

ORIGINAL



0000009818

Snell &

LAW OFFICES

One Arizona Center
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202
(602) 382-6000
Fax: (602) 382-6070

Thomas L. Mumaw (602) 382-6396
Internet: tmumaw@swlaw.com

RECEIVED

2000 DEC 28 P 1:22

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

PHOENIX, ARIZONA
TUCSON, ARIZONA
IRVINE, CALIFORNIA
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

December 28, 2000

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

DEC 28 2000

DOCKETED BY [Signature]

HAND DELIVERED

Deborah R. Scott, Esq.
Director, Utilities Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007

**Re: *Switching of Verizon Select Services Long Distance Customers;
ACC Docket Nos. T-03258A-00-0236, et al.***

Dear Ms. Scott:

Attached please find a letter from Ms. Robin C.M. Blackwood, General Counsel for Verizon Select Services Inc., to yourself. At your suggestion, I am filing this in the above docket and will provide a copy to all parties of record therein.

Very truly yours,

Snell & Wilmer

Thomas L. Mumaw

Thomas L. Mumaw

Attorneys for Verizon Select Services Inc.

Enclosure

939359.1



Robin C.M. Blackwood
General Counsel

VERIZON SELECT SERVICES
6665 N. MacArthur Blvd.
HQK03E74
Irving, TX 75039

December 27, 2000

Phone: 972-465-5308
Fax: 972-465-5090
robin.blackwood@verizon.com

Deborah R. Scott, Esq.
Director, Utilities Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re: *Switching of Verizon Select Services Long Distance Customers*

Dear Ms. Scott:

Per your discussion with Verizon Select Services Inc.'s ("VSSI") local counsel, Mr. Thomas Mumaw, of December 8, 2000, I am sending you this letter to describe to the Arizona Corporation Commission ("ACC") VSSI's proposal to transfer its residential and small commercial long-distance telephone service customers from VSSI to an affiliate, Bell Atlantic Communications Inc. dba Verizon Long Distance ("VLD").¹ VSSI would thereafter concentrate on larger commercial and government customers. This change is being made to allow these respective Verizon entities to better concentrate their marketing and customer service efforts on specific market segments.

This switch would affect approximately 2000 VSSI long distance customers in Arizona. The following steps have been taken to ensure proper authority and customer notice:

- 1) approval by the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") pursuant to federal slamming rules was requested and received (a Copy of the FCC's order is Attachment 1);
- 2) prior notice to the affected customers in FCC-approved language that indicates that the customer may choose another long distance provider if not willing to be switched to VLD; and,
- 3) customers have an opportunity to have questions answered about the switch via a toll free number.

¹ Both VSSI and VLD operate in the state of Arizona. VLD has received its certificate of convenience and necessity ("CC&N") from the ACC, while VSSI's applications for various competitive CC&Ns, including that for long distance resale, are still pending (although presently set for hearing). VSSI operates only as a long distance reseller in Arizona at the present time.

Deborah R. Scott, Esq.
December 27, 2000
Page 2

The switch will be at no cost to VSSI customers and will not affect the rates, terms and conditions, or service plans currently being enjoyed by such customers.

Local counsel has informed me that recent Arizona legislation (A.R.S. § 44-1572) permits the switching of customers without their express consent so long as it is done in conformance with FCC and ACC regulations. As indicated above, the FCC has approved the transfer of these customers, and it is my understanding that the ACC presently has no regulations in force governing this situation. Consequently, Verizon believes its actions are consistent with Arizona regulatory requirements.

Please feel free to contact either Mr. Mumaw or me if you have any questions or if your counsel disagrees with VSSI's analysis of the controlling legal authority in this matter.

Sincerely,


Robin C.M. Blackwood
General Counsel

RCMB:jvn
enclosures

Federal Communications Commission

DA 00-2816

TX1213000B

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
Implementation of the Subscriber Carrier)	
Selection Changes Provisions of the)	CC Docket No. 94-129
Telecommunications Act of 1996)	
)	
Bell Atlantic Communications, Inc., d/b/a)	
Verizon Long Distance, and NYNEX Long)	
Distance, Inc., d/b/a Verizon Enterprise)	
Solutions)	
)	
Petition for Waiver)	

ORDER

Adopted: December 12, 2000

Released: December 13, 2000

By the Associate Chief, Accounting Policy Division, Common Carrier Bureau:

L INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

1. In its *Carrier Change Orders*,¹ the Commission adopted rules applicable to carriers changing a consumer's preferred carrier.² In this Order, we grant Bell Atlantic Communications, Inc., d/b/a Verizon Long Distance (VLD), and NYNEX Long Distance, Inc.,

¹ *Implementation of the Subscriber Carrier Selection Changes Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers*, CC Docket No. 94-129, Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Memorandum Opinion and Order on Reconsideration, 12 FCC Rcd 10674 (1997), Second Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, 14 FCC Rcd 1508 (1998) (*Section 258 Order*); *stayed in part*, *MCI WorldCom v. FCC*, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. May 18, 1999); *First Order on Reconsideration*, 15 FCC Rcd 8158 (released May 3, 2000), 65 Fed. Reg. 47678 (August 3, 2000); *stay lifted*, *MCI WorldCom v. FCC*, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. June 27, 2000); Third Report and Order and Second Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 15966 (released August 15, 2000); *reconsideration pending*; *Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers*, CC Docket No. 94-129, Report and Order, 10 FCC Rcd 9560 (1995), *stayed in part*, 11 FCC Rcd 856 (1995); *Policies and Rules Concerning Changing Long Distance Carriers*, CC Docket No. 91-64, 7 FCC Rcd 1038 (1992), *reconsideration denied*, 8 FCC Rcd 3215 (1993) (*PIC Change Recon. Order*); *Investigation of Access and Divestiture Related Tariffs*, CC Docket No. 83-1145, Phase I, 101 F.C.C.2d 911 (*Allocation Order*), 101 F.C.C.2d 935 (*Waiver Order*), *reconsideration denied*, 102 F.C.C.2d 503 (1985) (*Reconsideration Order*) (the *Reconsideration Order* denied reconsideration of both the *Allocation Order* and the *Waiver Order*). We refer to these orders collectively as the *Carrier Change Orders*.

² 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1100 - 64.1190.

Federal Communications Commission

DA 00-2816

d/b/a Verizon Enterprise Solutions (VES) (collectively, Petitioners), a limited waiver of the authorization and verification requirements of the Commission's rules and *Carrier Change Orders*.³ We grant this limited waiver to the extent necessary to enable Petitioners to become the preferred carrier of certain consumers currently presubscribed to VSS, VHI, and VES, without first obtaining the consumers' authorization and verification.

2. Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, makes it unlawful for any telecommunications carrier to "submit or execute a change in a subscriber's selection of a provider of telephone exchange service or telephone toll service except in accordance with such procedures as the Commission shall prescribe."⁴ The goal of section 258 is to eliminate the practice of "slamming," the unauthorized change of a subscriber's preferred carrier. Pursuant to section 258, carriers are absolutely barred from changing a customer's preferred local or long distance carrier without first complying with the Commission's verification procedures.⁵ In the *Section 258 Order*, the Commission revised its procedures to ensure that carriers obtain the requisite authority prior to changing a customer's preferred carrier. The Commission requires that carriers follow one of the Commission's prescribed verification procedures before submitting carrier changes on behalf of consumers.⁶

3. Petitioners seek a waiver of our verification rules to allow Petitioners to be designated the preferred long distance carriers for certain customers of VSS, VHI, and VES, without first obtaining each customer's authorization and verification. Because we conclude that, under the circumstances presented, it is in the public interest to grant the waiver, we grant Petitioners a waiver, subject to the conditions represented in their filings.

³ On October 27, 2000, VLD and VES filed a Petition for Waiver relating to the transfer of certain customers from Verizon Select Services, Inc. (VSS), Verizon Hawaii International, Inc. (VHI), and VES to VLD, and from VSS and VHI to VES (Waiver Petition).

⁴ 47 U.S.C. § 258.

⁵ The Commission's rules and orders clearly contemplate that a switchless reseller may be a customer's preferred carrier. Therefore, changes to a customer's preferred carrier that do not involve a change in the customer's underlying facilities-based carrier are nonetheless subject to the Commission's authorization and verification rules. See *Section 258 Order* at paras. 145-146; *WATS International Corp. v. Group Long Distance (USA), Inc.*, 12 FCC Rod 1743, 1752 (1997) (citing *PIC Change Recon. Order*, 8 FCC Rod at 3218).

⁶ Pursuant to these procedures, a carrier must: (1) obtain the subscriber's written authorization; (2) obtain confirmation from the subscriber via a toll-free number provided exclusively for the purpose of confirming orders electronically; or (3) utilize an independent third party to verify the subscriber's order. See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120(c).

Federal Communications Commission

DA 00-2816

II. DISCUSSION

4. Generally, the Commission's rules may be waived for good cause shown.⁷ As noted by the Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit, however, agency rules are presumed valid.⁸ The Commission may exercise its discretion to waive a rule where the particular facts make strict compliance inconsistent with the public interest.⁹ In addition, the Commission may take into account considerations of hardship, equity, or more effective implementation of overall policy on an individual basis.¹⁰ Waiver of the Commission's rules is therefore appropriate only if special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule, and such a deviation will serve the public interest.¹¹

5. We find that Petitioners have demonstrated that good cause exists to justify a limited waiver of the Commission's authorization and verification requirements to the extent necessary to enable Petitioners to transfer to their respective customer bases the affected VSS, VHI, and VES long distance customers. According to the Waiver Petition, as a result of two corporate mergers, four different affiliates of Verizon Communications Inc. (Verizon) provide overlapping long distance services in certain market areas.¹² Verizon plans to streamline its operations and service offerings in these areas and to consolidate its long distance operations in the two petitioners, VES and VLD.¹³ Specifically, Petitioners state that, once they have received the required regulatory approvals, they will transfer the large business customers of VSS and VHI to VES, and the residential and general business customers of VSS, VHI, and VES to VLD.¹⁴

6. We conclude that special circumstances exist to justify a waiver. Without this waiver, the service of some former VSS, VHI, and VES customers might temporarily be interrupted when VSS, VHI, and VES cease providing presubscribed service to customers who fail to respond in a timely fashion to requests for preferred carrier change authorization; some customers might also pay potentially higher casual calling rates after the discontinuance of presubscribed service. We conclude that a waiver of the Commission's carrier change rules and orders is necessary to provide a seamless transition with no disruption of service to the transferred customers.

7. We find that Petitioners have demonstrated that a limited waiver of the

⁷ 47 C.F.R. § 1.3.

⁸ *WAIT Radio v. FCC*, 418 F.2d 1153, 1157 (D.C. Cir. 1969), *cert. denied*, 409 U.S. 1027 (1972).

⁹ *Northeast Cellular Telephone Co. v. FCC*, 897 F.2d 1164, 1166 (D.C. Cir. 1990).

¹⁰ *WAIT Radio*, 418 F.2d at 1157.

¹¹ *WAIT Radio*, 418 F.2d at 1159; *Northeast Cellular*, 897 F.2d at 1166.

¹² Waiver Petition at 1.

¹³ Waiver Petition at 1-2.

¹⁴ Waiver Petition at 2.

Federal Communications Commission

DA 00-2816

authorization and verification rules is in the public interest because it will prevent consumers from temporarily losing service or paying significantly higher rates, and because Petitioners have agreed to notify the affected customers as described below. Specifically, Petitioners state that the parties to the transfer will undertake a two-step process to notify the affected customers of the transfer. In a first letter, the transferring company will inform customers of the proposed transfer and assure them that no charges or rate increases will be imposed as a result of the transfer.¹⁵ This notification will also advise the affected customers that they may choose a different preferred carrier, should they desire to do so.¹⁶ In addition, customers will be given a toll-free number to call with any questions they may have about the transition.¹⁷ Once the proposed transfer has been consummated, Petitioners will notify these customers of that event and reiterate the foregoing information, assurances, and advice.¹⁸ Petitioners have also agreed to work with the complainants and the Commission to investigate and resolve complaints regarding services provided by VSS, VHI, and VES.¹⁹ We conclude that these conditions will adequately protect the rights of the transferred customers of VSS, VHI, and VES.

8. For the foregoing reasons, we grant Petitioners a waiver of the authorization and verification requirements of our rules for the limited purposes described above. The grant of this waiver is conditioned upon Petitioners' provision of customer notification and handling of complaints, as described above and further detailed in the Waiver Petition.

III. ORDERING CLAUSES

9. Accordingly, pursuant to authority contained in Sections 1, 4, and 258 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 154, 258, and the authority

¹⁵ VLD and VES filed sample notification letters. See Waiver Petition, Exhibits One and Two (Notification Letters); Waiver Petition at 2.

¹⁶ Waiver Petition at 2; Notification Letters. Notices provided to certain business customers will state that the customer's option to choose a different carrier is subject to the terms and conditions of its plan.

¹⁷ Waiver Petition at 2; Notification Letters.

¹⁸ Waiver Petition at 2; Notification Letters.

¹⁹ Waiver Petition at 2.

Federal Communications Commission

DA 00-2816

delegated under sections 0.91, 0.291, and 1.3 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3, the waiver request filed on October 27, 2000 by Bell Atlantic Communications, Inc., d/b/a Verizon Long Distance, and NYNEX Long Distance, Inc., d/b/a Verizon Enterprise Solutions, IS GRANTED subject to the conditions, and to the extent, indicated herein.

10. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order is effective upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

K. Michele Walters

K. Michele Walters
Associate Chief,
Accounting Policy Division,
Common Carrier Bureau