

ORIGINAL



0000005121

June 2, 2004

RECEIVED

Docket Control
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007-2996

2004 JUN -3 A 11: 50

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

Re: ACC Docket #W01004B-03-0722

Enclosed please find one (1) duplicate original and thirteen (13) conformed copies (w/cover page) of an Intervenor originated document captioned:

Intervenor Interrogatories
to Commissioner Jeff Hatch-miller
per attached letter dated 02 June 2004.

Please enter for the record.

Earl M. Hasbrouck
P. O. Box 1034
Ash Fork, AZ 86320-1034

EMH:mtf

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

JUN - 3 2004

DOCKETED BY	CAF
-------------	-----

Rec'd

ORIGINAL

Wednesday, June 2, 2004

RECEIVED

2004 JUN -3 A 11: 50

Mr. Jeff Hatch-Miller, Commissioner
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

CERTIFIED MAIL

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

Re: Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC) Docket No. W-01004B-03-0722

Dear Sir:

JUN - 3 2004

This pertains to the active ACC docket referenced above awaiting adjudication,
Ash Fork Development Association, Inc d/b/a Ash Fork Water
Request For A Rate Increase
ACC Docket No. W-01004B-03-0722

DOCKETED BY	AP
-------------	----

This writer is an intervening party to that docket and, in the belief that the utility had improperly cut a number of sweetheart deals with private contractors that unjustly enriched friends of the utility at consumer expense, was also an intervening party to two preceding, directly related dockets involving the same subject material, W01004B-02-0768 and W01004B-03-0510. What follows are interrogatories involving matters under investigation concerning the currently active docket, but first, an explanation.

Your personal involvement in Ash Fork Development Association affairs is of grave concern to this Intervenor. Intervenor interest in that personal involvement came about as the direct result of a newsletter article published in the May 19th (2004) edition of *Ash Fork Online*¹ wherein the president of Ash Fork Development Association, Fayrene Hume, asserted that "... Jeff Hatch-Miller, Commissioner of the Arizona Corporation Commission ..." furnished letters or messages of congratulations concerning the relocation of a school building within the Ash Fork community. As you are aware, Ash Fork Development Association, Inc. is the parent of the utility, Ash Fork Water, whose activities are under scrutiny by the Intervenor in the above referenced ACC docket. In our interpretation, your personal involvement in Ash Fork Development Association affairs, no matter how insignificant it may seem to you or no matter how you may choose to interpret the association, constitutes a direct conflict of interest regarding ACC Docket No. W01004B-03-0722.

Historically, you will recall that throughout the three Ash Fork Water dockets mentioned above, this writer seems to have been fighting the Arizona Corporation Commission more than the utility. The vexatious as yet unexplained dispute with the commission seems to have more to do with the regulatory authority's very corrupt penchant for assisting Ash Fork Water in keeping a number of secrets, hardly an impartial, unbiased objective for a state administrative agency with judicial powers charged with the protection of the consumer public. Therein lies the foundation for the questions which will follow.

This is a demand that you personally provide answers under oath to the questions which follow concerning your affiliation or other association with Ash Fork Development Association, Inc. d/b/a Ash Fork Water (AFDA) and to do so via return letter within the time period (seven days) limitation established by order of Administrative Law Judge Marc E. Stern.

You are reminded that the interrogatories propounded in the attached document are continuing in nature. It is specifically requested and demanded of you that all future relevant information coming to your attention regarding Ash Fork Water or it's parent subsequent to the completion of your answers in the questionnaire annexed hereto be promptly updated and voluntarily made immediately available to the Intervenor.

¹ Ash Fork Online, Vol. VII, No. 10

June 2, 2004


Docket Control
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007-2996

Re: ACC Docket #W01004B-03-0768

Enclosed please find one (1) duplicate original and thirteen (13) conformed copies (w/cover page) of an Intervenor originated document captioned:

Intervenor Interrogatories
to Commissioner Jeff Hatch-miller
per attached letter dated 02 June 2004.

Please enter for the record.



Earl M. Hasbrouck
P. O. Box 1034
Ash Fork, AZ 86320-1034

EMH:mtf

Dep 2

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
(Utilities Division)
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

In re: THE APPLICATION OF ASH FORK
DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION, INC.
d/b/a ASH FORK WATER SERVICE
FOR A RATE INCREASE.

DOCKET NO.
W-01004B-03-0722

INTERVENOR INTERROGATORIES
to Commissioner Jeff Hatch-Miller
per attached letter dated 02 June 2004
(Dockets #W01004B-02-0768 & W01004B-03-0510 included by reference)

Comes now the Intervenor in the above titled and docketed action and requests detailed answers to the following interrogatories within seven (7) days in compliance with order by the presiding judge and the applicable rules of procedure:

- 1). As outlined in the letter attached, per published mention in *Ash Fork Online* your acquaintanceship with Ms. Fayrene Hume of Ash Fork Development Association is a foregone conclusion.
 - a. For how many years have you known Fayrene Hume?
 - b. Is the acquaintanceship with Ms. Hume of a personal nature, or of a professional nature, or both?
 - c. Elaborate and explain the depth of relationship?
 - d. Does the acquaintanceship with Fayrene Hume also include in any way, form or manner any degree of personal or professional relationship with her son, Lewis Edward Hume, the facilities manager of Ash Fork Water? If "yes," explain in detail.
- 2). Give the approximate date you were solicited for comments regarding the relocation of the Ash Fork Middle School building?. By whom?
- 3). In the view of this writer, few in Yavapai County administration responsible for Ash Fork local governmental affairs seem to be able to find their way to northern Arizona with a GPS locator and a DPS escort. That perceived lack of local government is better described in the pleadings throughout the three Ash Fork Water dockets. Knowing that perception, did your personal involvement in Ash Fork Development Association affairs come about as the result of any solicitation by Yavapai County government? If "yes," elaborate and identify participants.
- 4). This Intervenor has observed throughout the duration of the three bifurcated Ash Fork Water dockets that there seems to be some compelling unidentified state interest in the Ash Fork Water infrastructure improvement projects, some of which coincidentally involved ongoing school construction projects as well. Knowing that, did your personal involvement in Ash Fork Development Association affairs come about as the result of any cooperation or collaboration with associate members of state government? If "yes," identify each collaborator and tell what agency or branch of government the individual represents.
- 5). Does your personal involvement in Ash Fork Development Association affairs entail in any way, form or manner any association with the engineering firm, Sunrise Engineering? If "yes," name the principal.

6). Do you have any knowledge of any nature whatsoever as to whether any of the three Ash Fork Water ACC dockets had anything at all to do with utility improvements (related or unrelated) involved in other Ash Fork School construction project(s)? If "yes," explain.

7). Part of the secrecy complained about in the second paragraph of the letter accompanying these inquiries involves the deliberate non-disclosure (backed by ACC chicanery) of the financial affairs of Ash Fork Development Association, Inc. d/b/a Ash Fork Water.

a. Do you have any knowledge as to who or what might possibly be behind that refusal by Ash Fork Water to reveal a financial history of the utility?

b. Do you have any knowledge as to whether the rate hike being requested by Ash Fork Water might involve any scheme or other artifice intended to cause an unaware consumer public to pay for projects unrelated to water line improvements — projects such as the relocation of a school building?

8). A compelling Intervenor interest in requiring the utility to disclose a financial history of Ash Fork Water involves questions concerning the 1993 - 2002 (prox.) affiliation by Lamont Hansen as the statutory agent for Ash Fork Water. The print media recently revealed that Hansen was convicted in Mohave County of fraudulent schemes and artifices involving another client but the criminal activity with which he was charged apparently occurred during the same period of time the lawyer was also employed by Ash Fork Water. Knowing that, and knowing also that Alexander Igwe, the staff CPA for the Arizona Corporation Commission, has admitted under oath at hearing that a verifiable audit review of the financial affairs of Ash Fork Water or the parent has never been conducted by the regulatory authority during any of the past ten years, do you have any knowledge of any impropriety involving in any way, form or manner the financial affairs of Ash Fork development Association or Ash Fork Water? If "yes, explain in detail.

9). One thorny issue which has pervasively remained contentious throughout the three bifurcated Ash Fork Water dockets is the denial by the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission that any form of project drawings existed for the various Ash Fork Water construction improvements.

a. Do you have any personal knowledge of any blueprints, drawings or schematics regarding utilities in Ash Fork intended to serve the school building relocation project which led to this inquiry about your participation?

b. Do you have any personal knowledge of any blueprints, drawings or schematics regarding utilities in Ash Fork intended to serve any other project associated with this or any of the other dockets associated with Ash Fork Water?


#

(End of interrogatories)

Page 2.

Expecting your full and immediate cooperation, I remain

Yours very truly,

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Earl M. Hasbrouck', written over a horizontal line.

Earl M. Hasbrouck
P. O. Box 1034
Ash Fork, AZ 86320-1034
928/637-0302

EMH:mtf

cc: Docket Control
Ash Fork Water