CARL J. KUNASEK
CHAIRMAN
JIM IRVIN
COMMISSIONER
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
COMMISSIONER

0000009258

ORIGINAL

BRIAN C. McNEIL
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

RECEIVED

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2001 FEB 12 P 4: 21

MEMORANDUM

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

FEB 12 2001

DOCKETED BY

TO: All Parties on the Qwest Section 271 Service List

FROM: Maureen A. Scott
Attorney, Legal Division

DATE: February 8, 2001

SUBJECT: Collocation/Interconnection Workshop

The next Workshop in Arizona on Collocation and Interconnection is scheduled for February 13-15, 2001. The Workshop will be held at Hewlett-Packard's facilities located at 8080 Pointe Parkway West, Phoenix, Arizona 85044. The Workshop will begin at 8:00 a.m. each day and conclude at 5:00 p.m. The Agenda for the Workshop, and the Issues Lists from the August and November Workshops, are attached.

There will be a Court Reporter present at the Workshop and all witnesses will be sworn in. For parties unable to attend the Workshop in person, there will be a conference bridge available. The call-in numbers for all three days is (602) 542-9007. The State Conference Operator has asked us to inform parties who participate telephonically, not to press any buttons on your phones after you dial in to the call or you will preclude other parties from being able to obtain access to the call.

We look forward to seeing everyone next week. If you have any questions or would like directions to the Qwest facility, please contact my assistant, Monica Martinez at (602) 542-3402.

MAS/ms

Arizona Corporation Commission
Qwest's Application To Obtain Section 271 Authority
Docket Number T-00000A-97-0238

Interconnection/Collocation Workshop
(Session No. 3[1] – February 13-15, 2001)

AGENDA[2]

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 13, 2001

8:00 – 8:15 Welcome, Introductory Remarks, Roll Call

8:15 – 9:00 Objectives of this Workshop

9:00 – 10:00 Checklist Item No. 1 – Interconnection

- Qwest – Colorado, Washington and Multi-State Agreements
- Qwest – New, additional comments
- Other Parties Comments

10:00 – 10:15 Break

10:15 – 12:00 Checklist Item No. 1 – Interconnection (Continued)

- Open Discussion (based on 11/13-17 Issues Log)

12:00 – 1:30 Lunch Break

1:30 – 3:00 Checklist Item No. 1 – Interconnection (Continued)

- Open Discussion (based on 11/13-17 Issues Log)

3:00 – 3:15 Break

3:15 – 5:00 Checklist Item No. 1 – Interconnection (Continued)

- Open Discussion (based on 11/13-17 Issues Log)

---

[1] Session No. 1 was held August 16-18, 2000. Session No. 2 was held November 13-17, 2000.
[2] Attached are copies of the August 16-18, 2000 and November 13-17, 2000 Issues Logs.

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 2001

8:00 – 8:15 Welcome, Introductory Remarks, Roll Call

8:15 – 10:00 Checklist Item No. 1 – Interconnection (Continued)

- Open Discussion (based on 11/13-17 Issues Log)

10:00 – 10:15 Break

10:15 – 12:00 Checklist Item No. 1 – Collocation

- Qwest – Colorado, Washington and Multi-State Agreements
- Qwest – New, additional comments
- Other Parties Comments

12:00 – 1:30 Lunch Break

1:30 – 3:00 Checklist Item No. 1 – Collocation (Continued)

- Open Discussion (based on 8/16-18 Issues Log)

3:00 – 3:15 Break

3:15 – 5:00 Checklist Item No. 1 – Collocation (Continued)

- Open Discussion (based on 8/16-18 Issues Log)

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 15, 2001

8:30 – 8:15 Welcome, Introductory Remarks, Roll Call

8:15 – 10:00 Checklist Item No. 1 – Collocation (Continued)

- Open Discussion (based on 8/16-18 Issues Log)

10:00 – 10:15 Break

10:15 – 12:00 Checklist Item No. 1 – Collocation (Continued)

- Open Discussion (based on 8/16-18 Issues Log)

12:00 – 1:30 Lunch Break

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 15, 2001 (Continued)

1:30 – 3:00 Checklist Item No. 14 – Resale (Based on August 16-18, 2000 Issues List)

- Clean up any open items

3:00 – 3:15 Break

3:15 – 5:00 Summary and Workshop Conclusion

- Recap
- Next Steps
- Adjourn

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
AUGUST 16-18, 2000

Checklist Items 1 and 14 Workshop

CHECKLIST ITEM 1 – INTERCONNECTION

Issue ID #[1]	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
1-1	Blocking by single end office, AT&T says needs new PID because of delays in Trunk provisioning	AT&T will propose a new PID	Next WS
1-2	Ability to connect at "any Technically feasible point"	Qwest review SGAT language	8/18
1-3	SGAT Section 4.11.2 – re. Tandem Office Switches	Defer for new wording in Issue 1-2	8/18
1-4	Ken Wilson Testimony Page 9, re SGAT Section 4.26, What is the process for amending the IRRG to include CLEC concerns	Qwest review, develop wording	Next WS
1-5	Ken Wilson Testimony page 10, re. LIS. SGAT Section 4.33 not in accordance with LIS definition	Qwest reword last two sentences of SGAT Section 4.33. See also Issues 1-1, 2 and 3	Next WS
1-6	SGAT Section 7.1.1 – AT&T claims wording does not track legal obligations	Qwest review wording re. Access tandem agreements	Next WS
1-7	SGAT Section 7.1.2 – re. Interconnection at any Technically feasible point. Issue is POI per local calling area vs. POI per LATA. See 47 CFR 51.321, a & b.	Qwest will review AT&T proposed language. May lead to Impasse. See also Issue 1-2	Next WS
1-8	SGAT Section 7.1.2.1. – Is the issue one of cost/pricing or is it "Do we use an entrance facility or not?" Disagreement re concept of an entrance facility.	No agreement on language or entrance facility concept. Impasse.	File Brief by _____

[1] Issue ID #: Checklist Item number followed by sequential number

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
AUGUST 16-18, 2000

Checklist Items 1 and 14 Workshop

CHECKLIST ITEM 1 – INTERCONNECTION

Issue ID #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
1-9	SGAT Section 7.1.2.2 – re. Interconnection TIE pairs, issue is disparity in charging	Qwest and AT&T take back, reword	Next WS
1-10	SGAT Section 7.1.2.3 – Issue is Qwests requirement that a mid-span meet be within the wire center boundary; also issue of route diversity	Impasse.	File brief by _____
1-11	SGAT Section 7.1.2.3 – re diversity, two issues: 1) what is standard; 2) ability to special order two routes on different paths	WCOM and AT&T review diversity requirements	8/24
1-12	SGAT Section 7.1.2.4; same pricing issue as SGAT 7.1.2. – (re. LIS/LCA facility)	Impasse.	File brief by _____
1-13	SGAT Sections 7.2.2.1.2.2 and 7.2.2.1.2.3 – purchase by parties of transport services from each other. Concern also for relationship of SGAT to individual Interconnection Agreements (ICAs)	Impasse.	File brief by _____
1-14	SGAT Section 7.2.2.6.1 – re. SS7 availability for LIS Trunks	Qwest has revised language, will provide	8/18
1-15	SGAT Section 7.2.2.8.3 – re. Switching capacity growth jobs, concern for length of time to complete and lack of connectivity to CLEC forecasts	Qwest will take back and prepare revised wording	Next WS
1-16	SGAT Section 7.2.2.8.7 – re. Switch facility capacity	Qwest add words re. Provision of report to CLECs	8/24
1-17	SGAT Section 7.2.2.8.4 – re. Qwest providing capacity to meet CLEC forecasted requirements	ELI will take back and provide wording	8/24

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
AUGUST 16-18, 2000

Checklist Items 1 and 14 Workshop

CHECKLIST ITEM 1 – COLLOCATION

Issue ID #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
1-18	SGAT Section 8.1.1.6 – re. Adjacent collocation, need to define process for developing/revising terms and conditions for adjacent collocation	Qwest says this is in the collocation form and it will be provided. AT&T will suggest wording	8/18 8/24
1-19	SGAT Section 8.1.1.5. – WCOM doesn't agree that ICDF collocation belongs here, it should be covered in Section 9 – UNEs (possibly 9.4.2.3 – Common splitter collocation); Qwest disagrees	Qwest review and respond	8/24
1-20	SGAT Section 8.2.1.2 – re. Collocation and Switching equipment. Need to develop language to distinguish RSU from ATM (packet switching), circuit vs. non-circuit switching	Qwest to develop wording	Next WS
1-21	SGAT Section 8.2.1.9 – re. Reports. Modify business days to calendar days; also CLECs request info re. Power, transport capacity, etc. be included.	Qwest review and develop wording	9/19 (before next CO WS)
1-22	Thomas Freeberg (Qwest) presentation re. Timing of feasibility study, quote, construction. AT&T data not showing same results as Qwest	Qwest will review data, see if AT&T numbers are inappropriately excluded. AT&T will see what it can provide.	8/24
1-23	SGAT Section 8.2.1.13 – Website does not implement what the SGAT says	AT&T will review and determine if it is an issue	8/24

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
AUGUST 16-18, 2000

Checklist Items 1 and 14 Workshop

CHECKLIST ITEM 1 – COLLOCATION

Issue ID #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
1-24	SGAT Section 8.2.1.14 – re. Reclamation and reconditioning of space – number of days and other wording problems	Qwest revise	8/24
1-25	SGAT Sections 8.2.1.14.1 and 8.2.1.14.2 – are they consistent with FCC rules	Qwest and AT&T to check	8/24
1-26	SGAT Section 8.2.1.17 – re. Earthquake requirements. Is this only where there are earthquakes? Can this be more specific?	Qwest review and respond	8/24
1-27	SGAT Sections 8.2.1.25 – and 8.2.1.26 disagree with wording after "device"	Qwest and AT&T take back, review, revise wording	8/24
1-28	SGAT Section 8.2.1.29 – re notification of power work. Wording unclear/too lengthy	Qwest revise wording	8/24
1-29	SGAT Section 8.2.3.3 – re. Efficient use of space, CLECs believe this is restrictive	Qwest revise language	8/24
1-30	SGAT Section 8.2.3.12 – re use of Qwest approved contractor; CLECs want ability to select their own	Qwest review and revise	8/24
1-31	SGAT Section 8.2.3.13 – may be inconsistent with SGAT Section 8.1.1.3	Qwest review, incorporate 8.1.1.3 wording in 8.2.3.13 or otherwise. make sure of consistency	8/21

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
AUGUST 16-18, 2000

Checklist Items 1 and 14 Workshop

CHECKLIST ITEM 1 – COLLOCATION

Issue ID #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
1-32	SGAT Section 8.2.4.6 – re. CLEC fiber optic cable interconnecting with Qwest wire center	Qwest will work on an idea it has been developing	8/18
1-33	SGAT Section 8.2.3.9 – dispute re. Safety standards Rhythms concern, will reconsider whether or not it is an issue	Qwest agrees to change "collocation" to installation	8/18
1-34	SGAT Section 8.2.3.10 – re need to specify "NEBS Level 1" safety standards and "safety" audit (rather than audit)	Qwest to revise	8/24
1-35	SGAT Section 8.3.1.11.2.3 – issue re sharing of MELD run costs and "one block per module"	Qwest review/revise language. AT&T check language	8/24
1-36	SGAT Section 8.3.4.1 – re Rate elements – ICDF collocation	Open issue	Next WS
1-37	SGAT Section 8.4.1.2. – CLECs want to substitute "material" for "any" (first word in section)	Qwest review/revise wording	8/24
1-38	SGAT Sections 8.4.2.2, and 8.4.3.4. – Language concerns	Deferred discussion	8/18
1-39	SGAT Sections 8.4.3.2 and 8.4.3.3 language concerns	Defer discussion	8/24

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
AUGUST 16-18, 2000

Checklist Items 1 and 14 Workshop

CHECKLIST ITEM 1 – INTERCONNECTION

Issue ID #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
1-40	SGAT Section 7.2.2.9.1 – re Trunking requirements. AT&T wants to ad language re PIDs, how they work, do they replace wholesale service quality standards. May need to add a paragraph.	AT&T will compare wholesale service quality standards with PIDs. May become an Impasse.	Next WS
1-41	SGAT Section 7.2.2.9.2 – re Qwest emphasis on two way trunk. WCOM wants flexibility of one way or two way trunks	Qwest will review	8/24
1-42	SGAT Section 7.2.2.9.3 – re trunk groups and definitions of separate trunk group requirements	Qwest develop offer in principle including access tandem	8/18
1-43	SGAT Section 7.2.2.9.6 – re CLECs terminating EAS/local traffic on local tandems or end office switches	Qwest rewrite as part of access tandem proposal in Issue 1-42	8/18
1-44	SGAT Section 7.2.2.10.2 – re LIS Testing	Qwest review and expand	8/24
1-45	SGAT Section 7.4.1 – Ordering LIS, wording is too limiting/structured	Qwest check for consistency of LIS ordering with other ordering	8/24
1-46	SGAT Section 7.4.2 – Information CLECs provide to Qwest re NXX codes	Qwest wordsmith and add sentence	8/24

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
AUGUST 16-18, 2000

Checklist Items 1 and 14 Workshop

CHECKLIST ITEM 14 – RESALE

Issue ID #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
14-1	SGAT Section 6.1.1 – re. Description not clear	Qwest add "or other retail telecom services offerings and a sentence re SGAT is overriding document if conflicts arise	8/18
14-2	SGAT Section 6.2.5 – WCOM doesn't want to provide resale forecast, AT&T wants Qwest to provide its forecast to CLECs, and other related issues	AT&T and WCOM will propose language. Qwest will reconsider	8/24
14-3	SGAT Section 6.3.4 – WCOM questions if this is an accurate statement re. The PIC change charge	WCOM take back and review. Suggest revision	8/24
14-4	SGAT Section 6.4.1, CLECs concerned for Qwest promoting winback during misdirected calls	Qwest compare to ICAs, revise wording	8/24
14-5	SGAT Section 6.6.3 – same issue as 14-4 re. Misdirected calls (see also SGAT Section 12.3.8.1.2)	Combine with 14-4	NA

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
NOVEMBER 13-17, 2000 WORKSHOP

Open Issues

CHECKLIST ITEM 1 – INTERCONNECTION

Issue ID #[1]	SGAT #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
1-47	4.3.3	Definition of finished Services not in SGAT. (Qwest indicates Interconnection is the equivalent of LIS. AT&T suggests that wording be readdressed in the Terms and Conditions workshop	Qwest adjust SGAT Sections 4.3.3 and 4.3.2 for wording of product vs. services. Open item to be addressed in "Terms and Conditions" workshop	Move to Terms and Conditions WS
1-48	7.1.1.1.2	Re. Indemnification. AT&T wants Indemnification covered in 7.1.1.1.2. WCom suggests defer to Terms and Conditions workshop. Issue includes whether or not Qwest can file 271 application without an approved SGAT.	At Impasse subject to Terms and Conditions workshop	Move to Terms and Conditions WS
1-49	7.1.2.1	Are interconnection trunks entrance facilities or are they like transport facilities. AT&T believes this is not an entrance facility. There are both nomenclature and pricing issues.	Qwest may modify SGAT. AT&T and Qwest review ALJ order and review procedure. Issue at Impasse	File brief by _____

[1] First digit is Checklist Item Number (1-Interconnnection, Collocation), second digit is sequential number. Sequence continues from highest number assigned during the October 10-13 Workshop.

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
NOVEMBER 13-17, 2000 WORKSHOP

Open Issues

CHECKLIST ITEM 1 – INTERCONNECTION (Continued)

Issue ID #	SGAT #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
1-50	7.1.2.2	Interconnection TIE is changed to Expanded Interconnection Channel Termination (EICT). AT&T agrees EICT is necessary, but that Qwest, not CLECs, should pay; suggests discussion be moved to SGAT Section 8. Qwest believes it should recover its costs for Interconnection. AT&T wants wording of this section changed: delete all after "when Interconnection…etc." Qwest refused.	Issue at Impasse (went to Impasse in WA also)	File brief by:_____
1-51	7.2.2.1.2.1	AT&T now favors one way Trunking, previously favored two way. Wants to add wording at end of section. AT&T took back in WA for wording. OK here (in AZ) subject to check with SME. However, if it goes to Impasse in WA, AT&T will take it to Impasse in AZ	Open Item. AT&T to report back	11/20

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
NOVEMBER 13-17, 2000 WORKSHOP

Open Issues

CHECKLIST ITEM 1 – INTERCONNECTION (Continued)

Issue ID #	SGAT #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
1-52	7.2.2.1.2.2	Re. Purchase of transport services by parties from each other. Issue is: at what rates are parties willing to pay each other. AT&T believes there is no obligation to charge same rate as ILEC. Went to Impasse in Washington (possibly also in Oregon)	Impasse	File brief by:_____
1-53	7.2.2.1.5	Qwest has 50 mile limitation on building to mid-point. AT&T disagrees, and believes that a limiting distance seems to be arbitrary.	Impasse	File brief by:_____
1-54	7.1.2.3	Re. Mid-span meet dispute over whether unbundled elements should be provisioned at a mid-span meet.	Declare Impasse. Qwest not optimistic but will prepare wording for WCom	Wording by 12/05. If not OK File brief by:_____
1-55	7.2.2.3.1	Qwest revised wording to re-include IXC as a source of traffic	AT&T to check with its subject matter expert. If he concurs this is closed	12/05
1-56	7.2.2.8.6	How to resolve Forecast disputes and structure of deposit. AT&T wants potential to collect for damages, not just deposit refund	Impasse	File brief by:_____

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
NOVEMBER 13-17, 2000 WORKSHOP

Open Issues

CHECKLIST ITEM 1 – INTERCONNECTION (Continued)

Issue ID #	SGAT #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
1-57	7.2.2.8.16	Who pays construction charges on routes involving extraordinary circumstances	Qwest to develop language to include cost of sharing and true up	12/5
1-58	7.2.2.9.3.2	Issue in combination of SAS/Local traffic with switched access on the same trunk group. Qwest says no, AT&T/WCom say yes.	Impasse	File brief by:_____
1-59	7.2.2.9.6	CLECs state they should be able to connect at any technically feasible point, including access tandems. Qwest says not access tandems	Impasse (Qwest may provide wording to satisfy CLECs)	File brief by:_____
1-60	7.4.5	Ordering separate trunks for exchange traffic	Combine this with Impasse at SGAT 7.2.2.9.6 (ID# 1-59)	See 1-59 above
1-61	4.3.9 & 4.5.7	AT&T and Qwest definitions of "Meet-Point Billing" and "Switched Access Service" differ. Also, AT&T questions the definition of IP telephony in SGAT Section 4.5.7	Impasse	File brief by:_____
1-62	7.6.3	Question of charges for 11-01-XX and 11-50-XX records, based on whether or not they are local calls (see also 7.5.4)	Impasse	File brief by:_____
1-63	7.2.2.8.6	Charges for records for billing the IXC for Jointly Provided Switched Access Services.	WCOM cannot accept charges. Qwest believes charge is appropriate. Impasse	File brief by:_____

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
NOVEMBER 13-17, 2000 WORKSHOP

Open Issues

CHECKLIST ITEM 14 – RESALE

Issue ID #	SGAT #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
14-6	6.2.2	What services are available for resale under this agreement? Basically a McLeod issue.	Qwest will propose change in near future	Late Dec or early Jan
14-7	6.2.3	AT&T wants indemnification by Qwest if services provided are not equal in quality and in substantially the same time and manner as to its own retail end user etc. Issue relates to service quality standards	Impasse	File brief by:_____
14-8	6.2.5	Dispute over confidentiality of CLEC forecasts as worded in 6.2.5 and in AT&T Exhibit 2-9. Also whether they need to forecast some products. Qwest also doesn't want to provide transaction volumes for OSS.	WCom and AT&T will try to resolve. Will come up again in Forecasting workshop. Impasse on AT&T Exhibit 2-9	Move to Forecasting WS
14-9	6.4.1	The issue is CLECs concern for Qwest representatives to attempt hard sell to CLEC customers who call Qwest by accident	Impasse	File brief by:_____
14-10	6.4.7	CLEC must have POA before placing order for end user. Is this consistent with Federal law?	Defer to Workshop on General Terms and Conditions (SGAT Section 5.3)	2/26

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
NOVEMBER 13-17, 2000 WORKSHOP

Open Issues

CHECKLIST ITEM 2 – UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS (UNEs)

Issue ID #	SGAT #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
2-2	9.11.4.3	If a CLEC has purchased a C.O. feature and Qwest migrates this to AIN, can the CLEC still access/provide the feature? Qwest Exhibit KAS 2-26 Questions re. Patents: 1. How do you identify patents issued? 2. Are they proprietary? 3. Do they pre-date or post-date coding? 4. Do they apply to an entire feature or to the coding?	Qwest will clarify that CLEC does not lose feature. Also, Qwest will take back questions and provide responses	12/5
2-27	9.11.4.3	AT&T questions Special Request Process	AT&T will review Special Request Process and BFR to see how they sync up	12/5
2-4	9.11.1.8	1. Which features listed on KAS Exhibit E have USOC and rates? 2. Which are soon to be available? 3. Provide a complete list of features	Two to four takebacks by Qwest: Provide responses to issues. Qwest working with Eschelon. Two step process: 1) What features can be ordered. 2) Features are not showing up on their list. Also, List of features that can be ordered to be added to Web site.	11/20

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
NOVEMBER 13-17, 2000 WORKSHOP

Open Issues

CHECKLIST ITEM 2 – UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS (UNEs) (Continued)

Issue ID #	SGAT #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
KAS-2[2]	9.1.2 9.1.5	Requirement of Act: Non discriminatory access at any technical feasible point.	Qwest take back for language in 9.1.2 and 9.1.5. See language in FCC Sec. 251 C-3	12/5
KAS-4		Questions arose concerning definition of CLEC end-user customers	Qwest will provide language defining end user customer	12/5
KAS-7 DCI 5-2	9.6.1	Difference between a UDIT and an EUDIT is still in dispute. Will stay an open pricing/cost issue for discussion in the cost docket.	Impasse	File brief by:_____
KAS-6 DCI 2-13	9.6.1.1	Qwest added wording for concept from UNE remand order: "applies to other bandwidth as they become available. Beyond DSO-0 through OC-192 bandwidth assignments are on an individual case basis" Questions by WCom and AT&T availability and pricing	Qwest will make another attempt at wording	12/5
KAS-8 DCI 5-1	9.6.2.1	(Check wording also in SGAT 9.2.3) AT&T concerned for who pays for the cross connection. Regeneration required?	Qwest will confirm that regeneration of UDIT is included in cross-connect charge	12/5
KAS-10 DCI 2-9	9.6.2.1	Dispute on restriction regarding connecting UNEs to finished services; is it valid?	Qwest respond to restrictions validity issue	12/5
KAS-12	9.6.2.3	CLECs question need for collocation at both ends of UDIT	Qwest capture thought listed on Page 4 (KAS Supplemental Reply Testimony); reword	12/5

[2] Reference is Karen A. Stewart supplemental reply testimony dated October 31, 2000

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
NOVEMBER 13-17, 2000 WORKSHOP

Open Issues

CHECKLIST ITEM 2 – UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS (UNEs) (Continued)

Issue ID #	SGAT #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
KAS-16 DCI 6-16		AT&T says that the FCC hasn't made a distinction between local tandem and access tandem: Access tandems can provide local switching and should be unbundled	AT&T will provide its position after discussion with SME	12/5
KAS-20		Question is whether or not CLECs can make up their own feature packages. OK with Qwest	AT&T to check with SME. Qwest prepare language for Section 9 and for switching (Section 7); also address special process on packaging switching features for CLECs	12/5
KAS-21		See DCI Issue 6-4 from October 10-13 Workshop re Qwest obligation for resale of UNE-P	Impasse	File brief by:_____
KAS-24 DCI 2-11 and 6-8	9.23.1.2.2	See DCI Issues 2-11 and 6-8 from October 10-13 workshop. SGAT Section 9.23.1.2.2 re. CLEC ability to have direct access at GR-303 level	Have addressed half the issue, balance remains open. AT&T to take back.	12/5
KAS-25	9.11.2.5.1.1 & 9.11.3.4	DCI issues 6-10 and 6-11 from October 10-13 workshop SGAT Section 9.11.2.5.1.1 and 9.11.3.4 Issue concerning end user customer who grows from three to four lines; must Qwest offer unbundled switching if customer grows from 3 lines to 4?	Impasse	File brief by:_____

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
NOVEMBER 13-17, 2000 WORKSHOP

Open Issues

CHECKLIST ITEM 2 – UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS (UNEs) (Continued)

Issue ID #	SGAT #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
KAS-26	9.11.2.5	See DCI Issue 6-9 from October 10-13 workshop. Issue well described in KAS references Testimony, as stated in DCI 6-9: SGAT "Exclusion of unbundled switching and transports to UNEs. CLECs say if there is no way to get EELs to connect loops to their switches, there should be no exclusions.	Impasse	File brief by:_____
KAS-27	9.11.2.10	Is there a charge for sending a CLEC the billing record? See Issue #1-63: Impasse issue in Interconnection	Qwest will check	12/5
KAS-28	9.23.1.6	AT&T requested Qwest to clarify language- "facilities are available". Qwest requested AT&T to do the same.	Qwest will clarify language of making facilities available. Qwest will change "will" to "shall".	12/5
KAS-29	9.23.4.1.2	Remove "CLEC's Agreement"	Qwest will remove.	12./5

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
NOVEMBER 13-17, 2000 WORKSHOP

Open Issues

CHECKLIST ITEM 2 – UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS (UNEs) (Continued)

Issue ID #	SGAT #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
KAS-32	9.23.3.7	Eschelon's concern about features available for UNE-P. Qwest provided Exhibit 4 Qwest 9 listing features currently loaded and those that will be implemented.	Qwest to complete in December. Web site to be implemented. Qwest will provide matrix for USOC's. Work in progress.	12/5
KAS-33		Qwest feedback on use of LSR or ASR when ordering EEL's. WCOM prefers ASR. AT&T wants takeback.	AT&T to advise.	12/5
KAS-34	9.23.3.7	AT&T requested change to include up to OC-192	Qwest to review.	12/5
KAS-39	9.23.3.7.2.6	AT&T and WCOM raised several issues re. Audit following observed CLEC non compliance.	AT&T and WCOM will review language for audit results after Qwest has found CLEC noncompliant. 1)Add language concerning two most recent months. 2)Also 9.23.3.7.2.-Qwest take back use of "directly"	12/5
KAS-40	9.23.3.7.1	Qwest added language on waiver on EEL's. WCOM okay.	AT&T to review and advise	12/5
KAS—45	9.23.1.2.2	Last sentence of KAS supplemental rebuttal afidavit. CLEC's concerned about use of old technology.	Qwest to review.	12/5

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
NOVEMBER 13-17, 2000 WORKSHOP

Open Issues

Issue ID #	SGAT #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
KAS-46	9.23.1.2.3	Language changes were proposed concerning UNE combinations.	Qwest will modify and add Paragraph.	12/5
KAS-49	9.23.1.5 & 9.1.4	CLECs concerned about demarcation points. WCOM wants access to interconnections points.	AT&T to clarify its position and Qwest will respond.	12/5
KAS-51	9.23.1.8	AT&T wants to understand and specify what is "ancillary equipment".	Qwest will provide language to respond to request for customization options including ancillary equipment..	12/5
KAS-53 DCI 2-7		CLECs object to Qwest "grooming charge" to eliminate commingling to allow for conversion to EEL.	Impasse	File brief by ___
KAS-54		Qwest will not provide DSL for UNE-P.	Impasse	File brief by ___
KAS-55		AT&T wants Loop Termination product. Qwest stated that this exists as a combination of switch port and unbundled switching.	AT&T to review	12/5

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
NOVEMBER 13-17, 2000 WORKSHOP

Open Issues

Issue ID #	SGAT #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
KAS-60	9.23.3.11	AT&T does not agree that language is sufficiently specific. Wants to clarify "technically feasible".	Qwest to review language and clarify	12/5
KAS-61	9.23	CLECs do not agree with forecasting section	Will be moved to SGAT forecasting workshop	Move to Forecasting Workshop
KAS-62	9.23.3.13	There is concern about protecting service to customers when UNEs are disconnected by Qwest because of CLEC payment problems. Also see General Terms and Conditions Par.5.4.2	Qwest and CLECs to take back and review possible ways to protect customers from disconnect.	12/5
KAS-65	9.23.5.6	AT&T is concerned about disclosure (upon termination of service) of open termination of service provider to Qwest Retail and other CLECs	Qwest will take back and consider new language and safe harbor rules applicable to disclosure to retail.	12/5

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
NOVEMBER 13-17, 2000 WORKSHOP

Open Issues

Issue ID #	SGAT #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
WCOM -1 DCI 6-15	9.23.5.4	WCOM believes this measurement of service interval is inconsistent with measurements in PID.	Qwest will take back and make sure there is consistency.	12/5
WCOM -2	9.6.1.1	WCOM is concerned about reference to Technical Publication. This should be resolved in General Terms and Condition WS	Defer to General Terms and Conditions WS	Move to General Terms & Conditions WS
WCOM -3	9.23.4.6	WCOM believes "reasonable" amount of time is too vague.	Qwest will review and propose new term.	12/5

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
NOVEMBER 13-17, 2000 WORKSHOP

Open Issues

Issue ID #	SGAT #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
DCI 2-5	9.23.5	SGAT not clear on how new CLEC is to order products. Need standard product list.	Qwest will take back to develop a standard list for new start up CLECs.	12/5
DCI 2-6	9.23.5	IRRG has 6 steps in ordering process, Qwest has now included these in SGAT	CLECs to review and advise if okay.	12/5
DCI 2-7		E-spire issue re Inability to convert special access circuits to EEL, and tariff rates to UNE rates.	Impasse	File brief by ___
DCI 2-12	9.23	AT&T recommended work changes (see K. Wilson Testimony pages 49-53)	Closed	
DCI 2-13		Define ICB process	Defer to General Terms and Conditions Workshop.	Move to General Terms & Conditions WS

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
NOVEMBER 13-17, 2000 WORKSHOP

Open Issues

Issue ID #	SGAT #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
DCI 2-15	9.23.3.6	CLECs suggested language change: use "of the switch" in place of supported and "capable of providing" after switch.	Qwest will review	12/5
DCI 2-17	9.23.3.3 9.23.3.4 9.23.3.5	Can a CLEC order UNE-P PBX, DSS, ISDN, on UNE product offering.	Qwest and AT&T to take back.	12/5
DCI 2-18	9.23.3.4 9.23.3.5	CLECs want full disclosure by Qwest on status of development of each product, to include pricing and how it gets in the SGAT	Qwest will take back	12/5
DCI 2-22	9.23.3.7.2.5	Qwest agreed to 60 days limit for conversion after certification.	AT&T to take back to review.	12/5
DCI 5-1 KAS 8	9.6.2.1	AT&T questions that Qwest treats CLEC and CLEC end user customers the same as Qwest end users re termination at frame	Qwest to add language to 9.6.2.1	12/5
DCI 5-3	9.6.2.3	Re mid span meets	Qwest still has open and will provide response	12/5
DCI 5-4	9.6.2.3	Issues on rate elements and pricing, need to use multiple circuits vs one circuit. Issue of segmentation, who pays?	Qwest still has open and will provide response	12/5

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
NOVEMBER 13-17, 2000 WORKSHOP

Open Issues

Issue ID #	SGAT #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
DCI 6-2 thru 6.5	9.11.1.8 9.11.1.9	AIN feature availability	Impasee	File briefs ___
DCI 6-6		To load USOC Qwest needs pricing.	Qwest trying for 12/1	12/1
DCI 6-12		Are there solutions re the market place for unbundled switching pricing? Why is shared transport at UNE rates and unbundled switching at market rates?	Still open issue	12/5
DCI 6-13		SGAT Sections 9.11.2.5.5 through 7 – Is it OK to aggregate lines in a wire center?	Still open issue	12/5
DCI 6-14		Need for clarification of intervals, do service and installation intervals mean the same thing, use one or the other, define, Qwest needs to put substance behind commitment.	Still open issue	12/5
DCI 6-15		Ordering before or after 3:00 PM	Still open issue	12/5

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
QWEST'S APPLICATION TO OBTAIN SECTION 271 AUTHORITY
DOCKET NUMBER T-000003-97-0238
NOVEMBER 13-17, 2000 WORKSHOP

Open Issues

Issue ID #	SGAT #	Description of Issue	Action /Status	Due Date
DCI 6-17		SGAT Section 9.10.2 – unclear wording re call recording at local tandems	Still open issue	12/5
DCI 6-18		SGAT Section 9.10.2.1 – Question use of word "connections". Move to interconnection section, delete or cross reference	Still open issue	12/5
AT&T ISSUES		AT&T still has several open issues.	Rick Walters agreed that AT&T will make up their open issue list not identified in the above and furnish to Qwest for response. This list should be added to this Issue List as an addendum. Qwest agreed to respond to these issues in next workshop.	12/5