



0000002463

ORIGINAL

05CB

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

RECEIVED

2004 APR -8 P 1:55

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

COMMISSIONERS

MARC SPITZER, Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
MIKE GLEASON
KRISTIN K. MAYES

UTILITIES DIVISION STAFF

Complainant,
v.

LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC; THE
PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC; THE
PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE
D/B/A THE PHONE COMPANY FO ARIZONA; ON
SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY, LLC and its
principals, TIM WETHERALD, FRANK
TRICAMO AND DAVID STAFFORD JOHNSON; and
THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA, LLP and
its Members,

Respondents.

IN THE MATTER OF THE PHONE COMPANY OF
ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d/b/a THE PHONE
COMPANY OF ARIZONA'S APPLICATION FOR
CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY
TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE
TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AS A LOCAL
AND LONG DISTANCE RESELLER AND
ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE
PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC
f/k/a LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO
DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION
OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT
GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF
FACILITIES-BASED AND RESOLD LOCAL
EXCHANGE SERVICES.

DOCKET NO. T-03889A-02-0796
DOCKET NO. T-04125A-02-0796

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

APR - 8 2004

DOCKETED BY

DOCKET NO. T-04125A-02-0577

DOCKET NO. T-03889A-02-0578

DOCKET NO. T-03889A-03-0152

T-03889A-03-0202

CLOSING BRIEF OF RESPONDENT FRANK TRICAMO

1
2 **CLOSING BRIEF OF RESPONDENT FRANK TRICAMO**

3 This Closing Brief is submitted on behalf of Frank Tricamo a respondent
4 in the above-captioned dockets. On Feb 4, 2004 Frank Tricamo, respondent
5 David Stafford Johnson, The Phone Company of Arizona, LLP (and all but 2 of
6 its partners) and the Arizona Corporation Commission's Utilities Division
7 Staff ("Staff") entered on a Stipulation Agreement ("Stipulation") for
8 dismissal in the Complaint, and amended Complaint, filed by staff in the
9 above-captioned dockets.

10 The Stipulation agrees that Frank Tricamo and the other parties to the
11 Stipulation had no wrongdoing and should be dismissed with prejudice in the
12 Complaints filed by Staff.

13 Mr. Tricamo pleads with the administrative law judge and the Commission
14 to approve this Stipulation because such approval would be in the public
15 interest. Mr. Tricamo would like to emphasize and re-emphasize his role in
16 these matters were limited if not excluded. Mr. Tricamo:

17
18 A: was not a party to the purchase of Livewirenet of Arizona, LLC.

19 b. was not aware of the details of the Livewirenet of Arizona
20 acquisition until the summer of 02.

21 c. was not a party to the formation of any company in Arizona.

22 d. was not involved in any contract negotiations between ON Systems
23 and Livewirenet of Arizona or ON Systems and The Phone Company of
24 Arizona, LLP.

25 e. was not aware of a DBA in Arizona.

26 f. was not involved in any advertising in any market including
Arizona.

1 f. was not aware of any of these dockets until June 13th 2003, and,
2 was unaware and unable to provide any help in the form of Data
3 requests when requested.

4 In the ON Systems Technology Operating Agreement it clearly defined the
5 roles and responsibilities. Although there were as many as 5 members there
6 was only one Manager. That manager was Tim Wetherald. Mr. Wetherald had the
7 Operating Agreement written this way so that he had sole control of ON
8 Systems Technology. This is what eventually led to the demise of ON Systems
9 Technologies and its subsidiaries. Even if Mr. Tricamo had realized something
10 was wrong in the way ON Systems was conducting business, there was nothing
11 the other members could have done as a majority. No other member was a
12 manager and therefore no other member including Mr. Tricamo had signatory
13 authority to right any wrong that was being committed. In summary Mr. Tricamo
14 was destined and doomed for the trial of these complaints even before the
15 Arizona CLEC was started.

16 When Mr. Tricamo was asked for cooperation from Staff, Mr. Tricamo
17 promptly and fully complied with information and testimony. Had Mr. Tricamo
18 been aware before June 13th 2003, he could have been/would of been greater
19 assistance. Therefore because of the statements stated within, the facts
20 brought out in this case, the Stipulation agreed upon and the cooperation
21 provided Mr. Tricamo feels it would be in the "Public Interest" to approve
22 the Stipulation and no longer hold Mr. Tricamo to these proceedings.

RESPECTFULLY submitted this 6th day of April, 2004.

Frank Tricamo



6888 S Yukon Court

Littleton, CO 80128

A COPY of the foregoing was
mailed via first class mail
this 7th day of April 2004. to:

Phil Dion III, Administrative Law Judge
Hearing Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Ernest Johnson
Director, Utilities Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Maureen Scott, Staff Attorney
Legal Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Tim Wetherald
3025 S Parker Rd, Suite 1000
Aurora, CO 80014

David Johnson
1801 Williams Street
Denver, CO 80218

Roald Haugan
32321 Hwy 25
Redwood Falls, MN 56233

Travis and Sara Credle
3709 W Hedrick Drive
Morehead City, NC 28557

1 Steven Petersen
2 2989 Brookdale Dr
3 Brooklyn Park, MN 55414

4 Timothy Berg
5 Theresa Dwyer
6 Fennemore Craig
7 3003 N. Central
8 Phoenix, AZ 85003

9 Qwest Corp
10 Attention: Law Department
11 4041 N Central, 11th Floor
12 Phoenix, AZ 85012

13 Leon Swichkow
14 2901 Clint Moore Road
15 Boca Raton, FL 33496

16 Marc Shiner
17 4043 NW 58th St
18 Boca Raton, FL 33496