



0000019570

RECEIVED

28

1 FENNEMORE CRAIG
2 C. Webb Crockett (No. (No. 001361)
3 3003 North Central Avenue, Ste. 2600
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
4 Telephone (602) 916-5000

2005 MAY 16 P 4: 52
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAY 16 2005

4 Attorneys for Phelps Dodge
5 Mining Company, Arizonans for
6 Electric Choice and Competition
7 and ASARCO Incorporated.

DOCKETED BY
KS

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

8 IN THE MATTER OF THE GENERIC
9 PROCEEDING CONCERNING
ELECTRIC RESTRUCTURING ISSUES

DOCKET NO. E-00000A-02-0051

10 IN THE MATTER OF ARIZONA PUBLIC
11 SERVICE COMPANY'S REQUEST FOR
A VARIANCE OF CERTAIN
12 REQUIREMENTS OF A.A.C. R14-2-1606

DOCKET NO. E-01345A-01-0822

13 IN THE MATTER OF THE GENERIC
14 PROCEEDING CONCERNING THE
ARIZONA INDEPENDENT
SCHEDULING ADMINISTRATOR

DOCKET NO. E-00000A-01-0630

15 IN THE MATTER OF TUCSON
16 ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY'S
APPLICATION FOR A VARIANCE OF
17 CERTAIN ELECTRIC COMPETITION
RULES COMPLIANCE DATES

DOCKET NO. E-01933A-02-0069

**AECC RESPONSE TO TUCSON
ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY'S
MOTION FOR DECLARATORY
ORDER AND REQUEST FOR
PROCEDURAL CONFERENCE**

19 Arizonans for Electric Choice and Competition, Phelps Dodge Mining Company
20 and ASARCO, Inc. (collectively "AECC"), through undersigned counsel, hereby files this
21 Response to Tucson Electric Power Company's ("TEP") Motion for Declaratory Order
22 ("Motion") and Request for Procedural Conference in the above-captioned matter.

INTRODUCTION

24 While AECC agrees that the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission")
25 should revisit issues concerning the status of the Retail Electric Competition Rules
26 ("Rules") – especially in light of Commission decisions concerning electric restructuring

1 since the approval of the 1999 TEP Settlement Agreement in Decision No. 62103, as well
2 as the Arizona Supreme Court's recent denial of the Petition for Review in *Phelps Dodge*
3 *v. Arizona Corporation Commission*, 207 Ariz. 95, 83 P.2d 573 (App. 2004) – AECC
4 asserts that this rate proceeding is not the proper forum for such a wide-scale review. In
5 fact, the Commission has already ordered its Staff to open a rulemaking docket to review
6 the Rules in light of its "Track A" Order in Decision No. 65154 (September 10, 2002).
7 *See* Decision No. 65154 at 32-33.

8 AECC supports the 1999 TEP Settlement Agreement. However, the "uncertainty"
9 surrounding the Commission's future treatment of TEP's generation assets after the
10 expiration of the 1999 TEP Settlement Agreement has already been answered in the
11 Commission's Track A Order. It thus appears that a declaratory order is unnecessary.
12 This observation notwithstanding, AECC does not object to TEP receiving clarification
13 from the Commission on this matter. However, AECC cannot support TEP's request to
14 address much larger, industry-wide issues (*e.g.* state of the Rules) in this docket, nor does
15 AECC agree that any uncertainty exists with respect to the Commission's regulatory
16 treatment of TEP's generation assets.

17 DISCUSSION

18 1. The Commission Has Already Ordered a Generic Review of the Rules.

19 In its Track A Order, the Commission determined that a rulemaking proceeding "to
20 review the Retail Electric Competition Rules in light of our decisions herein and to
21 address issues resolved in Track B, and to amend A.A.C. R14-2-1615(A), A.A.C. R14-2-
22 1606(B), and A.A.C. R14-2-1611(A) should be initiated immediately." *See* Decision No.
23 65154 at 32-33. While it has been over two-and-a-half years since the Track A Order¹,
24 events have transpired, including Arizona Public Service Company's ("APS") agreement
25 to withdraw its legal challenge of Decision No. 65154, that warrant the immediate
26 initiation of a rulemaking proceeding to consider the status of the Rules. However, this

¹ Arizona Public Service Company appealed Decision No. 65154. This litigation will be withdrawn as part of the 2004 APS Settlement Agreement approved by the Commission earlier this year.

1 review must be broad; TEP is not the only entity, regulated or unregulated, that must
2 formulate a business strategy based upon the Commission's policies toward electric
3 restructuring and competitive markets. As TEP recognized, these broader issues are more
4 appropriately addressed in the Commission's generic docket on electric restructuring.
5 AECC therefore joins TEP in urging the Commission to address the status of its Rules, but
6 not within the specific context of how TEP's generation assets will be treated post-2008.

7 2. There Is No Uncertainty Surrounding the Commission's Treatment of TEP's
8 Generation Assets after 2008.

9 In its Track A Order, the Commission was very clear that the public interest
10 required "the suspension of A.A.C. R14-2-1615(A), as amended by Decision Nos. 61793
11 and **62103**, and further, to prohibit the transfer of generation assets." See Decision No.
12 65154 at 32. In support of its request for a declaratory order, TEP asserts that "The TEP
13 1999 Settlement Agreement was negotiated under the foundational premise that TEP's
14 generation assets would remain deregulated and market-based beyond the CTC's
15 termination in 2008." See Motion at 2. However, TEP was, or should have been, fully
16 aware of the ramifications that the Commission's Track A Order would have on this
17 "foundational premise," at that time, yet TEP chose not to challenge or appeal the
18 decision. Furthermore, the Commission specifically provided in its Track A Order that
19 should APS or TEP wish to pursue divestiture, they "should file applications to that effect
20 for Commission consideration." See Decision No. 65154 at 23.

21 TEP also asserts that its generation service rates are currently "market-based"
22 rather than being based on traditional cost of service ratemaking principles. To clarify,
23 Section 4.1 of the Settlement Agreement specifically states that "TEP's rates shall be fully
24 unbundled into separate charges for:...(h) standard offer generation, the sum of which
25 shall not exceed a customer's current bundled rates." Because standard offer generation
26 was calculated using cost-of-service standards at that time, TEP's standard offer rates
reflect traditional cost-of-service principles. Notwithstanding this clarification, AECC


1 supports TEP's request for a declaratory order concerning the post-2008 treatment of the
2 Company's generation assets, provided it is consistent with past decisions regarding
3 divestiture and market-based rate authority.

4 CONCLUSION

5 Based on Commission precedent, as well as the arguments expressed herein,
6 AECC respectfully requests that the Commission: 1) deny, in part, TEP's Motion for a
7 Declaratory Order on the status of the Retail Electric Competition Rules in *this*
8 proceeding; and 2) initiate a separate and independent rulemaking docket to address the
9 status of the Retail Electric Competition Rules, consistent with Decision No. 65154.

10 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 16th day of May, 2005.

11 FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.

12 By: 

13 C. Webb Crockett
14 3003 North Central Avenue, Ste. 2600
15 Phoenix, Arizona 85012
16 Attorneys for Phelps Dodge Mining
Company, Arizonans for Electric Choice and
Competition and ASARCO Incorporated.

17 ORIGINAL and 19 copies of the
18 foregoing hand-delivered for filing
this 16th day of May, 2005 to:

19 Docket Control
20 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007

21 COPY of the foregoing delivered this 16th day of May, 2005, to:

22 Lyn Farmer, Chief Administrative Law Judge
23 Hearing Division
24 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 W. Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007

1 Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
2 Legal Division
1200 West Washington Street
3 Phoenix, AZ 85007

4 Ernest G. Johnson, Director
Utilities Division
5 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
Legal Division
6 1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007

7 COPY of the foregoing mailed this _____ day of May, 2005, to:
8 Chairman Jeff Hatch-Miller
Arizona Corporation Commission
9 1200 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007

10 Commissioner Marc Spitzer
11 Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington
12 Phoenix, AZ 85007

13 Commissioner William A. Mundell
Arizona Corporation Commission
14 1200 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007

15 Commissioner Mike Gleason
16 Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington
17 Phoenix, AZ 85007

18 Commissioner Kristin K. Mayes
Arizona Corporation Commission
19 1200 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007

20 Jane Rodda, Administrative Law Judge
21 Hearing Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
22 1200 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007

23 Christopher C. Kempley, Esq.
24 Chief Counsel, Legal Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
25 1200 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007

26

- 1 Ernest Johnson
Director, Utilities Division
- 2 Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington
- 3 Phoenix, AZ 85007

- 4 Scott S. Wakefield
Residential Utility Consumer Office
- 5 1110 West Washington, Ste. 220
Phoenix, AZ 85007

- 6 Walter M. Meek
- 7 Arizona Utility Investors Association, Inc.
2100 N. Central Ave., Ste. 210
- 8 Phoenix, AZ 85004

- 9 Peter Q. Nyce, Jr.
General Attorney, Regulatory Law Office
- 10 Department of the Army
901 North Stuart Street, Room 713
- 11 Arlington, VA 22203

- 12 Dan Neidlinger
Neidlinger & Associates
- 13 3020 North 17th Drive
Phoenix, AZ 85015

- 14 AB Beardson
- 15 Mountain County Co-Generation, Inc.
6463 N. Desert Breeze Ct.
- 16 Tucson, AZ 85750

- 17 Robert S. Lynch
Robert S. Lynch & Assoc.
- 18 340 E. Palm Lane, Ste. 140
Phoenix, AZ 85004

- 19 Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.
Munger Chadwick PLC
- 20 333 W. Wilmot Ste. 200
Tucson, AZ 85711

- 22 Jana Brandt
Kelly Barr
- 23 Salt River Project
Mail Station PAB300
- 24 PO Box 52025
Phoenix, AZ 85072-2025

- 25
- 26

- 1 Russell E. Jones
Waterfall Economidis Caldwell
- 2 Hanshaw & Villamana
5210 E. Williams Circle, Ste. 800
- 3 Tucson, AZ 85711
- 4 Steven C. Gross
Law Office of Porter Simon
- 5 40100 Truckee Airport Road
Truckee, CA 96161
- 6 Ken Bagley
RW Beck
- 7 14635 N. Keirland Blvd., Ste. 130
- 8 Scottsdale, AZ 85254
- 9 Christopher Hitchcock, Esq.
Hitchcock & Hicks
- 10 PO Box AT
Bisbee, AZ 85603
- 11 Steve Mendoza
Arizona Power Authority
- 12 1810 W. Adams
- 13 Phoenix, AZ 85007
- 14 Jay L. Moyes
Moyes Storey
- 15 3003 N. Central, Ste. 1250
Phoenix, AZ 85012
- 16 Alan R. Watts
17132 El Cajon Avenue
- 17 Yorba Linda, CA 92886
- 18 William D. Baker
Eillis & Baker, PC
- 19 7310 N. 16th Street, Ste. 320
- 20 Phoenix, AZ 85016
- 21 Barry M. Goldwater, Jr.
3104 E. Camelback Road, Ste. 274
- 22 Phoenix, AZ 85016
- 23 Michael Grant
Gallagher & Kennedy
- 24 2575 E. Camelback Road
Phoenix, AZ 85016
- 25
- 26

- 1 Jeff Crockett
Snell & Wilmer
- 2 400 E. Van Buren
One Arizona Center
- 3 Phoenix, AZ 85004

- 4 Jana Van Ness
Arizona Public Service company
- 5 PO Box 53999
Phoenix, AZ 85072-3999

- 6 Michael A. Curtis
7 William P. Sullivan
8 Curtis, Goodwin, Sullivan,
9 Udall & Schwab, PLC
2712 N. 7th Street
Phoenix, AZ 85006-01090

- 10 Patrick J. Sanderson
AISA
- 11 4397 W. Bethany Home Road
Phoenix, AZ 85301

- 12 Theodore E. Roberts
- 13 Sempra Energy Resources
101 Ash Street HQ 12-B
- 14 San Diego, CA 92101


- 15 Thomas Mumaw
Karilee Ramaley
- 16 Pinnacle West Capital Corporation
400 N. 5th Street, Station 8695
- 17 Phoenix, AZ 85004

- 18 Stacy Aguayo
APS Energy Services
- 19 400 E. Van Buren Street, Ste. 750
Phoenix, AZ 85004

- 20 John Wallace
- 21 Grand Canyon State Elec Co-Op
120 N. 44th Street, Ste. 100
- 22 Phoenix, AZ 85034

- 23 Dennis L. Delaney
KR Saline & Assoc.
- 24 160 N. Pasadena, Ste. 101
Mesa, AZ 85201

- 25
- 26

- 1 Kevin C. Higgins
Energy Strategies, LLC
2 30 W. Market Street, Ste. 200
Salt Lake City, UT 84101
3
- 4 Roger K. Ferland
Quarles & Brady Streich Lang, LLP
Renaissance One
5 Two N. Central Avenue
Phoenix, AZ 85004
6
- 7 Michael Engelman
Dickstein, Shapiro, Morin & Oshinsky
2101 L Street NW
8 Washington, DC 20037
- 9 David A. Crabtree
Diedre A. Brown
10 TECO Power Services
PO Box 111
11 Tampa, FL 33602
- 12 Michael A. Trentel
Patrick W. Burnett
13 Panda Energy International Inc.
4100 Spring Valley, Ste. 1010
14 Dallas, TX 75244
- 15 Peter Van Haren
Jesse W. Sears
16 City of Phoenix
200 West Washington, Ste. 1300
17 Phoenix, AZ 85003
- 18 Laurie A. Woodall
Office of the Attorney General
19 1275 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007
20
- 21 Donna M. Bronski
City of Scottsdale
City Attorney's Office
22 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251
23
- 24 
25
26