FCC has recently eliminated the requirement that carriers undertake fair market value studies for assets as well as services until the total amount of transfers in a given year exceeds \$500,000. This change may be implemented by carriers as of January 1, 2001. See Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review – Comprehensive Review of the Accounting

identified and billed at correct prices. The BOC and 272 Affiliate corporate regulatory *compliance groups will improve the formal tracking mechanism for affiliate transactions. This list will be discussed with operational personnel and compared to databases to ensure that it is both complete and accurate. Additionally, the BOC will conduct additional training sessions with all relevant personnel concerning the FCC's affiliate transaction pricing rules. As an additional safeguard, supporting documentation will now be provided to the BOC's FCC Regulatory Accounting Department for verification of affiliate transaction pricing.*

2. Timely Accounting and Posting. The remaining category of items identified in the KPMG Report relate to the timeliness of accrual or billing and reducing transactions to writing.¹³ Although the combined impact of these eight errors was \$2.625 million to the detriment of the 272 Affiliate, one transaction alone accounted for more than 94 percent of that total. Excluding that amount, the net impact of all of these transactions was \$146,000 in underbilling of the 272 Affiliate's costs to the BOC. In every one of these cases, the BOC or the 272 Affiliate themselves detected the error. These instances do not reveal any systemic flaws, and Qwest has further strengthened its controls to address them following its review of the KPMG Report:

- The largest of these transactions involved the 272 Affiliate's provision of audio conferencing services to the BOC. Because in-region interLATA services had been spun off to Touch America and because pursuant to that arrangement the 272 Affiliate billed the BOC on Touch America letterhead, the procurement office erroneously assumed that these services were not transactions with an affiliate and failed to process a bill to the

Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers: Phase 2, CC Docket No. 00-199, FCC 01-305 ¶¶ 87-90 (rel. Nov. 5, 2001).

¹³ In one of these transactions, there was no untimely accrual or billing, but only a failure to comply with the FCC's posting requirements. Qwest discovered that corporate calling card services, which had been migrated from Qwest LD to the 272 Affiliate with pricing set at prevailing company prices, were not listed. Qwest has strengthened its existing controls by implementing a monthly review of all BOC intercompany payables and establishing a 272 checklist to track all new services provided by the 272 Affiliate to the BOC.

BOC and receive payment. The procurement employee responsible for the nonpayment was reassigned in June 2001, and the processing error has been identified and corrected.

- A similar error involved private line services that previously had been provided to the BOC by Touch America, most of which were not moved to the 272 Affiliate until September and October 2001. Because the 272 Affiliate's order entry system has a Section 271 protection that restricts creation of an in-region account, billing for the re-engineered circuits was not immediately possible, but internal controls nevertheless identified the need to bill and post this transaction, which was accomplished manually (but after the test period). Qwest is now developing an overall automated solution to handle intercompany provision of interLATA services, while maintaining the system's built-in Section 271 protections.
- In the course of the company's annual affiliate transaction repricing, the BOC discovered that it had provided photo identification badges to the 272 Affiliate's employees without reducing the service to writing, posting it, and billing it properly. Having detected and corrected the problem through existing controls, the BOC will minimize further discrepancies by conducting additional training to emphasize the use of department and responsibility codes so new badges can be charged to the appropriate entities on a timely basis.
- Internal controls also detected a failure to identify for affiliate transaction purposes the BOC's pre-merger lease of a dark fiber link in Utah from the 272 Affiliate. Regulatory Accounting subsequently obtained a copy of the lease and developed and posted a Task Order and corrected the billing error. Qwest Network Construction Services has now instituted procedures for quarterly review of billing systems and for immediate notification to the 272 Affiliate regarding any actual or proposed transaction with the BOC.
- The BOC became aware that a total of 40 out of approximately 64,000 BOC employees, including 10 scattered among nine out-of-region facilities, were occupying the 272 Affiliate's real estate and using its PBX services. Qwest has added audit processes to its Human Resources and Real Estate organizations to detect movements of small numbers of employees in the future.
- During the transition of the 272 Affiliate, experienced BOC finance personnel performed a very minor project for the Affiliate, involving the calculations of FDC values for affiliate pricing rule purposes. That work totaled approximately \$1000, and was not billed. The BOC has corrected the error and strengthened its notifications to finance personnel regarding time reporting for *any* services provided to affiliates.
- The BOC provides several types of services to the 272 Affiliate related to small business and consumer services under a properly posted and billed work order. The BOC found that the work of two employees who had been handling data entry related to such services had been missed in these routine billings. The BOC has corrected the error and enhanced its reviews and training regarding Section 272 requirements.

Conclusion

As discussed above, in response to these findings, the BOC is implementing strengthened controls, which serve to reinforce the “substantial efforts” (Report at 54) already undertaken to prepare the 272 Affiliate to comply with the separate affiliate requirements. These additional controls confirm that there are “reasonable assurances” (*Id.*) that the BOC and the 272 Affiliate will provide the level of accuracy, completeness, timeliness and arm’s length conduct required by Section 272. As to the first category of exceptions in the KPMG Report (*affiliate pricing*), the BOC will conduct additional training sessions and document review to ensure compliance with the FCC’s valuation procedures. With respect to the second category (timely billing and accruing of transactions), the BOC is instituting new procedures, additional regular review processes, and further training to ensure procedures “reasonably designed to prevent, as well as detect and correct, any noncompliance with section 272.”¹⁴ The requirements of further expert review through Section 272(d) biennial audits following 271 authorization will supplement these controls to aid in the efforts of the BOC and the 272 Affiliate to comply with the separate affiliate requirements.

In light of the BOC’s and the 272 Affiliate’s strengthened controls, and the prior record of compliance by Qwest LD and the 272 Affiliate with all of the other requirements of Section 272, as confirmed by the Facilitator, the BOC respectfully requests that the Commission endorse the Facilitator’s conclusion that “[t]he record demonstrates that Qwest has met . . . each of the separate affiliate requirements established by section 272 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.” Report at 7.

¹⁴ *SBC Texas Order* ¶ 398; *BANY Order* ¶ 405 & n.1253.



QWEST CORPORATION

Report of Independent Public Accountants

**Attestation Examination with respect to –
*Report of Management on Compliance with Applicable
Requirements of Section 272 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996***

November 9, 2001





707 Seventeenth Street
Suite 2300
Denver, CO 80202

Report of Independent Public Accountants

To the Management of Qwest Corporation and the regulatory utility
commissions for the following states:

Idaho, Iowa, Montana, New Mexico, North Dakota, Utah and Wyoming
(collectively the State Commissions):

We have examined management's assertion, included in the accompanying *Report of Management on Compliance with Applicable Requirements of Section 272 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, that Qwest Corporation (the Company) complied with certain aspects of Section 272 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act) and associated Federal Communications Commission (FCC) rules and regulations (specifically Sections 272(b)(2), 272(b)(5) and 272(c)(2) of the Act, C.F.R. Section 32.27 and CC Docket No. 96-150, paragraph 122) during the period from April 1, 2001 to August 31, 2001 (the examination period). Management is responsible for the Company's compliance with those requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assertion about the Company's compliance based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Company's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the Company's compliance with specified requirements.

Our examination disclosed the following instances of noncompliance with certain aspects of Section 272 of the Act and associated FCC rules and regulations (specifically Sections 272(b)(2), 272(b)(5) and 272(c)(2) of the Act, C.F.R. Section 32.27 and CC Docket No. 96-150, paragraph 122) during the period from April 1, 2001 to August 31, 2001:



- We noted the following instances in which the Company did not comply with the FCC's affiliate transaction pricing rules (C.F.R. Section 32.27) during the examination period as required in Sections 272(b)(2) and 272(c)(2) for transactions between the Bell Operating Company (the Qwest BOC) and the Section 272 affiliate (the Qwest 272 Affiliate):

Description	Management's estimated impact during the examination period (pre-tax) (S in 000s)
<i>Services provided by the Qwest BOC:</i>	
A. Fair market value (FMV) studies were not performed for ten real estate properties for which FMV studies were required. The ten properties were billed at fully distributed cost (FDC).	\$ 3
B. In pricing the service charge for access to a lab facility, FDC pricing was used instead of a required FMV amount. In this instance, FMV exceeded FDC for such service.	31
<i>Services provided by the Qwest 272 Affiliate:</i>	
C. FMV studies were not performed for nine real estate properties for which FMV studies were required. The nine properties were billed at FDC.	(9)
D. In developing the FDC rate for the service of leasing test equipment, incorrect data inputs were utilized.	22
Net understatement of Qwest BOC's revenue and corresponding net understatement of Qwest 272 Affiliate's expenses during the examination period related to items A through D above.	21

- We noted the following instances in which the Company did not process accounting entries and affiliate billings (including interest, as necessary) and did not reduce to writing certain services provided between the Qwest BOC and the Qwest 272 Affiliate during the examination period as required by Sections 272(b)(2), 272(b)(5) and 272(c)(2) of the Act and CC Docket No. 96-150, paragraph 122:

Description	Management's estimated impact during the examination period (pre-tax) (\$ in 000s)
<i>Services provided by the Qwest BOC:</i>	
E. Photo identification services were provided but not accounted for, billed (including interest charges) or reduced to writing during the examination period.	\$ 16
F. A certain finance service was provided but not accounted for or billed (including interest charges) during the examination period.	1
G. Data entry services regarding out-of-region long-distance orders were provided but not accounted for or billed (including interest charges) during the examination period.	64
<i>Services provided by the Qwest 272 Affiliate:</i>	
H. The lease agreement for fiber optical capacity was not accounted for, billed (including interest charges) or posted to the website during the examination period.	111
I. Real estate occupancy services and the use of PBX services were provided but not accounted for, billed (including interest charges) or reduced to writing during the examination period.	74
J. Audio conferencing services were provided but not accounted for, billed (including interest charges) or reduced to writing during the examination period.	2,479
K. Private line circuits for in-region interLATA official communication services were provided but not accounted for, billed (including interest charges) or reduced to writing during the examination period.	42
L. Calling card services were provided but not reduced to writing during the examination period.	0
Net understatement of Qwest BOC's expenses and corresponding net understatement of Qwest 272 Affiliate's revenues during the examination period related to items E through L above.	<u>2,625</u>
Net understatement of Qwest BOC's expenses and corresponding net understatement of Qwest 272 Affiliate's revenues during the examination period related to all noted exceptions.	<u>\$ 2,604</u>

In our opinion, except for the instances of noncompliance described above, Qwest Corporation complied, in all material respects, with the aforementioned requirements for the period from April 1, 2001 to August 31, 2001.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management of the Company and the State Commissions and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

KPMG LLP

Denver, Colorado
November 9, 2001

1801 California Street
Denver, CO 80202

Mark A. Schumacher
Qwest Corporation
Vice President – Corporate Controller
1801 California #2910
Denver, CO 80202



**Report of Management on Compliance with Applicable Requirements of Section 272 of the
Telecommunications Act of 1996**

Management of Qwest Corporation ("QC" or the "Company") is responsible for ensuring the Company's compliance with the applicable requirements of Section 272 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and regulations related thereto as promulgated by the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") ("Section 272 and Related Regulations") as set forth in Section IV of the Seven-state Collaborative Group Liberty Consulting report (the "Liberty Report") dated September 21, 2001.

Management has performed an evaluation of the Company's compliance with the applicable requirements of Section 272 and Related Regulations as set forth in the Liberty Report, including those described below, for the period April 1, 2001 through August 31, 2001 (the "Evaluation Period"). Based on this evaluation, we assert that during the Evaluation Period, the Company has complied with all applicable requirements of Section 272 and Related Regulations as set forth in the Liberty Report. In particular, the Company did the following:

- (a) We have implemented adequate controls to assure the accurate, complete, and timely recording in our books and records of all affiliate transactions between Qwest Corporation (QC), the BOC, and Qwest Communications Corporation (QCC), the Section 272 affiliate, in compliance with Section 272 (b)(2), Separate Books, Records and Accounts, and Section 272 (b)(5), Transactions at Arm's Length, In Writing and Publicly Available.
- (b) We have implemented adequate controls to assure that the relationship between QC, as a vendor or supplier of goods and services, and QCC has been managed in an arm's length manner in compliance with the provisions of Section 272(c), Nondiscrimination Safeguards, which include consideration of what would be expected under normal business standards for similar contracts with an unaffiliated third party.
- (c) We have provided reasonable assurances that a continuation of the practices and procedures examined will continue to provide the level of accuracy, completeness, timeliness and arm's length conduct required to Sections 272(b)(2) & (5) and 272(c).
- (d) We have implemented sufficient control procedures to assure that an officer of QC will sign the Officer Certification required in CC Docket 96-150 in ¶122. This certification will be signed annually in concurrence with the certification letter that accompanies the ARMIS 43-03 report filed with the FCC on April 1.

Qwest Corporation

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark A. Schumacher", is written over a horizontal line.

Mark A. Schumacher

Vice President – Corporate Controller

Dated: November 9, 2001

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

In the Matter of Qwest Corporation's Motion for an
Alternative Procedure to Manage the Section 271 Process)
Case No. USW-T-00-3)
_____)

**STATE OF IOWA
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
UTILITIES BOARD**

IN RE:)
QWEST CORPORATION)
DOCKET NO. INU-00-2)
_____)

**DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE REGULATION
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA**

IN THE MATTER OF the Investigation Into Qwest)
Corporation's Compliance with Section 271 of the)
Telecommunications Act of 1996)
Docket No. D2000.5.70)
_____)

**STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

Qwest Corporation)
Section 271 Compliance)
Investigation)
Case No. PU-314-97-193)
_____)

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Application of Qwest Corporation for)
Approval of Compliance with 47 U.S.C. § 271(d)(2)(B))
Docket No. 00-049-08)
_____)

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WYOMING

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF QWEST)
CORPORATION REGARDING 271 OF THE FEDERAL)
TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996, WYOMING'S)
PARTICIPATION IN A MULTI-STATE SECTION 271)
PROCESS, AND APPROVAL OF ITS STATEMENT OF)
GENERALLY AVAILABLE)
DOCKET No. 70000-TA-00-599)
_____)

BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO REGULATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF Qwest Corporation's Section 271)
Application and Motion for Alternative Procedure to)
Manage the Section 271 Process)
Utility Case No. 3269)
_____)

is being implemented that requires the Business Unit Affiliate Manager's (BUAM) supervisor review the calculation to ensure both a FDC and a FMV analysis has been completed. Any work order without this support will not be processed by the BUAM. This enhanced control is designed to ensure that a FMV study is performed, proper pricing is applied, and that the work order will be processed accurately. The Regulatory Accounting organization will expand its control sheets to provide additional detail which will allow them to verify that an FMV and FDC study has been performed for all services.

Discrepancy B: In pricing the service charge for access to a lab facility, FDC pricing was used instead of a required FMV amount. In this instance, FMV exceeded FDC for such service.

This discrepancy was not discovered on a timely basis because information was informally shared over the telephone and back up support was not received by the FCC Regulatory Accounting organization. Current controls will be enhanced to require supervisor review of the control sheet verifying on a quarterly basis that all documentation has been received.

Discrepancy E: Photo identification services were provided but not accounted for, billed (including interest charges) or reduced to writing during the examination period.


This discrepancy was discovered during the annual re-pricing of affiliate services. After the merger, the duties of the Real Estate department were expanded to issue badges for the 272 Affiliate. As a result, the data necessary for proper billing was not included on the application form and no billing was taking place. Employees at the access control centers will be retrained to ensure that a valid department or responsibility code will be provided.

Discrepancy F: A certain financial service had been provided but not accounted for or billed (including interest charges) during the examination period.


This discrepancy occurred because providing the service was a one time event with a minimal amount of time required to complete the service. Monthly requests for billing information have been strengthened to remind employees all time, no matter how minimal, needs to be reported.

In addition to the specific controls listed above, Qwest is initiating additional training that will reinforce compliance requirements with Section 272(b)(2) and Section 272(b)(5).

I hereby swear and affirm that the statements and data contained in the attached audit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.



MARIE E. SCHWARTZ

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN before me this 15 day of November, 2001.


Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

March 17, 2004



BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

In the Matter of Qwest Corporation's Motion for an
Alternative Procedure to Manage the Section 271 Process)
Case No. USW-T-00-3)
)

**STATE OF IOWA
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
UTILITIES BOARD**

IN RE:)
DOCKET NO. INU-00-2)
QWEST CORPORATION)
)

**DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE REGULATION
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA**

IN THE MATTER OF the Investigation Into Qwest)
Corporation's Compliance with Section 271 of the)
Telecommunications Act of 1996) Docket No. D2000.5.70)
)

**STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

Qwest Corporation)
Section 271 Compliance) Case No. PU-314-97-193)
Investigation)
)

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Application of Qwest Corporation for)
Approval of Compliance with 47 U.S.C. § 271(d)(2)(B)) Docket No. 00-049-08)
)

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WYOMING

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF QWEST)
CORPORATION REGARDING 271 OF THE FEDERAL)
TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996, WYOMING'S) DOCKET No. 70000-TA-00-599)
PARTICIPATION IN A MULTI-STATE SECTION 271)
PROCESS, AND APPROVAL OF ITS STATEMENT OF)
GENERALLY AVAILABLE)
)

BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO REGULATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF Qwest Corporation's Section 271)
Application and Motion for Alternative Procedure to)
Manage the Section 271 Process) Utility Case No. 3269)
)

proper determination of whether Fair Market Value ("FMV") or Fully Distributed Cost ("FDC") should be used. A new control is being implemented that requires the Business Unit Affiliate Manager's ("BUAM") supervisor review the calculation to ensure both an FDC and an FMV analysis have been completed. Any task order without this support will not be processed by the BUAM. This control is designed to ensure that a FMV study is performed timely and the invoice will be processed accurately. Additionally, a 272 checklist is being established to track all new services provided by the 272 Affiliate to the Qwest BOC. The Director-Corporate Accounting, Qwest Services Corporation ("QSC") will have responsibility for monitoring the checklist to ensure that all items are completed in a timely manner prior to signature.

Discrepancy D: In developing the rate for the service of leasing test equipment, incorrect data inputs were utilized.

This discrepancy resulted from the 272 Affiliate not having its own FDC model to determine pricing. To expedite billing, the 272 Affiliate used an alternative method to arrive at an FDC rate that was incorrect. An FDC model that is compliant with the FCC's affiliate rules has now been developed and will be used for all the 272 Affiliate pricing.

Discrepancy H: The lease agreement for fiber optical capacity was not accounted for, billed (including interest charges) or posted to the website during the examination period.

This discrepancy occurred because after the merger, personnel unfamiliar with affiliate billing requirements inadvertently stopped billing for this pre-merger agreement. A new control has been implemented for the Controller of Qwest Network Construction Services ("QNCS") to inform the 272 Affiliate of any new or proposed transactions. Additionally, the Director-Corporate Accounting will request a review of the billing system quarterly to identify new transactions from QNCS.

Discrepancy I: Real estate occupancy services and the use of PBX services were

provided but not accounted for, billed (including interest charges) or reduced to writing during the examination period.

This discrepancy occurred because of a lack in communications between the Human Resources department ("HR"), the Real Estate organization, and the BUAM. To ensure that all intercompany real estate services are properly captured, the following new controls will be developed by December 13, 2001 and implemented by December 31, 2001. HR will now send a report of any changes in legal entity to Real Estate who will distribute the information to the BUAM. The BUAM will be responsible for identifying the potential impact on other affiliates or agreements. Additionally, the Real Estate organization will perform quarterly reviews and notes changes to the BUAM.

Discrepancy J: Audio conferencing services were provided but not accounted for, billed (including interest charges) or reduced to writing during the examination period.

The discrepancy occurred because the employee responsible for accounting and billing did not follow company policies. Personnel changes were made and company policy is being enforced and followed. This service is now being provided by a third party vendor. Any decision to provide this service internally again in the future will be made only if an acceptable billing solution can be found.

Discrepancy K: Private line circuits for in-region interLATA official communication services were provided but not accounted for, billed (including interest charges) or reduced to writing during the examination period.

The issue for this service was determining how to issue a bill when the systems were not accepting data which then resulted in no billing, no written agreement and no posting. This occurred because a Section 271 protection was built into the ordering system so in-region interLATA services could not be offered. To facilitate proper billing in the future, a manual process was implemented to identify all circuits and rates being used for official service. In order to ensure that accurate billings are processed on a timely basis, this

information has been entered into a database which will feed the monthly billing system.

Discrepancy L: Calling card services were provided but not reduced to writing during the examination period.

This discrepancy was discovered by comparing services listed on the website to billings. It was not discovered on a timely basis however. To improve the timeliness of reducing services to writing a 272 checklist is being established to track all new services provided by the 272 Affiliate to the Qwest BOC. The Director-Corporate Compliance will have responsibility for monitoring the checklist to insure that all items are completed in a timely manner prior to signature. Additionally, both entities will deploy additional training to all involved organizations and employees.

I hereby swear and affirm that the statements and data contained in the attached affidavit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.


JUDITH L. BRUNSTING


SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN before me this 15th day of November, 2001.



Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

2/7/04



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 15th day of November 2001, I caused a hard copy of the **QWEST'S SUBMISSION OF THE REPORT OF THE INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTS ("KMPG")** with regards to 272 to be served on the following:

Jean Jewell, Secretary Idaho Public Utilities Commission 472 West Washington Boise, Idaho 83702 Case No. USW-T-00-3	Allen Kniep, General Counsel Iowa Utilities Board 350 Maple Street Des Moines, IA 50319-0069 Docket No. INU-00-2
Dennis Crawford Montana Public Service Commission 1701 Prospect Helena, MT 59601 Docket No. D2000.5.70	William W. Binek North Dakota Public Service Commission State Capitol - 12th Floor Bismarck, ND 58505-0480 Case No. PU-314-97-193
Ms. Julie Orchard, Executive Secretary Utah Public Service Commission Fourth Floor, Heber Wells Building 160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, UT 84111 Docket No. 00-049-08	Steve Oxley Secretary and Chief Counsel Public Service Commission of Wyoming 2515 Warren, Suite 300 Cheyenne, WY 82002 Docket No. 70000-TA-00-599
Charles F. Noble, Esq. Director - Legal Division Public Regulation Commission 224 E. Palace Avenue Santa Fe, NM 87501 Utility Case No. 3269	

and a copy was served electronically to each person on the e-mail distribution list for these dockets.
