

ORIGINAL



0000011089

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

25DM

COMMISSIONERS

2004 AUG 13 A 11:43

Arizona Corporation Commission

**DOCKETED**

MARC SPITZER, Chairman  
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL  
JEFF HATCH-MILLER  
MIKE GLEASON  
KRISTIN K. MAYES

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCUMENT CONTROL

AUG 13 2004

DOCKETED BY **CAR**

IN THE MATTER OF QWEST CORPORATION'S  
FILING OF RENEWED PRICE REGULATION  
PLAN.

DOCKET NO. T-01051B-03-0454

IN THE MATTER OF THE INVESTIGATION OF  
THE COST OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
ACCESS.

DOCKET NO. T-00000D-00-0672

**PROCEDURAL ORDER**

**BY THE COMMISSION:**

On February 10, 2004, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") issued Decision No. 66772, which clarified Qwest Corporation's ("Qwest's") Price Cap Plan. Decision No. 66772 ordered Qwest to make a price cap adjustment to Basket 1 as well as reduce access charges an additional \$5 million on April 1, 2004.

On February 25, 2004, Qwest filed an Application for Rehearing and Immediate Stay of Decision No. 66772. Qwest argued that certain aspects of Decision No. 66772 were contrary to state and federal law and not supported by substantial evidence in the record.

On March 8, 2004, Worldcom, Inc. ("Worldcom") filed a Response to Qwest's Application for Rehearing. Worldcom argued that Decision No. 66772 should not be modified or stayed.

On March 8, 2004, Qwest filed a Motion to Revise Productivity Factor and Notice of Filing Updated Productivity Analysis and Affidavit of Philip E. Grate. Qwest proposes reducing the productivity adjustment factor to zero.

On March 15, 2004, March 17, 2004, and March 22, 2004, the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO"), AT&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc. and TCG Phoenix (collectively "AT&T") and Commission Utility Division Staff ("Staff"), respectively, filed Responses to Qwest's Motion to Revise Productivity Factor. These parties oppose the proposed

1 change to the current productivity adjustment factor.

2 On April 1, 2004, Qwest filed a Reply to the Responses of RUCO, AT&T and Staff.

3 On April 5, 2004, RUCO filed a Response to Qwest's Application for Rehearing of Decision.  
4 No. 66772.

5 By Procedural Order issued April 6, 2004, parties were ordered to file any Responses to the  
6 substantive issues contained in Qwest's Motion for Rehearing by April 16, 2004, and Qwest was  
7 ordered to file a Reply by April 23, 2004. The issues raised in the Motion for Rehearing and the  
8 Motion to Revise Productivity Factor were set for oral argument.

9 On April 16, 2004, Staff filed a Response and Worldcom filed a Supplemental Response to  
10 Qwest's Application for Re-hearing. On May 4, 2004, the Commission heard oral argument on the  
11 Request for Re-hearing and the Motion to Revise Productivity Factor.

12 Following an Open Meeting on June 9, 2004, the Commission issued Decision No. 67047  
13 (June 18, 2004) in which it modified Decision No. 66772. In Decision No. 67047, the Commission  
14 confirmed its earlier decision that required Qwest to make a price cap index adjustment to Basket 1 as  
15 of April 1, 2004, but reconsidered its earlier decision to order a \$5 million reduction in access charges  
16 as of the same date. In Decision No. 66772, the Commission reaffirmed that the price cap adjustment  
17 for the third year of the Price Cap Plan was to be made on April 1, 2004, and that the Price Cap Index  
18 remains in place until a new Plan is approved or the Commission terminates the current plan. Thus,  
19 Qwest's Motion to Revise the Productivity Factor remains relevant.

20 Qwest's request to reset the Productivity Factor in the Price Cap Index to zero would  
21 eliminate any further adjustment to Basket 1 prices under the current Plan. Responding parties  
22 argued that the Productivity Factor is an integral part of the Basket 1 prices approved in Decision No.  
23 63487, and is one of the questions at issue in Qwest's filing to Modify its Price Cap Plan. In  
24 addition, they argued modifying the Productivity Factor would result in significant revenue changes  
25 which requires going through the rate case process.

26 When it adopted the Price Cap Plan that resulted in the current pricing scheme for Basket 1  
27 services, the Commission specifically approved the Price Cap Index, of which the Productivity Factor  
28 is an integral part. To change an important part of the pricing scheme requires a careful analysis,

1 including a determination of fair value. Qwest made its rate case filing on May 21, 2004, and the  
2 matter is set for hearing in January 2005. It is not appropriate to alter the Productivity Factor based  
3 on the declaration of only one party. Staff is currently analyzing the Productivity Factor as it  
4 analyzes Qwest's request for a modified Price Cap Plan, and the Commission will determine the  
5 appropriate factor on a going-forward basis as part of its review of Qwest's Renewed Price Cap Plan.

6 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Qwest's Motion to Revise Productivity Factor is denied.

7 DATED this 13<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2004.

8   
9 JANEL RODDA  
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

10  
11 Copy of the foregoing mailed/delivered  
this 13<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2004, to:

12  
13 Timothy Berg  
14 Teresa Dwyer  
15 Darcy Renfro  
16 FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.  
17 3003 North Central Ave., Suite 2600  
18 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913  
19 Attorneys for Qwest Corporation

20  
21 Todd Lundy  
22 Qwest Law Department  
1801 California Street  
Denver, Colorado 80202

23  
24 Scott S. Wakefield, Chief Counsel  
25 RUCO  
1110 Qwest Washington, Suite 220  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

26  
27 Richard S. Wolters  
28 AT&T Law Department  
1875 Lawrence Street, Suite 1503  
Denver, CO 80202

Joan S. Burke  
OBBORN MALEDON, P.A.  
2929 North Central Avenue, Suite 2100  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2794  
Attorneys for AT&T

Thomas F. Dixon  
WorldCom, Inc.  
707 17<sup>th</sup> Street, 39<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Denver, Colorado 80202

Thomas H. Campbell  
Michael T. Hallam  
LEWIS AND ROCA  
40 N. Central Avenue  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004  
Attorneys for WorldCom, Inc.

Michael W. Patten  
ROSHKA HEYMAN & DEWULF PLC  
400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Mark A. DiNunzio  
Cox Arizona Telcom, LLC  
20401 North 29<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
Phoenix, Arizona 85027

Peter Q. Nyce Jr.  
Regulatory Law Office  
U.S. Army Litigation Center  
901 N. Stuart Street, Suite 713  
Arlington, VA 22203-1644

1 Richard Lee  
2 Snavely King Majoors O'Connor & Lee, Inc.  
3 1220 L Street N.W., Suite 410  
4 Washington, DC 20005

4 Jon Poston  
5 ACTS  
6 6733 East Dale Lane  
7 Cave Creek, AZ 85331

7 Eric Heath  
8 Sprint  
9 100 Spear Street, Ste. 930  
10 San Francisco, Ca 94105


10 Steven J. Duffy  
11 Isaacson & Duffy  
12 3101 N. Central Avenue, Ste. 740  
13 Phoenix, Az 85012

Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel  
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Ernest Johnson, Director  
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Arizona Reporting Service, Inc  
2627 N. Third Street, Suite Three  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1103

By:

  
Molly Johnson  
Secretary to Jane Rodda

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28