



0000019068

RECEIVED

1 Quarles & Brady Streich Lang LLP
2 Firm State Bar No. 00443100
3 One Renaissance Square
4 Two North Central Avenue
5 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2391
6 TELEPHONE 602.229.5200

2005 APR 21 P 4: 20

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

APR 21 2005

4 Attorneys for Intervenors
5 Roger K. Ferland
6 Michelle De Blasi

DOCKETED BY

BEFORE THE ARIZONA

POWER PLANT AND TRANSMISSION LINE SITING COMMITTEE

8
9 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF)
10 SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL AND)
11 POWER DISTRICT ON BEHALF OF ITSELF AND)
12 ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY, SANTA)
13 CRUZ WATER AND POWER DISTRICTS)
14 ASSOCIATION, SOUTHWEST TRANSMISSION)
15 COOPERATIVE, INC. AND TUCSON ELECTRIC)
16 POWER IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE)
17 REQUIREMENTS OF ARIZONA REVISED)
18 STATUTES SECTION 40-360, et seq., FOR A)
19 CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL)
20 COMPATIBILITY AUTHORIZING THE)
21 CONSTRUCTION OF THE PINAL WEST TO)
22 SOUTHEAST VALLEY/BROWNING PROJECT)
23 INCLUDING THE CONSTRUCTION OF)
24 OF TRANSMISSION LINES FROM PINAL WEST)
25 TO THE BROWNING SUBSTATION AND OTHER)
26 INTERCONNECTION COMPONENTS IN PINAL)
AND MARICOPA COUNTIES, ARIZONA.

Docket No:
L-00000B-04-0126

Case No: 126

NOTICE OF FILING

19 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Intervenors Del Mar Development and Robert and
20 Rob Knorr of Knorr Farms; Trinity Southern Baptist Church; and Mike Hastings, San Tan
21 Tillage Company, David M. and Rebecca Daley, and Coolidge Investment Properties,
22 L.L.C., ("collectively the "Curry Road Group"), by and through their undersigned counsel,
23 give notice of this filing of the proposed form of Certificate of Environmental Compatibility.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

DATED this 21st day of April, 2005.

QUARLES & BRADY STREICH LANG LLP
One Renaissance Square
Two North Central Avenue
Phoenix, AZ 85004-2391

By 

Roger K. Ferland
Michelle De Blasi

Attorneys for the Intervenors

ORIGINAL + 30 copies filed this
21st day of April, 2005, with:

Arizona Corporation Commission
Docket Control
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007

COPIES of the foregoing mailed this
21st day of April, 2005, to:

Ernest G. Johnson, Director of Utilities
Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Division
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Laurie Woodall, Esq.
Office of the Attorney General
1275 W. Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007-2997

Kelly J. Barr, Esq.
Salt River Project Law Department
P.O. Box 52025 PAB 221
Phoenix, AZ 85072 -0221

- 1 Laura Raffaelli, Esq.
Salt River Project
2 Legal Services Department
Mail Station PAB 207
3 P.O. Box 52025
4 Phoenix, AZ 85072-2025
- 5 Kenneth C. Sundlof, Jr., Esq.
Jennings, Strouss and Salmon, P.L.C.
6 201 East Washington Street, 11th Floor
7 Phoenix, AZ 85004
- 8 Lisa A. Vandenberg, Esq.
Diane Tarovnik, Esq.
9 Legal Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
10 1200 West Washington Street
11 Phoenix, AZ 85007
- 12 Karrin Kunasek Taylor, Esq.
William E. Lally, Esq.
13 Biskind, Hunt & Taylor, P.L.C.
11201 North Tatum Boulevard, Suite 330
14 Phoenix, AZ 85028
- 15 Leonard M. Bell, Esq.
Bell Law Office, PC
16 365 East Coronado, Suite 200
17 Phoenix, AZ 85004
- 18 Scott McCoy, Esq., City Attorney
Ursula H. Gordwin, Esq., Assistant City Attorney
19 City of Casa Grande
20 510 E. Florence Blvd.
Casa Grande, AZ 85222
- 21
22 Walter W. Meek
Arizona Utility Investors Association
2100 North Central Avenue, Suite 210
23 Phoenix, AZ 85004
24
25
26

- 1 Karilee S. Ramaley, Esq.
2 Pinnacle West Capital Corporation
3 P.O. Box 53999, Mail Station 8695
Phoenix, AZ 85072-3999
- 4 Raymond S. Heyman, Esq.
5 Roshka, Heyman & DeWulf
6 400 E. Van Buren, Suite 800
Phoenix, AZ 85004
- 7 Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr., Esq.
8 Munger Chadwick, P.L.C.
9 333 N. Wilmont, Suite 300
Tucson, AZ 85711-000
- 10 George J. Chasse, General Partner and Limited Partner
11 Casa Grande Mountain Limited Partnership
12 5740 E. Via Los Ranchos
Paradise Valley, AZ 85253
- 13 James E. Mannato, Esq.
14 Florence Town Attorney
15 775 N. Main St.
P.O. Box 2670
Florence, AZ 85232
- 16 James J. Heiler, Esq.
17 APCO Worldwide
18 5800 Kiva Lane
Scottsdale, AZ 85253
- 19 Court S. Rich, Esq.
20 Jordan Bischoff McGuire Rose & Hiser
21 7272 E. Indian School Road, Suite 360
Scottsdale, AZ 85251
- 22 John R. Dacey, Esq.
23 Gammage & Burnham
24 One Renaissance Square, 18th Floor
Two North Central Avenue
Phoenix, AZ 85004
- 25
26

1 Andrew Moore, Esq.
2 Lynne Lagarde, Esq.
3 Earl, Curley & Lagarde
4 3101 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1000
5 Phoenix, AZ 85012

6 Mr. Mark McWhirter
7 Dept. of Commerce
8 2nd Floor North, Suite 220
9 1700 W. Washington Street
10 Phoenix, AZ 85007

11 Ray T. Williamson, C.E.M.
12 Arizona Corporation Commission
13 1200 W. Washington Street
14 Phoenix, AZ 85007

15 Steven A. Hirsch, Esq.
16 Bryan Cave LLP
17 Two N. Central Avenue, Suite 2200
18 Phoenix, AZ 85044-4406

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
By *Sarah Menne*

1 2005, March 2, 2005 and March 29, 2005.

2 The following members and designees of members of the Committee were present
3 at one or more of the hearings for the evidentiary presentations and/or for the
4 deliberations:

5 Laurie Woodall Chairman, Designee for Arizona Attorney General,
6 Terry Goddard

7 Ray Williamson Designee for Chairman, Arizona Corporation
8 Commission

9 Paul Rasmussen Designee for Director, Arizona Department of
10 Environmental Quality

11 Mark McWhirter Designee for Director, Energy Department, Arizona
12 Department of Commerce

13 Gregg Houtz Designee Director, Arizona Department of Water
14 Resources

15 Sandie Smith Appointed Member

16 Jeff McGuire Appointed Member

17 Margaret Trujillo Appointed Member

18 A. Wayne Smith Appointed Member

19 Michael Whalen Appointed Member

20 Michael Palmer Appointed Member

21 The Applicant was represented by Kenneth C. Sundlof of Jennings, Strouss &
22 Salmon, P.L.C. and Laura Raffaelli of Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and
23 Power District. The following parties were granted intervention pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-
24 360.05:

INTERVENING PARTY	REPRESENTED BY:
Arizona Corporation Commission	Lisa Vandenberg and Diane Targovnik
Walter Meek	Pro Se

1	Miller Holdings	John R. Dacey
	City of Casa Grande	K. Scott McCoy
2	Del Mar Development	Roger K. Ferland and Michelle De Blasi
	Robert and Rob Knorr of Knorr Farms	
3	"Curry Road Group"	Roger K. Ferland and Michelle De Blasi
4	Mike Hastings	
	San Tan Tillage Company	
5	David M. and Rebecca Daley	
	Coolidge Investment Properties, L.L.C.	
6	Casa Grande Mountain Limited Partnership	Leonard M. Bell
7	Walker Butte, et al.,	Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.
8	Save Our Valley Association	Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr. and David West
9	Westpac Development Corporation,	Court S. Rich
	Robson Communities, Inc.	
10	Langley Properties, L.L.C.	
	Vizioso Partners, L.L.C.	
11	Pulte Home Corporation, Inc.	
12	Jacob Roberts	
	Gail Robertson	
13	Lonesome Valley Farms	
14	The Francisco Grande Hotel and Golf	
	Resort (and surrounding property), owned	
15	and operated by FG Partners, L.L.P.	
	The Maha Ganapati Temple of Arizona	
16	Sun Valley Farms Unit 5 Homeowners	
	Association, Inc.	
17	Karolyn Clough	
18	Wanda Wood	
	Jean Stout	
19	Linda Beres	
20	Brenda Scott	
	Jackie Guthrie	
21	Aspen Farms	
	Dwayne Dobson	
22	Pivotal Sandia, L.L.C.	Karrin Kunasek Taylor
23	First American Title Company, as Trustee	William E. Lally
	of its Trust Nos. 8572, 8573 and 8574	
24	Wuertz Farming Limited Company, L.L.C.	
25	Sundance Farms Limited Partnership,	
	L.L.P.	

1 2 3	McKinney Farming Company Sarah Wuertz Gregory Wuertz Carol Wuertz Behrens David Wuertz	
4	Trinity Baptist Church	Roger K. Ferland and Michelle De Blasi
5	Meritage Homes Corporation	James J. Heiler
6	Town of Florence	James E. Mannato
	Vanguard Properties	Steve Hirsch and Rodney Ott

7 At the conclusion of the hearings, the Committee, having received the Application,
8 the appearances of the parties, the evidence, testimony and exhibits presented at the
9 hearings, and being advised of the legal requirements of Arizona Revised Statutes §§40-
10 360 to 40-360.13, upon motion duly made and seconded, voted to grant the Applicant a
11 Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (Case No. 126) for authority to construct the
12 following facilities as requested and described in the Application and modified by the
13 conditions herein: a transmission line beginning at the recently permitted Pinal West
14 Substation and ending at the existing Browning Substation (the "Project"), as described
15 below and depicted on Exhibit A, attached hereto.

16 **Pinal West to Santa Rosa Preferred Alignment Route Description**

17 The Project alignment would originate at the Pinal West Substation located in
18 Section 18, Township 5 South, Range 2 East, near the Maricopa and Pinal County line.
19 The proposed 500 kV transmission line would be constructed from the Pinal West
20 Substation, parallel and adjacent to the TEP West Wing - South 345 kV line, south to
21 Papago Road; then turn east to parallel Papago Road until intersecting with Table Top
22 Road. The line then parallels Table Top Road south to Mayer Boulevard. The line
23 continues east along Mayer Boulevard to Harvest Hills Trail. The line then parallels
24 Harvest Hills Trail until it converges with the El Paso Natural Gas Pipeline (EPNG)
25 corridor. The line continues southeast along the EPNG corridor to the Santa Rosa Canal.
26 The line then parallels the Santa Rosa Canal south until it intersects with Teel Road. The

1 line turns east, paralleling Teel Road until intersecting with Green Road. The line then
2 follows Green Road north to the midsection line, then east paralleling the midsection line
3 and portions of the Ak-Chin Indian Community boundary, to the Santa Rosa Substation.

4 **Santa Rosa to SEV Cornman Road/Backwards C Route Description:**

5 From the existing Santa Rosa Substation, the Project alignment extends east, paralleling
6 the existing APS and Western 230 kV transmission lines until it intersects with the Santa
7 Rosa Wash flood control channel. The line turns south, paralleling the Santa Rosa Wash
8 until it intersects with Carranza Road. The line turns east parallel to Carranza Road until
9 it intersects with the midsection line between Indian Valley and Midway Roads. The line
10 travels south down the midsection line to Cornman Road, which is ½ mile north of I-8.

11 The line extends east paralleling Cornman Road until it jogs south at the I-10/UPRR
12 intersection, to the Florence-Casa Grande Canal. The line continues east parallel to the
13 Florence-Casa Grande Canal, until turning north along Sunland Gin Road. The line
14 parallels Sunland Gin Road until it intersects with Earley Road, where the line then turns
15 east to Curry Road. At Curry Road, the line travels into the proposed Pinal South
16 Substation by proceeding east along Earley Road to Tweedy Road, turning north to the
17 midsection line, and then east to the area adjacent to the existing ED2 Substation. From
18 the ED2 Substation the line turns east paralleling Laughlin Road until it intersects with
19 the UPRR. The line parallels the UPRR north until it intersects with Bartlett Road. The
20 line turns east paralleling Bartlett Road until it intersects with the Florence Canal; the line
21 continues northeast paralleling the Florence Canal until it intersects with Valley Farms
22 Road. The line turns north paralleling Valley Farms Road until it intersects with an
23 existing Western 115 kV transmission line and travels west to Christensen Road. The line
24 then turns north along Christensen Road until it intersects with the UPRR. The line
25
26

1 parallels the UPRR until it converges with the Magma Railroad. It then extends
2 northeast, paralleling the Magma Railroad to the proposed SEV/SRP RS-22 Substation.

3 Or in the alternative:

4 **Santa Rosa to SEV Cornman Road/Eastern/Railroad Route Description:**

5 From the existing Santa Rosa Substation, the Project alignment then extends east,
6 paralleling the existing APS and Western 230 kV transmission lines until it intersects
7 with the Santa Rosa Wash flood control channel. The line turns south, paralleling the
8 Santa Rosa Wash until it intersects with Carranza Road. The line turns east parallel to
9 Carranza Road until it intersects with the midsection line between Indian Valley and
10 Midway Roads. The line travels south down the midsection line to Cornman Road,
11 which is ½ mile north of I-8. The line turns east paralleling Cornman Road until it jogs
12 south at the I-10/ UPRR intersection, to the Florence-Casa Grande Canal. The line
13 continues east parallel to the Florence-Casa Grande Canal, until turning north along
14 Sunland Gin Road. The line parallels Sunland Gin Road until its intersection with Earley
15 Road, where the line then heads east to Curry Road. At Curry Road, the line travels into
16 the proposed Pinal South Substation by proceeding east along Earley Road to Tweedy
17 Road, turning north to the midsection line, and then east to the area adjacent to the
18 existing ED2 Substation. From the ED2 Substation, the line turns east paralleling
19 Laughlin Road until it intersects with the UPRR. The line parallels the UPRR north until
20 it intersects with Bartlett Road. The line turns east paralleling Bartlett Road until it
21 intersects with the Florence-Casa Grande Canal; the line continues northeast paralleling
22 the Florence-Casa Grande Canal until it intersects with Valley Farms Road. The line
23 turns north paralleling Valley Farms Road until it intersects the UPRR. The line
24 continues northwest, parallel to the UPRR until it converges with the Magma Railroad. It
25
26

1 then extends northeast, paralleling the Magma Railroad to the proposed SEV/SRP RS-22
2 Substation.

3 The Applicant is also granted the flexibility to design and construct a double
4 circuit (two circuits on a single structure) transmission line consisting of one 500 kV
5 circuit and one 230 kV circuit in place of a single circuit 500 kV line for any portion of
6 the alignment from the Santa Rosa Substation to the SEV Substation, subject to the
7 conditions contained herein.

8 This Certificate is granted upon the following conditions:

- 9 1. This authorization to construct the Project will expire twenty (20) years
10 from the date the Certificate is approved by the Arizona Corporation
11 Commission, unless construction is completed to the point that the line is
12 capable of operating at its rated capacity by that time; provided, however,
13 that prior to such expiration the Applicant may request that the Arizona
14 Corporation Commission extend the time limitation.
- 15 2. Applicant shall obtain all required approvals and permits necessary to
16 construct the Project.
- 17 3. Applicant shall comply with all existing applicable laws, air and water
18 pollution control standards and regulations, ordinances, master plans and
19 regulations of the United States, the State of Arizona, Maricopa County,
20 Pinal County and any other governmental entities having jurisdiction.
- 21 4. The Applicant will sponsor an intensive pedestrian survey for cultural
22 resources of the previously un-inspected portions of the final right-of-way
23 and will continue to consult with the State Historic Preservation Office
24 (“SHPO”) to identify and evaluate any cultural resources present.
- 25 5. The Applicant will continue to consult with SHPO to reach a determination
26

1 of impact. If the result is a determination of negative impacts, the
2 Applicant will consult with SHPO to resolve the negative impacts.

- 3 6. The Applicant will avoid and/or minimize impacts to properties considered
4 eligible for inclusion in the State or National Register of Historic Places to
5 the extent possible. Spanning over historic-period roads, canals and
6 railroads is considered an acceptable form of avoidance.
- 7 7. If the Applicant decides that Register-eligible archaeological sites cannot be
8 avoided, then the Applicant will consult with SHPO to plan and implement
9 a mitigation plan.
- 10 8. Should an archaeological, paleontological, or historic site or object be
11 discovered during plan-related activities, the person in charge is required to
12 notify promptly the Director of the Arizona State Museum and take
13 reasonable steps to secure and maintain the resource's preservation
14 pursuant to state law (i.e., A.R.S. § 41-844). If a discovery involves human
15 remains or funerary objects and occurs on private land, procedures
16 implementing A.R.S. § 41-865 must be followed.
- 17 9. Within 45 days of securing easements or right of way for the Project, the
18 Applicant shall erect and maintain signs providing public notice that the
19 property is the site of a future transmission line. Applicant shall place signs
20 in prominent locations at reasonable intervals such that the public is
21 notified along the full length of the transmission line. The Applicant must
22 locate signs: a.) the site has been approved for the construction of Project
23 facilities including 500 kV lines, 230 kV lines and substations, as
24 appropriate; b.) the expected date of completion of the Project facilities;
25 and c.) a phone number for public information regarding the Project.
26

- 1 10. Until construction of the transmission line is complete, Applicant shall
2 make reasonable efforts to work with developers along the certificated
3 route to encourage them to include the location of the certificated route in
4 the developers' homeowners disclosure statement.
- 5 11. The Applicant shall provide copies of this Certificate to SHPO, the Arizona
6 Game and Fish Department, appropriate city and county planning agencies,
7 and the Arizona State Land Department.
- 8 12. Applicant shall monitor all ground clearing or disturbing activities that may
9 affect sensitive habitat. If a special status species is encountered, Applicant
10 shall retain a biological monitor to ensure proper actions are taken with
11 regard to such species.
- 12 13. Applicant shall design the transmission lines so as to minimize impacts to
13 raptors.
- 14 14. Where practical, the Applicant shall use existing roads for construction and
15 access. The Applicant shall minimize vegetation disturbance, particularly
16 in drainage channels and along stream banks.
- 17 15. A. The Applicant shall make every reasonable effort to identify and
18 correct, on a case-specific basis, all complaints of interference with radio or
19 television signals from operation of the line and related facilities.
- 20 B. The Applicant shall maintain written records for a period of five (5)
21 years of all complaints of radio or television interference attributable to
22 operation of the line, together with the corrective action taken in response
23 to each complaint. All complaints shall be recorded and include notations
24 as to the corrective action taken. Complaints not leading to a specific
25 action or for which there was no resolution shall be noted and explained.
- 26

1 The record shall be signed by the Project owner and also the complainant, if
2 possible, to indicate concurrence with the corrective action or agreement
3 with the justification for a lack of action.

4 16. The Applicant shall, to the extent feasible, minimize the destruction of
5 native plants during the Project construction and shall comply with the
6 notice and salvage requirements of the Arizona Native Plant Law.

7 17. The Applicant shall use non-specular conductor and dulled surfaces for
8 transmission line structures.

9 18. The following condition is to ensure transmission system safety and
10 reliability when a transmission structure is placed within one hundred feet
11 of an existing natural gas or hazardous liquid pipeline, excluding
12 distribution lines as defined by the Code of Federal Regulations, 49 C.F.R.
13 Sect. 192.3 (2001). Under such circumstances, the Applicant shall:

14 (a) Provide the appropriate grounding and cathodic protection studies to
15 show that the transmission line results in no material adverse
16 impacts to such existing natural gas or hazardous liquid pipeline,
17 whose right-of-way is a hundred feet or less from the transmission
18 structure, when both are in operation. Additionally, Applicant shall
19 use its best efforts to insure that future natural gas and hazardous
20 liquid pipelines result in no material adverse impact to the
21 transmission lines. A report of the studies shall be provided to the
22 Commission by the Applicant as part of its compliance with the
23 Certificate. If material adverse impacts are noted in the studies,
24 Applicant shall take appropriate steps to ensure such material
25 adverse impacts are mitigated. Applicant shall provide to the
26

1 Commission written documentation of the actions that were taken
2 and provide documentation showing that material adverse impacts
3 were mitigated.

4 (b) Adopt a written mitigation plan outlining all reasonable steps to be
5 taken to protect all electric facilities associated with the transmission
6 line as a result of this Project from any potential hazards that could
7 occur whenever a transmission line structure is placed 100 feet or
8 less from any such existing pipeline or its contents. The plan shall
9 indicate the proposed corrective action to mitigate identified
10 potential hazards. A potential hazard includes, but is not limited to,
11 a rupture or explosion resulting from the pipeline. The written
12 mitigation plan shall be provided to the Commission by the
13 Applicant as part of its compliance with this Certificate and shall be
14 subject to review and comment by Commission Staff. Applicant
15 shall implement the written mitigation plan during a hazard as part
16 of its compliance with this Certificate.

17 19. The Applicant shall submit a self-certification letter annually until
18 construction is complete, identifying which conditions contained in the
19 CEC have been met. Each letter shall be submitted to the Utilities Division
20 Director beginning on August 1, 2006, describing conditions that have been
21 met as of June 30 of that year. Attached to each certification letter shall be
22 documentation explaining, in detail, how compliance with each condition
23 was achieved.

24 20. Before construction on this Project may commence, the Applicant must file
25 a construction mitigation and restoration plan with ACC Docket Control.
26

1 The goals of the Plan will be to:

2 Avoid impacts where practical;

3 Where impacts are unavoidable, minimize impacts; and

4 Focus on site preparation to facilitate natural processes of
5 revegetation.

6 Other key elements of the Plan, when not inconsistent with the respective
7 land management agencies or local owners' requirements, are to:

8 Emphasize final site preparation to encourage natural revegetation;

9 Avoid (*i.e.*, preserve), where practical, mature native trees;

10 Stipulate a maximum construction corridor width;

11 Reserve topsoil and native plant materials from right-of-way before
12 grading, and respread over the right-of-way after construction is
13 complete;

14 Imprint the restored right-of-way to provide indentations to catch
15 seed and water;

16 Implement best management practices to protect the soil;

17 Apply restoration methods that have been shown to work in the
18 desert environment; and

19 Prevent the spread of noxious weeds or other undesirable species.

20 21. The authority granted by this Certificate includes the authority to construct
21 a 230 kV circuit on the 500 kV structures (authorized within this
22 Certificate), between the Santa Rosa and SEV Substations. This authority
23 is contingent on the following:

24 (a) The Applicant will identify proposed use of the 230 kV facilities in a
25 January 2006 filing of the annual ten year plan submittals. The
26

1 Applicant will include the 230 kV facilities and the required
2 technical study work in each subsequent ten year plan filing until the
3 230 kV facilities are constructed.

- 4 (b) Prior to beginning construction of a 230 kV circuit on any portion of
5 the route between the Santa Rosa and SEV Substations, Applicant
6 shall submit to the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation
7 Commission ("ACC Staff") a written study that describes the
8 proposed 230 kV circuit and provides all available study results of a
9 system reliability analysis relating to the proposed circuit, including
10 but not limited to, studies demonstrating that the proposed 230 kV
11 circuit meets NERC/WECC reliability criteria as well as any other
12 criteria utilized by the Commission at the time of filing. The study
13 will also identify the needs and objectives of the stakeholders,
14 address how the 230 kV circuit will best meet the regional needs of
15 the stakeholders and address how the 230 kV circuit will be
16 incorporated with other long term 230 kV plans identified to meet
17 the load serving needs of the region. The study will also address
18 issues of reliability relating to consolidation of these lines on
19 common structures, the use of common corridors and
20 interconnection of facilities. The study work will be performed in an
21 open and collaborative manner open to all stakeholders in a regional
22 forum, such as the CATS-HV Technical Study Work Group.
- 23 (c) Upon the submission of the study work described in subsection b (in
24 the paragraph above) to the ACC Staff, the Applicant shall make a
25 compliance filing with Docket Control documenting said study work
26

1 submission. The ACC Staff shall then docket within 90 days a Staff
2 Report with regard to the Applicant's study work. The Staff Report
3 shall include a Staff recommendation as to whether a hearing should
4 be held in the matter as well as Staff's recommendation as to whether
5 the Commission should approve the Applicant's request to attach a
6 230 kV circuit line to the 500 kV structures. Regardless of whether
7 the matter proceeds to hearing, the Commission shall make the final
8 determination whether the Applicant is authorized to construct the
9 230 kV circuit on any portion of the common 500 kV line structures
10 spanning from Santa Rosa to the SEV Substations. Only upon
11 receipt of an affirmative determination from the Commission, may
12 the Applicant construct the 230 kV circuit described in subsection a.

13 (d) A request for approval of a particular 230 kV circuit shall not
14 preclude subsequent requests for other 230 kV circuits.

15 21. The Applicant, within twenty-four (24) months of the issuance of this
16 Certificate will commence acquisition procedures with the affected
17 property owners along the route.

18 22. The Applicant, within ninety (90) days of the issuance of this Certificate
19 will work with affected property owners within the portions of the route
20 identified with a half (1/2) mile corridor on Applicant's Exhibit A-32 to
21 specifically identify a corridor not to exceed 500 feet.

22
23
24
25 GRANTED this ____ day of _____, 2005.
26

**THE ARIZONA POWER PLANT AND
TRANSMISSION LINE SITING
COMMITTEE**

By _____
Laurie A. Woodall, Chairman

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

1 **APPROVED BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**

2
3 _____
4 Chairman

Commissioner

Commissioner

5 _____

Commissioner

Commissioner

7 In Witness Hereof, I, Brian C. McNeil, Executive Secretary of the Arizona
8 Corporation Commission, set my hand and cause the official seal of this Commission to
9 be affixed, this _____ day of _____, 2005.

10
11 By _____

12 Brian C. McNeil
13 Executive Secretary

14 Dissent