

L.J. FLEMING - State Bar #840
L.T. FLEMING - State Bar #014425
1649 East Bethany Home Road
Phoenix, Arizona 85016
(602) 277-6627; (602) 277-6427 - fax
Attorneys for Plaintiff
JDREOS; JCS

ORIGINAL



RECEIVED

2004 JAN 27 P 4: 22

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

COMMISSIONERS
MARC SPITZER, Chairman
JIM IRVIN
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
JEFFHATCH-MILLER
MIKE GLEASON
KRISTIN K. MAYES

In the matter of:)
)
INTERNATIONAL GLOBAL POSITIONING, INC., a)
Nevada corporation 720 Brazos Street, Suite 500 Austin,)
TX 78701)
)
JOHN J. MADSEN)
11801 W. HWY 71)
Austin, TX 78738)
)
MICHAEL J. COKER)
11801 W. HWY 71)
Austin, TX 78738)
)
JAMES W. DREOS, individually and dba DREOS)
FINANCIAL SERVICES, and JANE DOES DREOS,)
husband and wife 10201 E. Ranch Gate Road Scottsdale,)
AZ 85255)
CRD# 802681)
)
EDMOND L. LONERGAN and JANE DOE LONERGAN,)
husband and wife 16126 East Powerhorn Drive Fountain)
Hills, AZ 85268)
)
CORPORATE ARCHITECTS, INC., a Nevada corporation)
8360 East Via de Ventura, Suite L-200 Scottsdale, AZ)
85258)
Respondents.)

DOCKET NO. S-03523A-03-0000

**OBJECTION TO MOTION TO SEVER
PROCEEDINGS AGAINST JOHN J.
MADSEN AND MICHAEL J. COKER**

(Oral Argument Requested)

Assigned to the Honorable Mark E. Stern –
Administrative Law Judge

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

JAN 27 2004

DOCKETED BY *CAH*

COMES NOW James Dreos by and through his attorneys undersigned and objects to the Motion to Sever Proceedings Against International Global Positions, Inc. a Nevada Corporation for the following reasons:

1. The Motion to Sever Proceedings is not supported by any affidavit or other documentation from any person or persons having personal knowledge to support any of the claims that a "Severance" is necessary to protect the interests of investors and to keep Respondent James Dreos from interfering with IGP's contractual relations with the manufacturer of the Global Positioning service marketed by IGP.
2. The only specific allegation is as follows, "As documented by the January 8, 2004, letter from Akin Gump of the firm of Strauss, Hauer & Field, L.L.P." This allegation goes on to make reference to IGP's second complaint, no copy of which has been provided to Respondent James Dreos's counsel by Mr. Hooper although Mr. Hooper knows or should have known that Mr. Dreos was represented by counsel in this matter at least as of the Pre-Hearing Conference of January 15, 2004. Mr. Hooper certainly knew of this counsel's representation as of January 15, 2004, when the undersigned counsel appeared at the pre-hearing, as further documented for the Securities Division. It is claimed that Mr. Dreos sabotaged IGP's contracts with the manufacturer of the Global Positioning device, IGP marketed and pursued an outrageous campaign slandering and labeling Respondents to destroy IGP, Coker and Madsen and render the interests of investors worthless.
3. In point of fact, problems with the Canadian manufacturer of the IGP device was that, IGP never paid the Canadian manufacturer any money as required for the manufacture of the device in the first place. Mr. Hooper, counsel for John J. Madsen and Michael J. Coker, failed to provide counsel for Mr. Dreos, with copies of the following documents to which reference is made in the pleadings filed by Mr. Hooper, specifically:
 - A. The letter of January 8, 2004, from Akin Gump of the firm of Strauss, Hauer & Field, L.L.P.
 - B. As attached to IGP's Second Amended Response to the Complaint, and further documented for the securities division, that Dreos sabotaged IGP's contracts with the Canadian manufacturer of the global positioning device, IGP marketed and


pursued an outrageous campaign, slandering and libeling Respondents to destroy IGP, Coker and Mansen and render the interest of investors worthless.

4. It is not therefore at all unreasonable, to require that any Motion to Sever be at least supported by affidavit and or documentation from some person or persons with specific personal knowledge of the alleged conduct of Mr. James Dreos as alleged in the Motion to Sever.
5. Absent any such affidavit or affidavits, from any person or persons having personal knowledge of the specific alleged conduct of Respondent James Dreos, which support in detail each and every allegation made in the Motion to Sever, the Motion to Sever should not be granted.
6. It is not too much to require, for example, an affidavit from Mr. Akin Gump with the specifics of any claim or claims he may make against Mr. Dreos.
7. Absent any such specifics, supported by documentation with specificity as to Mr. Dreos' conduct, the Motion to Sever should be denied.
8. The reason no affidavit was attached to Mr. Hooper's Motion to Sever is because Mr. Dreos has not been guilty of any misconduct of any sort as alleged in the Motion to Sever. Mr. James Dreos is a victim just as are other investors in the International Global Positioning, Inc.'s venture, which, in the most charitable light is, at best a scam, and at worst criminal conduct by a felon, specifically Mr. Madsen. The conviction against Mr. Madsen being for mail fraud.

DATED this January 27, 2004.

SHANNON & FLEMING, P.C.

By


LAWRENCE J. FLEMING
L. TIMOTHY FLEMING

Attorneys for Respondent Dreos

Copy of the foregoing mailed this
January 27, 2004, to:

Ms. Pamela Johnson, Securities Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1300 West Washington, 3rd Floor
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

By



Lawrence J. Fleming
L. Timothy Fleming