

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED DOCKET NO. W-024761



0000018750

2005 APR -5 P 3:40

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMIS:

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

W-02476A-05-0118 4700

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

Investigator: Reg Lopez

Phone: (520) 628-6555

Fax: (520) 628-6559

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

Arizona Corporation Commission

Opinion No. 2005 - 43208

Date: 3/31/2005 DOCKETED

Complaint Description: 08A Rate Case Items - Opposed

APR - 5 2005

First:

Last:

Complaint By:

James

Damm

DOCKETED BY

Account Name:

James Damm

Home: (928) 533-6801

Street:

905 Hunter Ridge Rd.

Work: (000) 000-0000

City:

Prescott

CBR: jldamm@cableone.net

State:

AZ Zip: 86303

is: E-Mail

Utility Company: Bradshaw Water Company, Inc.

Division:

Contact Name: 0000

Contact Phone: 0000

Nature of Complaint:

Received following e-mail date for today, 3-31-05: Please forward this to the appropriate Commission personnel.

I am a resident of Creekside of Prescott Phase 3. I understand the water rates for Lots 74 through 81 are to be reviewed soon and as the owner of lots 73 & 76, I wish to provide some input.

These lots require Fire Sprinklers by the local fire district due to limited flow at the hydrants. In order to serve the sprinkler systems, larger water meters are installed than required on the other 79 lots in this subdivision. The Basic water charge has been set (we believe erroneously) at \$82 per month while the other lots pay \$33 per month. Over a 5 year period,, these home will pay an additional \$3000 for their water service because the water pressure/flow is LOWER than the fire district requirements! The logic of this plan is unclear and perhaps we believe, wrong.

The homes on these lots pose no incremental demand on the water system UNLESS there is a fire and in that case the extra water consumed would be charged as read by the meters.

These homes have already been burdened with the cost of the sprinkler systems (\$2000-3000) but the incremental charge of \$50 per month is quite unreasonable as there is no incremental cost to the water company or system.

I have talked to all other home & lot owners and we would like to see the following remedy.

Charge each home owner an incremental one time fee (\$100-200) for the cost of the larger water meter at the time of installation.

Change the basic monthly fee back to the \$33 per month that all the other lots in the subdivision are paying.

We believe this to be a much more equitable structure than the one imposed by the ACC which as we understand overrode the recommendation of the water company management which was similar to our recommendation.

If I can provide ant other input, I will certainly respond.

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Thank you for your consideration

James Damm

905 Hunter Ridge Rd
Prescott AZ 86303
928-533-6801
End of Complaint

Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

3-331 I called the customer and left recorded message to call me back re:his e-mail. I provided my name and toll free #.

4-1 Customer called back. I asked if his comments were related to the former Decision a few years ago or to the current tariff amendment the Company filed February 2005. Customer stated that his comments should be related the most recent tariff amendment that the Company filed. (W-02476A-05-0118). I agreed submit his comments to our Docket Control Dept to add his comments for the record. Sent to Docket Control with docket # and 13 copies. File closed.

End of Comments

Date Completed: 4/1/2005

Opinion No. 2005 - 43208
