

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 2	
2	Arizona Corporation Commission	
3	<u>COMMISSIONERS</u> DC	DCKETED
4	WILLIAM A. MUNDELL	AY 2 4 2004
5	JEFF HATCH-MILLER MIKE GLEASON KRISTIN K. MAYES	ETED BY
6	In the matter of	
7	INTERNATIONAL GLOBAL POSITIONING,) DOCKET NO. S-03523A-03-0000
8	INC., a Nevada corporation 720 Brazos Street, Suite 500 Austin, TX 78701	DECISION NO. 66995
10	JOHN J. MADSEN 11801 W HWY 71 Austin TX 78738	ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST AND FOR OTHER RELIEF AND CONSENT
11	MICHAEL J. COKER	TO SAME BY: RESPONDENT JAMES W. DREOS, individually and dba DREOS
13	11801 W. HWY 71 Austin, TX 78738	FINANCIAL SERVICES, and RESPONDENT ESTHER DREOS
14	JAMES W. DREOS, individually and dba DREOS FINANCIAL SERVICES, and ESTHER DREOS,	
15	husband and wife 10201 E. North Ranch Gate Road Scottsdale, AZ 85255	
16	CRD# 802681	
17	EDMOND L. LONERGAN and DOLORES LONERGAN, husband and wife	
18	16126 East Powderhorn Drive Fountain Hills, AZ 85268	
19	CORPORATE ARCHITECTS, INC., a Nevada	
20	corporation 8360 East Via de Ventura, Suite L-200	
21	Scottsdale, AZ 85258	•
22	Respondents.	
23		1 11 5 5 5
24	JAMES W. DREOS ("DREOS"), individually a	
25	DREOS, husband and wife (collectively "Responde	nts"), elect to permanently waive their right to

a hearing and appeal under Articles 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801,

et seq. ("Securities Act") with respect to this Order To Cease And Desist and for Other Relief and

26

Consent to Same ("Order"). Respondents admit the jurisdiction of the Arizona Corporation 1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Commission ("Commission"); neither admit nor deny the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order; and consent to the entry of this Order by the Commission.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. DREOS was at all pertinent times a registered securities salesman in Arizona, CRD# 802681. Dreos's address is 6517 Night Glow Circle, Scottsdale, Arizona 85262. Dreos was registered as a securities salesman in Arizona in association with American General Securities, Inc. ("AGSI") from November 3, 2001, until he was discharged on or about September 12, 2002. All allegations contained in the Notice of Opportunity for Hearing occurred while Dreos was registered with AGSI.
- 2. From on or about October 9, 2002, until on or about March 25, 2003, Dreos was registered as a securities salesman in association with Fox & Company Investments Inc. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1949, Dreos's registration as a securities salesman in Arizona was automatically suspended on the date of his termination with Fox & Company Investments Inc., on or about October 9, 2002. Since that date, Dreos has not been registered with any securities dealer.
- 3. Dreos was at all pertinent times licensed with the Arizona Department of Insurance as an insurance salesman, authorized to sell accident, health, and life insurance, and variable life and annuities products. Dreos's authority to sell variable life and annuities products expired on September 30, 2003. Dreos's authority to sell accident, health, and life products is current until September 30, 2005.
- 4. Esther Dreos was at all pertinent times the spouse of Dreos. Esther Dreos is joined in this action under A.R.S. § 44-2031(C) solely for purposes of determining the liability of the marital community.
- 5. At all pertinent times, Dreos was acting for his own benefit, and for the benefit or in furtherance of the marital community.

 6. INTERNATIONAL GLOBAL POSITIONING, INC. ("IGP") operated from offices in Arizona located at 3200 N. Central Ave., Suite 1990, Phoenix, Arizona 85012, from at least July 1, 1999, until approximately June 2002. John J. Madsen ("Madsen") and Michael J. Coker ("Coker") are and were at all pertinent times the principals of IGP.

- 7. IGP purported to market a global positioning device that, mounted in an automobile, could communicate through a satellite, on a cellular communications technology, information including where it was located, its speed and direction. IGP's plan was to sell stock to private investors and distributorship franchises nationwide to market the device. In or around July 2001, IGP initiated a stock offering, represented as a private placement under federal Rule 506, Regulation D.
- 8. Sometime in 2001, DREOS formed an association with Edmond L. Lonergan ("Lonergan"). In or around October 2001, Lonergan introduced Dreos to Madsen and IGP. Dreos formed an understanding with the principals of IGP that Dreos would write insurance for IGP and would help in a number of areas through Lonergan and CAI, including referring friends and associates to IGP for investments in stock and distributorships.
- 9. Dreos referred some of his insurance clients and others to meet with Madsen to discuss investments in IGP common stock through its private placement, and purchasing distributorships. In connection with these referrals, Dreos spoke with these individuals about IGP's business and its intention to become a public company in the future. Dreos recommended that prospective investors call IGP to obtain needed information about the company. Dreos also personally invested in IGP stock and a distributorship.
- 10. Investors in IGP's "private placement" stock offering including Dreos were told that when the company went public they would have the opportunity to purchase IGP stock for the reduced price that they paid for their private placement stock and to resell the stock they purchased in the private offering at one and one half times its original purchase price thereby recouping the original purchase price of their privately held stock. Investors in the private sales were issued warrants to purchase additional IGP stock after it became a public company at the same price as the original purchase.

5

11. Investors did not receive meaningful disclosure of material information about IGP's financial condition or the background of its principals prior to investing. For instance, investors were not told that on November 5, 2001, Madsen entered into an agreement pleading guilty to mail fraud in the United States District Court, District of Arizona, a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341 a Class D felony offense.

- 12. To this date, IGP is not listed on any public exchange, and the investors have received no return on their investments.
- 13. Dreos entered a consulting agreement with CAI, whereby Dreos would receive consulting fees from CAI for "Marketing and advertising materials." Pursuant to the consulting agreement, Dreos was to receive consulting fees not only to make referrals for IGP but also for all CAI customers. Dreos negotiated a consulting fee of \$200 per hour not to exceed 15 hours or \$3,000 per month. In addition to referrals, Dreos was to assist CAI clients in developing business plans, marketing plans, website or redesign, personnel including interviewing top level personnel. Dreos referred a qualified person hired by IGP. Dreos arranged for IGP to meet with website and marketing people. Dreos also sold IGP "key-man" insurance policies on the principals, Madsen and Coker.
- 14. On or about December 20, 2001, CAI paid Dreos approximately \$19,925.00 as "consulting fees" for his efforts to assist CAI clients including IGP, unrelated to insurance sales.

II.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and the Securities Act.
- 2. IGP offered or sold securities in the form of common stock within or from Arizona, within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 44-1801(15), 44-1801(21), and 44-1801(26).
- 3. The IGP stock was neither registered nor exempt from registration, in violation of A.R.S. § 44-1841.

- 4. Respondents are liable for participation in violations of A.R.S. § 44-1841 pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-2003, 44-2031(C) and 44-2032.
- 5. Respondents' conduct is grounds for an order to cease and desist and for other relief pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032.
 - 6. Respondents' conduct is grounds for an order pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036.
 - 7. Dreos's conduct subjects Dreos to an order of revocation pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1962.

III.

ORDER

THEREFORE, on the basis of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Respondents' consent to the entry of this Order, the Commission finds that the following relief is appropriate, in the public interest, and necessary for the protection of investors:

IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, that Respondents, their agents, employees, successors and assigns, permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, that Respondents and their marital community shall pay, jointly and severally, the amount of \$19,925.00, plus interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of entry of this Order until paid in full. Payment shall be made by cashier's check or money order payable to the "State of Arizona" to be placed in an interest-bearing account maintained and controlled by the Arizona Attorney General. The Arizona Attorney General shall disburse the funds on a pro rata basis to investors shown on the records of the Securities Division.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036, that Respondents and their marital community shall pay, jointly and severally, the amount of \$2,500.00, payable to the "State of Arizona." Payment shall be made in full by cashier's check or money order on the date of this Order. Respondents further agree to pay interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of this Order until such amount is paid in full.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1962, that DREOS's securities 1 salesman registration is revoked. 2 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall become effective immediately. 3 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 4 5 6 8 CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER 9 10 wille 11 **COMMISSIONER** COMMISSIONER 12 13 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation 14 Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the 15 Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 24th day of 16 2004. 17 18 19 Executive Secretary 20 21 22 DISSENT 23 This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Yvonne L. McFarlin, Executive Assistant to the Executive Secretary, voice phone number 602-542-3931, E-mail 24 ymcfarlin@cc.state.az.us. 25 (PTJ) 26

CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

- 1. JAMES W. DREOS ("DREOS"), doing business as Dreos Financial Services, and Esther Dreos, husband and wife (collectively "Respondents"), admit the jurisdiction of the Commission over the subject matter of this proceeding. Respondents acknowledge that they have been fully advised of their right to a hearing to present evidence and call witnesses and Respondents knowingly and voluntarily waive any and all rights to a hearing before the Commission and all other rights otherwise available under Article 11 of the Securities Act and Title 14 of the Arizona Administrative Code. Respondents acknowledge that this Order To Cease And Desist and for Other Relief and Consent to Same ("Order") constitutes a valid final order of the Commission.
- 2. Respondents knowingly and voluntarily waive any right they may have under Article 12 of the Securities Act to judicial review by any court by way of suit, appeal, or extraordinary relief resulting from the entry of this Order.
- 3. Respondents acknowledge and agree that this Order is entered into freely and voluntarily and that no promise was made or coercion used to induce such entry.
- 4. Respondents acknowledge that they have been represented by counsel in this matter, they have reviewed this Order with their attorney and understand all terms it contains.
- 5. Respondents neither admit nor deny the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order.
- 6. By consenting to the entry of this Order, Respondents agree not to take any action or to make, or permit to be made, any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any Finding of Fact or Conclusion of Law in this Order or to create the impression that this Order is without factual basis. Respondents will undertake steps necessary to assure that all of their agents and employees understand and comply with this agreement. Nothing in this provision affects Respondents' testimonial obligations or rights to take legal positions in litigation in which an administrative agency of the State of Arizona is not a party.

- 7. While this Order settles this administrative matter between Respondents and the Commission, Respondents understand that this Order does not preclude the Commission from instituting other administrative proceedings based on violations that are not addressed by this Order.
- 8. Respondents understand that this Order does not preclude the Commission from referring this matter to any governmental agency for administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to the matters addressed by this Order.
- 9. Respondents understand that this Order does not preclude any other agency or officer of the state of Arizona or its subdivisions from instituting administrative, civil or criminal proceedings that may be related to matters addressed by this Order.
- 10. Respondents agree that they will not apply to the state of Arizona for registration as a securities dealer or salesman or for licensure as an investment adviser or investment adviser representative at any time in the future.
- 11. Respondents agree that they will not exercise any control over any entity that offers or sells securities or provides investment advisory services, within or from Arizona.
- 12. Respondents agree that until all amounts due under this Order are paid in full, Respondents will notify the Director of the Securities Division within 30 days of any change in home address or any change in Respondents' ability to pay amounts due under this Order.
- 13. Respondents understand that default shall render them liable to the Commission for its costs of collection and interest at the maximum legal rate.
- 14. Respondents agree that they will continue to cooperate with the Securities Division including, but not limited to, providing complete and accurate testimony at any hearing in this matter and cooperating with the state of Arizona in any related investigation or any other matters arising from the activities described in this Order.

1	15. DREOS and DREOS's spouse acknowledge that any monetary obligations and al	
2	amounts imposed by this Order are obligations of the Respondents as well as the marita	
3	community.	
4	16. Respondents consent to the entry of this Order and agree to be fully bound by its terms	
5	and conditions. If Respondents breach any provision of this Order, the Commission may vacate	
6	this Order and restore this case to its active docket.	
7		
8		
.9	au l	
10	JAMES W. DREOS	
11	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this 29 day of April , 2004.	
12		
13	NOTARY PUBLIC	
14	My Commission Expires:	
15	Notary Public State of Arizona Maricopa County	
16	Jerry E Lowe Expires September 24, 2005	
17		
18		
19	ESTHER DREOS	
20	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this day of, 2004.	
21	day of, 2004.	
22		
23	NOTARY PUBLIC	
24	My Commission Expires:	
25		
26		

66995

Decision No.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Docket No. S-03523A-03-0000 15. DREOS and DREOS's spouse acknowledge that any monetary obligations and all amounts imposed by this Order are obligations of the Respondents as well as the marital community. 16. Respondents consent to the entry of this Order and agree to be fully bound by its terms and conditions. If Respondents breach any provision of this Order, the Commission may vacate this Order and restore this case to its active docket. SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this 29 My Commission Expires: Kotasy Public State of Arbeits SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this 2/ day of My Commission Expires: OFFICIAL SEAL MARGARET R. SALSBURG NOTARY PUBLIC-ARIZONA

9

66995

Decision No.