

ORIGINAL



0000014648

RECEIVED  
BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

JEFF HATCH-MILLER  
Chairman  
WILLIAM MUNDELL  
Commissioner  
MARC SPITZER  
Commissioner  
MIKE GLEASON  
Commissioner  
KRISTIN MAYES  
Commissioner

2005 FEB -3 P 4: 09  
AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCUMENT CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission  
**DOCKETED**  
FEB 0 3 2005

DOCKETED BY

IN THE MATTER OF QWEST  
CORPORATION'S FILING AMENDED  
RENEWED PRICE REGULATION PLAN.

DOCKET NO. T-01051B-03-0454

IN THE MATTER OF THE INVESTIGATION  
OF THE COST OF  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACCESS.

DOCKET NO. T-00000D-00-0672

**EMERGENCY MOTION TO SUSPEND  
THE INFLATION MINUS  
PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR  
ADJUSTMENT**

Qwest Corporation ("Qwest") moves the Arizona Corporation Commission (the "Commission") for an order suspending application of the "Inflation Minus Productivity" indexing rate reduction mechanism on April 1, 2005 (the "April 1 Rate Reduction") under the Qwest Price Cap Plan pending an order of the Commission in the captioned docket.

The Price Cap Plan approved by the Commission in Decision No. 63487 in 2001 provided that Basket 1 services<sup>1</sup> are capped and subject to an annual rate adjustment determined by an "Inflation minus Productivity" indexing mechanism. Under that mechanism, when productivity exceeds inflation, rates will decrease, and the decrease is effective on April 1 of the following year. Thus, under the current factors effective in the Price Cap Plan, application of Inflation minus Productivity indexing mechanism would require Qwest to reduce its Basket 1 rates in amounts that would reduce its annual revenues approximately \$12 Million, effective April 1, 2005, absent an

<sup>1</sup> Basket 1 services include, among other services, basic residence and basic business services.

1 order by the Commission to the contrary. The Commission has previously declined to interpret the  
2 Price Cap Plan as limiting the number of annual adjustments.<sup>2</sup> In its initial February 10, 2004  
3 Decision, the Commission, in relevant part, ordered Qwest to make further reductions in its rates  
4 for Basket 1 services on April 1, 2004 and annually thereafter. On June 9, 2004, the Commission  
5 considered all proffered amendments and ultimately adopted Decision No. 67047. In so doing, the  
6 Commission accepted an amendment that prospectively reversed its earlier determination to require  
7 further reductions in intrastate access charges, but rejected an amendment that would have similarly  
8 reversed the mandate for further productivity/inflation adjustments to Basket 1.

9 In the interim, the current Price Cap case has been proceeding.<sup>3</sup> The parties have conducted  
10 extensive discovery, sending dozens of data requests containing hundreds of questions, in response  
11 to which Qwest has produced hundreds of thousands of pages of data. The time allowed for  
12 discovery under the procedural schedule expired on January 31, and the parties have submitted all  
13 rounds of their pre-filed written testimony. Based on the all the testimony filed by the parties,  
14 Qwest believes that settlement discussions may very well be productive; accordingly Qwest asked  
15 the Hearing Division to suspend the Procedural Schedule in order to pursue settlement. A number  
16 of parties in the case, including Staff, RUCO, and Cox supported suspension of the Procedural  
17 Schedule, and on February 2 Administrative Law Judge Rodda ordered the Schedule suspended.

18 Generally settlements of disputed matters are viewed favorably in litigation, and given the  
19 complexity of this proceeding and the number of parties involved, prompt pursuit of good faith  
20 settlement discussions is highly desirable. However, in this case, the pursuit of settlement is

21 \_\_\_\_\_  
22 <sup>2</sup> Decision No. 66772 issued on February 10, 2004 and Decision No. 67047 issued on June 18, 2004 (the "Decisions").  
23 Qwest has directly appealed the Decisions to the Court of Appeals [No. 1 CA-CC 04-0001 and 1 CA-CC 04-0002  
(consolidated)] pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-254.01, as well as to the Maricopa County Superior Court under A.R.S.  
24 § 40-254 (to preserve its appeal rights should the Court of Appeals determine that it does not have jurisdiction).  
25 Nothing herein shall be construed as a waiver of the arguments advanced by Qwest in these appeals.

26 <sup>3</sup> Qwest complied with Decision No. 66772 by submitting its A.A.C. R14-2-103 for modification of the Price Cap  
Plan on May 20, 2004. As a result, the Hearing Division ordered a schedule designed to achieve a hearing of the  
matter in early January 2004 with a final decision from the Commission prior to April 1, 2005. However, pursuant  
to procedural changes necessitated by the extensive discovery of the parties and through no fault of Qwest, the  
matter was subsequently set for evidentiary hearing on February 10, 2005. "We do not grant the extension request to  
penalize Qwest for any delay in responding to Staff's data requests . . ." Procedural Order at 3 (October 13, 2004).

1 jeopardized by the looming April 1 Rate Reduction.

2       The April 1 Rate Reduction will be required automatically unless the Commission  
3 suspends it under the Commission's currently effective interpretation of its Decision 63487. An  
4 automatic rate reduction at this time is not supported by the evidence in the case, and if effected  
5 would most probably have to be subsequently reversed in whole or in part. Based on the written  
6 pre-filed testimony, no likely scenario warranting Basket 1 rate reductions exists. Qwest's  
7 testimony and revised AAC R14-2-103 revenue requirement finds a \$275 million revenue  
8 deficiency. RUCO's testimony states that Qwest has a \$160 million revenue deficiency. The  
9 Arizona Corporation Commission Staff ("Staff") analysis concludes that Qwest has a \$3.5  
10 million revenue deficiency. Even taking the most conservative view of the likely outcome of this  
11 case, there is no likelihood that a rate reduction will be supported by the evidence. Indeed, given  
12 the testimony that has been pre-filed, a rate reduction would be unreasonable and confiscatory.  
13 Further, a rate reduction may very well have to be reversed in a matter of months, as a  
14 consequence of this proceeding. "Yo-Yo" rates are confusing to consumers, expensive for the  
15 company to administer, and bad public policy.

16       Unfortunately, under the circumstances of the current case, in which the parties are just now  
17 beginning settlement discussions, there is little, if any, chance that the Commission will consider its  
18 final decision concerning modification, amendment or termination of the Price Cap Plan prior to  
19 April 1, 2005. However, the Commission has the option of staying any further Basket 1 reduction  
20 until it has issued its final decision concerning modification, amendment or termination of the  
21 Price Cap Plan and can then determine whether any further adjustment or true-up will be  
22 necessary. The Commission should now take action to suspend the April 1 Rate Reduction.  
23 Taking such action expeditiously will prevent unjust rate reductions, and the possibility of customer  
24 confusion when the rate reductions are later reversed. Intervention now demonstrates sound public  
25 interest stewardship by the Commission.

26       Qwest respectfully requests that the Commission suspend any further Basket 1 rate

1 reduction under the Inflation minus Productivity indexing mechanism under the Price Cap Plan  
2 pending a final decision concerning the matters in this docket.

3 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of February, 2005.

4  
5 By:   
6 Norman Curtright  
7 QWEST CORPORATION  
8 4041 N. Central, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
9 Phoenix, AZ 85012

10 -and-

11 Timothy Berg  
12 Theresa Dwyer  
13 FENNEMORE CRAIG  
14 3003 North Central Ave., Suite 2600  
15 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913  
16 (602) 916-5421

17 *Attorneys for Qwest Corporation*

18 **ORIGINAL and 15 copies hand-delivered for  
19 filing this 3rd day of February, 2005 to:**

20 Docket Control  
21 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
22 1200 W. Washington St.  
23 Phoenix, AZ 85007

24 **COPY of the foregoing delivered  
25 this 3rd day of February, 2005 to:**

26 Jane Rodda  
Administrative Law Judge  
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1200 W. Washington St.  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

1 Maureen A. Scott  
Legal Division  
2 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1200 W. Washington St.  
3 Phoenix, AZ 85007

4 Ernest G. Johnson, Director  
Utilities Division  
5 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1200 W. Washington St.  
6 Phoenix, AZ 85007

7 **COPY of the foregoing mailed**  
**this 3rd day of February, 2005 to:**

8

9 Joan S. Burke  
Osborne Maledon  
10 2929 N. Central Ave., 21<sup>st</sup> Fl.  
Phoenix, AZ 85067

11

12 Scott S. Wakefield, Chief Counsel  
Daniel W. Pozefsky, Esq.  
13 RUCO  
1110 West Washington, Suite 220  
14 Phoenix, AZ 85007

15 Michael W. Patten  
Roshka Heyman & DeWulf, PLC  
16 One Arizona Center  
400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800  
17 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

18 Mark A. DiNunzio  
Cox Arizona Telcom, LLC  
19 20401 North 29<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
Phoenix, Arizona 85027

20

21 Thomas H. Campbell  
Michael T. Hallam  
22 Lewis and Roca  
40 N. Central Avenue  
23 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

24 Thomas F. Dixon  
WorldCom, Inc.  
25 707 17<sup>th</sup> Street, 39<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Denver, Colorado 80202

26

- 1 Richard S. Wolters  
Mary Tribby
- 2 AT&T  
1875 Lawrence Street, Room 1575
- 3 Denver, CO 80202-1847
- 4 Peter Q. Nyce, Jr.  
Regulatory Law Office
- 5 U.S. Army Litigation Center  
901 N. Stuart Street, Suite 713
- 6 Arlington, VA 22203-1837
- 7 Daniel D. Haws  
OSIA, Attn: ATZS-JAD
- 8 USA Intelligence Center and Ft. Huachuca  
Ft. Huachuca, AZ 85613-0600
- 9
- 10 Richard Lee  
Snively King Majoros O'Connor & Lee
- 11 1220 L. Street N.W., Suite 410  
Washington, DC 20005
- 12
- 13 Patrick A. Clisham  
AT&T Arizona State Director
- 14 320 E. Broadmoor Court  
Phoenix, AZ 85022
- 15
- 16 Eric S. Heath  
Sprint Legal Division
- 17 100 Spear Street, Suite 930  
San Francisco, CA 94105
- 18
- 19 Walter W. Meek President  
Arizona Utility Investors Association
- 20 2100 N. Central Avenue, Suite 210  
Phoenix, AZ 85004
- 21
- 22 Albert Sterman, Vice President  
Arizona Consumers Council
- 23 2849 E. 8<sup>th</sup> Street  
Tucson, AZ 85716
- 24
- 25 Accipiter Communications, Inc.  
2238 W. Lone Cactus Dr., Ste.100
- 26 Phoenix, AZ 85027

- 1 Alliance Group Services, Inc.  
1221 Post Road East
- 2 Westport, CT 06880
- 3 Archtel, Inc.  
1800 West Park Drive, Ste. 250
- 4 Westborough, MA 01581
- 5 Brooks Fiber Communications of Tucson, Inc.  
201 Spear Street, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor
- 6 San Francisco, CA 94105
- 7 Centurytel  
PO Box 4065
- 8 Monroe, LA 71211-4065
- 9 Citizens Utilities Rural Co. Inc.  
Citizens Communications Co. of Arizona
- 10 4 Triad Center, Suite 200  
Salt Lake City, UT 84180
- 11
- 12 Citizens Telecommunications Co. of the White Mountains, Inc.  
4 Triad Center, Ste. 200
- 13 Salt Lake City, UT 84180
- 14 Comm South Companies, Inc.  
2909 N. Buckner Blvd., Ste. 200
- 15 Dallas, TX 75228
- 16 Copper Valley Telephone, Inc.  
PO Box 970
- 17 Willcox, AZ 85644
- 18 Electric Lightwave, Inc.  
4 Triad Center, Ste. 200
- 19 Salt Lake City, UT 84180
- 20 Eschelon Telecom of Arizona, Inc.  
730 Second Avenue South, Ste.1200
- 21 Minneapolis, MN 55402
- 22 Ernest Communications, Inc.  
5275 Triangle Pkwy, Ste. 150
- 23 Norcross, GA 30092-6511
- 24 Intermedia Communications, Inc.  
3608 Queen Palm Drive
- 25 Tampa, FL 33619-1311
- 26

- 1 Level 3 Communications, LLC  
1025 Eldorado Blvd.  
2 Broomfield, CO 80021
- 3 Max-Tel Communications, Inc.  
105 N. Wickham  
4 PO Box 280  
Alvord, TX 76225
- 5
- 6 MCI WorldCom Communications  
201 Spear Street, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
7 San Francisco, CA 94105
- 8 MCIMetro  
201 Spear Street, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
9 San Francisco, CA 94105
- 10 Metropolitan Fiber Systems of Arizona, Inc.  
201 Spear Street, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
11 San Francisco, CA 94105
- 12 Midvale Telephone Exchange  
PO Box 7  
13 Midvale, ID 83645
- 14 Navajo Communications Co., Inc.  
4 Triad Center, Suite 200  
15 Salt Lake City, UT 84180
- 16 Nextlink Long Distance Svcs.  
3930 E. Watkins, Ste. 200  
17 Phoenix, AZ 85034
- 18 North County Communications Corporation  
3802 Rosencrans, Ste. 485  
19 San Diego, CA 92110
- 20 One Point Communications  
Two Conway Park  
21 150 Field Drive, Ste. 300  
Lake Forest, IL 60045
- 22
- 23 Opex Communications, Inc.  
500 E. Higgins Rd., Ste. 200  
24 Elk Grove Village, IL 60007
- 25 Pac-West Telecomm, Inc.  
1776 W. March Lane, #250  
26 Stockton, CA 95207

- 1 The Phone Company/Network Services of New Hope  
6805 Route 202  
2 New Hope, PA 18938
- 3 Rio Virgin Telephone Co.  
Rio Virgin Telephone and Cablevision  
4 PO Box 189  
Estacada, OR 97023-000
- 5
- 6 South Central Utah Telephone Association, Inc.  
PO Box 226  
7 Escalante, UT 84726-000
- 8 Southwestern Telephone Co., Inc.  
PO Box 5158  
9 Madison, WI 53705-0158
- 10 Special Accounts Billing Group  
1523 Withorn Lane  
11 Inverness, IL 60067
- 12 Touch America  
130 N. Main Street  
13 Butte, MT 59701
- 14 Table Top Telephone Co, Inc.  
600 N. Second Avenue  
15 Ajo, AZ 85321-0000
- 16 TCG Phoenix  
1875 Lawrence Street, Room 1575  
17 Denver, CO 80202
- 18 Valley Telephone Cooperative, Inc.  
752 E. Malley Street  
19 PO Box 970  
Willcox, AZ 85644
- 20
- 21 Verizon Select Services Inc.  
6665 MacArthur Blvd, HQK02D84  
22 Irving, TX 75039
- 23 VYVX, LLC  
One Williams Center, MD 29-1  
24 Tulsa, OK 74172
- 25 Western CLEC Corporation  
3650 131<sup>st</sup> Avenue SE, Ste. 400  
26 Bellevue, WA 98006

1 Williams Local Network, Inc.  
One Williams Center, MD 29-1  
2 Tulsa, OK 74172

3 XO Arizona Inc.  
3930 Watkins, Ste. 200  
4 Phoenix, AZ 85034

5

6 *Diane Kypar*

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26