

OPEN MEETING ITEM



0000017663

COMMISSIONERS
JEFF HATCH-MILLER - Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
MARC SPITZER
MIKE GLEASON
KRISTIN K. MAYES

ORIGINAL



ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION RECEIVED

22

2005 FEB 22 A 9:41

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

DATE: February 22, 2005

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

FEB 22 2005

DOCKET NO: T-04250A-04-0250

TO ALL PARTIES:

DOCKETED BY	<i>W</i>
-------------	----------

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Marc E. Stern. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Order on:

WDT WORLD DISCOUNT TELECOMMUNICATIONS, CO.
(CC&N/RESELLER)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and thirteen (13) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by 4:00 p.m. on or before:

MARCH 3, 2005

The enclosed is NOT an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has tentatively been scheduled for Open Meeting to be held on:

MARCH 8 AND 9, 2005

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602)542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602)542-4250. For information about the Open Meeting, contact the Executive Secretary's Office at (602) 542-3931.

BRIAN C. McNEIL
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

1
2 **BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**

3 COMMISSIONERS

4 JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman
5 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
6 MARC SPITZER
7 MIKE GLEASON
8 KRISTIN K. MAYES

9 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
10 WDT WORLD DISCOUNT
11 TELECOMMUNICATIONS, CO. FOR A
12 CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND
13 NECESSITY TO PROVIDE COMPETITIVE
14 RESOLD INTEREXCHANGE
15 TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, EXCEPT
16 LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES.

DOCKET NO. T-04250A-04-0250

DECISION NO. _____

ORDER

17 Open Meeting
18 March 8 and 9, 2005
19 Phoenix, Arizona

20 **BY THE COMMISSION:**

21 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the
22 Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that:

23 **FINDINGS OF FACT**

24 1. On April 2, 2004, WDT World Discount Telecommunications, Co. ("WDT" or
25 "Applicant") filed with the Commission an application for a Certificate of Convenience and
26 Necessity ("Certificate") to provide competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services,
27 except local exchange services, within the State of Arizona.

28 2. Applicant is a switchless reseller that purchases telecommunications services from a
variety of carriers for resale to its customers.

3. In Decision No. 58926 (December 22, 1994), the Commission found that resold
telecommunications providers ("resellers") are public service corporations subject to the jurisdiction
of the Commission.

4. WDT has authority to transact business in the State of Arizona.

5. On November 22, 2004, Applicant filed an Affidavit of Publication indicating

1 compliance with the Commission's notice requirements.

2 6. On February 7, 2005, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Staff
3 Report which includes Staff's fair value rate base determination in this matter and recommends
4 approval of the application subject to certain conditions.

5 7. In the Staff Report, Staff stated that WDT provided audited financial statements for
6 the 9 months ending September 3, 2003, which list assets of \$3,072,723, equity of \$2,631,813 and a
7 net income of \$2,124,890.

8 8. In its Staff Report, Staff stated that based on information obtained from the Applicant,
9 it has determined that WDT's fair value rate base ("FVRB") is zero and is not useful in a fair value
10 analysis, and is not useful in setting rates. Staff further stated that in general, rates for competitive
11 services are not set according to rate of return regulation. Staff has reviewed the rates to be charged
12 by the Applicant and believes they are just and reasonable as they are comparable to several long
13 distance carriers operating in Arizona and comparable to the rates the Applicant charges in other
14 jurisdictions. Therefore, while Staff considered the FVRB information submitted by the Applicant,
15 the FVRB information provided should not be given substantial weight in this analysis.

16 9. Staff believes that WDT has no market power and that the reasonableness of its rates
17 will be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors. In light of the competitive market in which
18 the Applicant will be providing its services, Staff believes that the rates in Applicant's proposed
19 tariffs for its competitive services will be just and reasonable, and recommends that the Commission
20 approve them.

21 10. Staff recommended approval of WDT's application subject to the following:

22 (a) The Applicant should be ordered to comply with all Commission rules, orders,
23 and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications
24 service;

25 (b) The Applicant should be ordered to maintain its accounts and records as
26 required by the Commission;

27 (c) The Applicant should be ordered to file with the Commission all financial and
28 other reports that the Commission may require, and in a form and at such times as the
Commission may designate;

1 (d) The Applicant should be ordered to maintain on file with the Commission all
current tariffs and rates, and any service standards that the Commission may require;

2 (e) The Applicant should be ordered to comply with the Commission's rules and
3 modify its tariffs to conform to these rules if it is determined that there is a conflict
4 between the Applicant's tariffs and the Commission's rules;

5 (f) The Applicant should be ordered to cooperate with Commission investigations
6 including, but not limited to customer complaints;

7 (g) The Applicant should be ordered to participate in and contribute to the Arizona
8 Universal Service Fund, as required by the Commission;

9 (h) The Applicant should be ordered to notify the Commission immediately upon
10 changes to the Applicant's name, address or telephone number;

11 (i) If at some future date, the Applicant wants to collect from its resold
12 interexchange customers an advance, deposit and/or prepayment, Staff recommends
13 that the Applicant be required to file an application with the Commission for
14 Commission approval. Such application must reference the Decision in this docket
15 and must explain the Applicant's plans for procuring a performance bond;

16 (j) The Applicant's interexchange service offerings should be classified as
17 competitive pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1108;

18 (k) The Applicant's maximum rates should be the maximum rates proposed by the
19 Applicant in its proposed tariffs. The minimum rates for the Applicant's competitive
20 services should be the Applicant's total service long run incremental costs of
21 providing those services as set forth in A.A.C. R14-2-1109;

22 (l) In the event that the Applicant states only one rate in its proposed tariff for a
23 competitive service, the rate stated should be the effective (actual) price to be charged
24 for the service as well as the service's maximum rate; and

25 (m) In the event the Applicant requests to discontinue and/or abandon its service
26 area it must provide notice to both the Commission and its customers. Such notice(s)
27 shall be in accordance with A.A.C. R14-2-1107.¹

28 11. Staff further recommended that WDT's Certificate should be conditioned upon the
Applicant filing conforming tariffs in accordance with this Decision within 365 days from the date of
an Order in this matter, or 30 days prior to providing service, whichever comes first.

12. Staff recommended that if the Applicant fails to meet the timeframes outlined in

¹ Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1107, the Applicant is required to comply and obtain Commission authorization of
compliance with all of the requirements, including but not limited to the notice requirements, prior to discontinuance of
service and/or abandonment of its service area.

1 Findings of Fact No. 11, that WDT's Certificate should become null and void without further Order
2 of the Commission, and that no time extensions for compliance should be granted.

3 13. WDT will not collect advances, prepayments or deposits from its customers.

4 14. The rates proposed by this filing are for competitive services.

5 15. Staff's recommendations as set forth herein are reasonable.

6 16. WDT's fair value rate base is zero.

7 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

8 1. Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the
9 Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.

10 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the
11 application.

12 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.

13 4. Applicant's provision of resold interexchange telecommunications services is in the
14 public interest.

15 5. Applicant is a fit and proper entity to receive a Certificate as conditioned herein for
16 providing competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services in Arizona.

17 6. Staff's recommendations in Findings of Fact No. 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12 should be
18 adopted.

19 7. WDT's fair value rate base is not useful in determining just and reasonable rates for
20 the competitive services it proposes to provide to Arizona customers.

21 8. WDT's rates, as they appear in its proposed tariffs, are just and reasonable and should
22 be approved.

23 **ORDER**

24 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of WDT World Discount
25 Telecommunications, Co. for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for authority to provide
26 competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services, except local exchange services, is
27 hereby granted, conditioned upon its compliance with the conditions recommended by Staff as set
28 forth in Findings of Fact No. 11 above.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Staff's recommendations set forth in Findings of Fact Nos.
2 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12 above are hereby adopted.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that WDT World Discount Telecommunications, Co. shall
4 comply with the adopted Staff recommendations as set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 10 and 11
5 above.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if WDT World Discount Telecommunications, Co. fails to
7 meet the timeframes outlined in Findings of Fact. No. 11 above that the Certificate conditionally
8 granted herein shall become null and void without further Order of the Commission.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that WDT World Discount Telecommunications, Co. shall not
10 require its Arizona customers to pay advances, prepayments or deposits for any of its products or
11 services.

12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

13 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.

14
15
16 CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER

17
18 COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER

19
20 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive
21 Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have
22 hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the
23 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix,
24 this ____ day of _____, 2005.

25
26 _____
27 BRIAN C. McNEIL
28 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

29 DISSENT _____

30 DISSENT _____

31 MES:mj

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SERVICE LIST FOR: WDT WORLD DISCOUNT TELECOMMUNICATIONS, CO.

DOCKET NO.: T-04250A-04-0250

Lance J. M. Steinhart
1720 Windward Concourse, Ste. 250
Alpharetta, GA 30005
Attorney for WDT World Discount Telecommunications, Co.

Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
Legal Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Ernest G. Johnson, Director
Utilities Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007