



0000019282

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

21DT

1 JEFF HATCH-MILLER
2 Chairman
3 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
4 Commissioner
5 MARC SPITZER
6 Commissioner
7 MIKE GLEASON
8 Commissioner
9 KRISTIN K. MAYES
10 Commissioner

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAY 05 2005

DOCKETED BY [Signature]

11
12 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION
13 OF PAYSON WATER COMPANY, INC.,
14 AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR
15 APPROVAL OF A WATER
16 AUGMENTATION SURCHARGE TARIFF

DOCKET NO. W-03514A-05-0188
DECISION NO. 67819
ORDER

17 Open Meeting
18 May 3 and 4, 2005
19 Phoenix, Arizona

20 BY THE COMMISSION:

FINDINGS OF FACT

21 1. Payson Water Company, Inc. ("Company") is certificated to provide water as a
22 public service corporation in the State of Arizona.

23 2. On March 15, 2005, the Company filed a water augmentation surcharge tariff.

24 3. The Company's proposed tariff would allow the Company to make monthly
25 adjustments to its rates and charges for water service to recover costs incurred for water
26 augmentation costs, including bulk water purchases and transportation, which could occur if a
27 curtailment plan tariff is approved by the Commission.

28 4. On March 17, 2005, the Company docketed a letter agreeing to waive the
applicable timeclock.

5. The Company is located in the Payson area in Gila County and consists of nine
independent water systems; Mead's Ranch, East Verde Estates, Flowing Springs, Geronimo, Mesa

...

1 del Caballo, Star Valley/Quail Valley, Whispering Pines, Star Valley and Deer Creek Systems.
2 These systems serve a total of approximately 4,100 customers.

3 6. The Company's proposed water augmentation surcharge tariff was developed based
4 on the tariff approved for Pine Water Company, Inc. ("Pine Water ") in its most recent rate case
5 order Decision No. 65914 (May 16, 2003). The surcharge will be calculated by:

6 "Dividing the total water hauling costs incurred in a given month by the amount of
7 water sold that month. The resulting rate per 1,000 gallons will then be multiplied
8 by the gallons used in that month for each customer to arrive at the surcharge per
9 1,000 gallons. The resulting water augmentation surcharge would then be charged
in the next month's billing cycle as a separate line item on the customer's bill."

10 7. According to the Company, the imposition of this surcharge will have no impact on
11 the rate of return realized by the Company.

12 8. Staff has reviewed the proposed surcharge tariff and finds that this request can only
13 be considered in the context of a rate case filing. The requested surcharge is not revenue neutral
14 and would increase or decrease the Company's revenues or expenses.

15 9. Staff recommends denial of the Company's Water Augmentation Surcharge Tariff.

16 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

17 1. The Company is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of
18 Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.

19 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over the Company and of the subject matter in
20 this Application.

21 3. The Commission, having reviewed the request for approval of the tariff and Staff's
22 Memorandum, dated April 19, 2005, and concludes that it is not in the public interest to approve
23 the Water Augmentation Surcharge Tariff.

24 4. Under Article XV, Section 14 of the Arizona Constitution, the proposed tariff
25 cannot be approved without a fair value finding.

26 ...
27 ...
28 ...



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the proposed Water Augmentation Surcharge Tariff for Payson Water Company, Inc. is denied.


IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall become effective immediately.

BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

		
CHAIRMAN	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER

	
COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 5th day of May, 2005.



BRIAN C. McNEIL
Executive Secretary

DISSENT: _____

DISSENT: _____

EGJ:MSJ:rdp/TS

1 SERVICE LIST FOR: Payson Water Company, Inc.

2 DOCKET NO. W-03514A-05-0188

3 Mr. Jay Shapiro
4 Fennemore Craig
5 3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600
6 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913

7 Ms. Mistie S. Jared
8 Payson Water Company, Inc.
9 Post Office Box 82218
10 Bakersfield, California 93380-2218

11 Mr. Ernest G. Johnson
12 Director, Utilities Division
13 Arizona Corporation Commission
14 1200 West Washington
15 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

16 Mr. Christopher C. Kempley
17 Chief Counsel
18 Arizona Corporation Commission
19 1200 West Washington
20 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28