



0000015229

2IG
CD - Company
Cards

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

MARC SPITZER, Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
JEFF HATCH-MILLER
MIKE GLEASON
KRISTIN K. MAYES

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

JAN - 4 2005

DOCKETED BY nr

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
TELEDATA SOLUTIONS, INC. FOR A
CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND
NECESSITY TO PROVIDE COMPETITIVE
RESOLD INTEREXCHANGE
TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, EXCEPT
LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES.

DOCKET NO. T-04234A-04-0064

DECISION NO. 67464

ORDER

Open Meeting
December 14 and 15, 2004
Phoenix, Arizona

BY THE COMMISSION:

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On January 30, 2004, Teledata Solutions, Inc. ("Applicant" or "TSI") filed with the Commission an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to provide competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services, except local exchange services, within the State of Arizona.
2. Applicant is a switchless reseller that purchases telecommunications services from a variety of carriers for resale to its customers.
3. In Decision No. 58926 (December 22, 1994), the Commission found that resold telecommunications providers ("resellers") are public service corporations subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission.
4. TSI has authority to transact business in the State of Arizona.
5. On June 10, 2004, Applicant filed an Affidavit of Publication indicating compliance

1 with the Commission's notice requirements.

2 6. On November 12, 2004, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a
3 Staff Report which includes Staff's fair value rate base determination in this matter and recommends
4 approval of the application subject to certain conditions.

5 7. In the Staff Report, Staff stated that TSI provided unaudited financial statements for
6 the six months ending June 30, 2004, which list assets in excess of \$2.2 million, equity in excess of
7 \$500,000 and net income of \$239,068.

8 8. In its Staff Report, Staff stated that based on information obtained from the Applicant,
9 it has determined that TSI's fair value rate base ("FVRB") is zero and is not useful in a fair value
10 analysis, and is not useful in setting rates. Staff further stated that in general, rates for competitive
11 services are not set according to rate of return regulation, but are heavily influenced by the market.
12 Staff recommended that the Commission not set rates for TSI based on the fair value of its rate base.

13 9. Staff believes that TSI has no market power and that the reasonableness of its rates
14 will be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors. In light of the competitive market in which
15 the Applicant will be providing its services, Staff believes that the rates in Applicant's proposed
16 tariffs for its competitive services will be just and reasonable, and recommends that the Commission
17 approve them.

18 10. Staff recommended approval of TSI's application subject to the following:

19 (a) The Applicant should be ordered to comply with all Commission rules, orders,
20 and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications
21 service;

22 (b) The Applicant should be ordered to maintain its accounts and records as
23 required by the Commission;

24 (c) The Applicant should be ordered to file with the Commission all financial and
25 other reports that the Commission may require, and in a form and at such times as the
26 Commission may designate;

26 (d) The Applicant should be ordered to maintain on file with the Commission all
27 current tariffs and rates, and any service standards that the Commission may require;

28 (e) The Applicant should be ordered to comply with the Commission's rules and
modify its tariffs to conform to these rules if it is determined that there is a conflict

1 between the Applicant's tariffs and the Commission's rules;

2 (f) The Applicant should be ordered to cooperate with Commission investigations
3 including, but not limited to customer complaints;

4 (g) The Applicant should be ordered to participate in and contribute to the Arizona
5 Universal Service Fund, as required by the Commission;

6 (h) The Applicant should be ordered to notify the Commission immediately upon
7 changes to the Applicant's name, address or telephone number;

8 (i) If at some future date, the Applicant wants to collect from its resold
9 interexchange customers an advance, deposit and/or prepayment, Staff recommends
10 that the Applicant be required to file an application with the Commission for
11 Commission approval. Such application must reference the Decision in this docket and
12 must explain the Applicant's plans for procuring a performance bond;

13 (j) The Applicant's interexchange service offerings should be classified as
14 competitive pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1108;

15 (k) The Applicant's maximum rates should be the maximum rates proposed by the
16 Applicant in its proposed tariffs. The minimum rates for the Applicant's competitive
17 services should be the Applicant's total service long run incremental costs of
18 providing those services as set forth in A.A.C. R14-2-1109;

19 (l) In the event that the Applicant states only one rate in its proposed tariff for a
20 competitive service, the rate stated should be the effective (actual) price to be charged
21 for the service as well as the service's maximum rate; and

22 (m) In the event the Applicant requests to discontinue and/or abandon its service
23 area it must provide notice to both the Commission and its customers. Such notice(s)
24 shall be in accordance with A.A.C. R14-2-1107.¹

25 11. Staff further recommended that TSI's Certificate should be conditioned upon the
26 Applicant filing conforming tariffs in accordance with this Decision within 365 days from the date of
27 an Order in this matter, or 30 days prior to providing service, whichever comes first.

28 12. Staff recommended that if the Applicant fails to meet the timeframes outlined in
Findings of Fact No. 11, that TSI's Certificate should become null and void without further Order of
the Commission, and that no time extensions for compliance should be granted.

13. TSI will not collect advances, prepayments or deposits from its customers.

¹ Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1107, the Applicant is required to comply and obtain Commission authorization of compliance with all of the requirements, including but not limited to the notice requirements, prior to discontinuance of service and/or abandonment of its service area.

1 14. The rates proposed by this filing are for competitive services.

2 15. Staff's recommendations as set forth herein are reasonable.

3 16. TSI's fair value rate base is zero.

4 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

5 1. Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the
6 Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.

7 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the
8 application.

9 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.

10 4. Applicant's provision of resold interexchange telecommunications services is in the
11 public interest.

12 5. Applicant is a fit and proper entity to receive a Certificate as conditioned herein for
13 providing competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services in Arizona.

14 6. Staff's recommendations in Findings of Fact No. 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12 should be
15 adopted.

16 7. TSI's fair value rate base is not useful in determining just and reasonable rates for the
17 competitive services it proposes to provide to Arizona customers.

18 8. TSI's rates, as they appear in its proposed tariffs, are just and reasonable and should be
19 approved.

20 **ORDER**

21 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of Teledata Solutions, Inc. for a
22 Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for authority to provide competitive resold interexchange
23 telecommunications services, except local exchange services, is hereby granted, conditioned upon its
24 compliance with the conditions recommended by Staff as set forth in Findings of Fact No. 11 above.

25 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Staff's recommendations set forth in Findings of Fact Nos.
26 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12 above are hereby adopted.


27 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Teledata Solutions, Inc. shall comply with the adopted
28 Staff recommendations as set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 10 and 11 above.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Teledata Solutions, Inc. fails to meet the timeframes
2 outlined in Findings of Fact. No. 11 above that the Certificate conditionally granted herein shall
3 become null and void without further Order of the Commission.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Teledata Solutions, Inc. shall not require its Arizona
5 customers to pay advances, prepayments or deposits for any of its products or services.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

7 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.

8
9   
10 CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER

11  
12 COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER

13
14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive
15 Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have
16 hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the
17 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix,
18 this 4th day of Jan., 2005. *K.M.*

19 
20 BRIAN C. McNEIL
21 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

22 DISSENT _____

23 DISSENT _____

24 MES:mlj

1 SERVICE LIST FOR: TELEDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.

2 DOCKET NO.: T-04234A-04-0064

3

4 Patrick D. Crocker
EARLY, LENNON, CROCKER & BARTOSIEWICZ, P.L.C
5 900 Comerica Building
Kalamazoo, Michigan 49007-4752
6 Attorneys for Teledata Solutions, Inc.

7 Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
Legal Division
8 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
9 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

10 Ernest G. Johnson, Director
Utilities Division
11 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
12 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28